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ABSTRACT

Aims. On the basis of a set of dynamic model atmospheres of C-rich AGB stars, we present the first theoretical study of centre-to-limb variation (CLV) properties and relative radius interpretation on narrow and broad-band filters. We computed visibility profiles and the equivalent uniform disc (UD) radii to investigate the dependence of these quantities on the wavelength and pulsation phase.

Methods. After an accurate morphological analysis of the visibility and intensity profiles determined in narrow and broad-band filters, we fitted our visibility profiles with a UD function simulating the observational approach. The UD-radii were computed using three different fitting-methods to investigate the influence of the visibility sampling profile: single point, two points and a least squares approximation.

Results. The intensity and visibility profiles of models characterised by mass loss show a behaviour very different from a UD. We found that UD-radii are wavelength dependent and that this dependence is stronger if mass loss is present. Strong opacity contributions from C₂H₂ affect all radius measurements at 3 μm and in the N-band, resulting in higher values for the UD-radii. In the case of models with mass loss the predicted behaviour of UD-radii versus phase is complicated, while the radial changes are almost sinusoidal for models without mass loss. Compared to the M-type stars, for the C-stars no windows are available for measuring the pure continuum.
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1. Introduction

The Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) is a late evolutionary stage of stars with masses lower than about 8 M☉. The objects on the AGB are characterised by a degenerate C-O core and He/H-burning shells, a convective envelope, and a very extended atmosphere containing molecules and in many cases even dust grains. The atmospheres are affected by the pulsation of the interior creating shocks in the outer layers. Because of the third dredge-up, the AGB stars may have C/O > 1 (Iben & Renzini 1983) and therefore their spectra maybe dominated by features of carbon species such as C₂, C₂H₂, C₃, CN, and HCN (Goebel et al. 1981; Joyce 1998; Lançon et al. 2000; Loidl et al. 2001; Yamamura & de Jong 2000). These are the classical carbon stars. In these objects, dust is mainly present in the form of amorphous carbon grains.

Studying stellar atmospheres is essential to a clearer comprehension of this late stage of stellar evolution, the complicated interaction of pulsation and atmospheric structure, and the processes of dust formation and mass loss. The atmospheres of C-rich AGB stars with no pronounced pulsation can be described by hydrostatic models (Jørgensen et al. 2000; Loidl et al. 2001). As the stars evolve, the effective temperatures decrease and the atmospheres become more extended. At the same time, the effects of time-dependent phenomena (dynamic processes) become important and static models are no longer a good approximation. More sophisticated tools are needed to describe these objects i.e., dynamic model atmospheres.

Modelling atmospheres of cool AGB stars is summarised in different reviews by Willson (2000), Woitke (2003), and Höfner (2005, 2007, 2009). Comparison of dynamic models with spectroscopy for C-rich stars can be found in Hron et al. (1998), Loidl et al. (2001), Gautschy-Loidl et al. (2004, henceforth GL04), Nowotny et al. (2005a,b), Nowotny (2005), and Nowotny et al. (2009).

Because of their large atmospheric extension and their high brightness in the red and infrared, AGB stars are perfect candidates for interferometric investigations. Moreover, since most observed AGB stars are very far away (at distances larger than 100 pc), only the resolution reached by optical interferometry allows a study of the close circumstellar environment of these objects.

The complex nature of their atmospheres raises the question of how a unique radius can be defined for these stars. Several radius definitions have been used, as summarised in the reviews of Baschek et al. (1991) and Scholz (2003, henceforth S03). This is not an important problem when dealing with objects that have a less extended and almost hydrostatic atmosphere, because in most cases the different definitions of radius converge to a similar final result. However, one can find large differences when the envelope of the star is affected by the phenomena of pulsation and mass loss. Baschek et al. (1991) proposed the use of the intensity radius, which is “a monochromatic (or filter-integrated) quantity depending on wavelength or on the position and width of a specific filter”. This radius is determined from the...
centre-to-limb variation (CLV) as the point of inflection of the CLV curve, in other words as the point where the second derivative of the intensity profile equals zero. To determine this value, a very accurate knowledge of the CLV must, however, be available. For AGB stars, this can be difficult because the intensity profile of an evolved object can exhibit a quite complicated behaviour and only a few data points are generally measured. In the case of a poorly measured CLV, the standard procedure is to use analytical functions such as the uniform disc (UD), a fully darkened disc (FDD), or a Gaussian fit to the profile. The result of this fit is the so-called fitting radius (S03).

Various theoretical and observational studies have been completed of the different properties of the CLV and radius interpretations for M-type stars, which are summarised in the review of S03. According to this review paper, the CLV shapes can be classified into four types:

- small to moderate limb-darkening, when the behaviour of the profile is well fitted by a UD;
- the Gaussian-type CLV is typical of extended atmospheres, where there is a large difference in temperature between the cool upper atmosphere layers and the deep layers where the continuum is formed;
- the CLV with tail or protrusion-type extension consists of two components, a central part described by the CLV of a near-continuum layer, and a tail shape given by the CLV of an outer shell;
- the uncommon CLVs, which are profiles exhibiting strange behaviour that is characteristic of complex extended and cool atmospheres.

The fitting radius is usually converted into a monochromatic optical depth radius \( R_1 \), defined as the distance between the centre of the object and a layer with \( \tau_1 = 1 \) at a given wavelength. However this conversion must be confirmed very carefully: even in the case of near-continuum bandpasses, spectral features can contaminate the measurement of the fitting radius, as demonstrated by Jacob & Scholz (2002) or Aringer et al. (2008), which will then no longer represent a good approximation to the radius of the continuum layer.

Following the definition given by Scholz & Takeda (1987), a filter radius

\[
R_{\text{BU}} = \frac{\int R_1 f_\lambda \, d\lambda}{\int R_1 \, d\lambda}
\]  

requires a good knowledge of the filter transmission curve, and the filter itself must be chosen carefully to avoid impure-filter-like effects (see Sect. 5 of S03 for more details). A very common definition of radius is the Rosseland radius, which corresponds to the distance between the stellar centre and the layer with Rosseland optical depth \( \tau_{\text{Ross}} = 1 \). As in the case of the monochromatic optical depth radius, this quantity is not an observable, but is model dependent, and molecular contaminations are the main effect that has to be taken in account.

A suitable window at 1.04 \( \mu \)m for determining the continuum radius of M-type stars was discussed by Scholz & Takeda (1987), Hofmann et al. (1998), Jacob & Scholz (2002), and Tej et al. (2003a,b). These authors defined a narrow-bandpass located in this part of the spectrum, mostly free from contamination by molecules and lines. They indicated that this radius is the most appropriate for studying the geometric pulsation. Unfortunately, such a window does not exist for C-rich AGB stars (cf. Sect. 5), and model assumptions must be made in defining a continuum radius. On the other hand, available dynamic atmospheric models for carbon-stars (Höfner et al. 2003) are more advanced than for M-type stars, the main reason being a clearer understanding of the dust formation.

Following the same approach adopted for M-stars by Scholz and collaborators, we started investigating the CLV characteristics of a set of dynamic models of pulsating C-stars (Hron et al. 2008). We summarise the main properties of the intensity and visibility profiles in narrow and broad-band filters for dynamic model atmospheres with and without mass loss (Sect. 2.2). We then use the UD function to determine the UD-radius of the models, and investigate the dependence of the latter upon wavelength and pulsation phase. We also discuss a new definition of a continuum radius for the carbon stars (Sect. 5).

2. Dynamic models and interferometry

The dynamic models used in this work are described in detail in Höfner et al. (2003), GL04, and Nowotny et al. (2005a).

2.1. Overview of the dynamic models

The models are spherically symmetric and characterised by time-dependent dynamics and frequency-dependent radiative transfer. Assuming LTE for both gas and dust, the radiative energy transport in the atmosphere and wind is computed using opacity sampling in typically 50–60 wavelength points. For the gas component, an energy equation is solved together with the dynamics, defining the gas temperature stratification (and allowing, in principle, for deviations from radiative equilibrium, e.g., in strong shocks). For the dust, on the other hand, the corresponding grain temperature is derived by assuming radiative equilibrium. Dust formation (in this sample only amorphous carbon dust is considered) is treated by the “moment method” (Gail & Sedlmayr 1988; Gauger et al. 1990), while the stellar pulsation is simulated by a piston at the inner boundary. Assuming a constant flux there, this leads to a sinusoidal bolometric light curve, which can be used to assign bolometric phases to each “snapshot” of the model with \( \phi = 0 \) corresponding to phases of maximum light.

In Table 1, the main parameters of the models used are summarised (see also GL04). Luminosity \( L_* \), effective temperature \( T_* \), and C/O ratio refer to the initial hydrostatic model used to start the dynamic computation. This model can be compared with classical model atmospheres such as MARCS (Gustafsson et al. 2008). The stellar radius \( R_* \) of the initial hydrostatic model is derived from \( L_* \) and \( T_* \) and corresponds to the Rosseland radius. The piston-velocity amplitude \( \Delta u_0 \) and the period \( P \) are also input parameters that control the pulsation, while the mass-loss rate \( \dot{M} \) and the mean degree of condensation \( \langle f_c \rangle \) are the result of the dynamic computations. The free parameter \( f_i \) is introduced to adjust the amplitude of the luminosity variation at the inner boundary such that \( L_{\text{int}}(t) \propto f_i \cdot R_{\text{int}}^2(t) \) (GL04). All the initial models have a mass \( M_* = 1 M_\odot \) and solar metallicity.

The models used in this work were chosen to investigate the effects of the different parameters on radius measurements, mass-loss, primarily, but also effective temperature, C/O and piston amplitude. Keeping this purpose in mind, the sample can be divided into two main groups: models with and without mass-loss (in Table 1, series D and N, respectively).

It was already mentioned that the pulsation of the inner layers can influence the outer atmosphere of the star. The propagation of the shock waves causes a levitation of the outer layers and...
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profiles were computed for the molecules. Conditions of LTE and a microturbulence value of $\xi = 2.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ were assumed. All references to the molecular data are listed in Table 2 of Lederer & Aringer (2009). The source for the amorphous carbon opacity is Rouleau & Martin (1991). These input data agree with the previous works (Nowotny 2005; Lederer & Aringer 2009; Aringer et al. 2009), and with the opacities used in computing the dynamic models. Information about recent updates to the COMA code and opacity data can be found in Nowotny (2005), Lederer & Aringer (2009), Aringer et al. (2009), and Nowotny et al. (2009). The resolution of the opacity sampling adopted in our computations is 18,000. The resulting opacities were used as input to a spherical radiative transfer code that computes the spectrum at a selected resolution for a chosen wavelength range. In addition, the code computes the spatial intensity profiles for every frequency point of the calculation. Velocity effects were not taken into account in the radiative transfer.

The monochromatic spatial intensity profiles were subsequently convolved with different filter curves (narrow and broad-band) in the near- to mid-IR range to obtain averaged intensity profiles. The narrow-band filters were rectangular (transmission 1), while the broad-band filters are the standard filters from Bessel & Brett (1988). The visibility profiles were the two-dimensional Fourier transform of the intensity distribution of the object, but under the condition of spherical symmetry (as for our 1D models), this reduces to the more simple Hankel transformation of the intensity profiles (e.g., Bracewell 1965).

The intensity and visibility profiles in the case of the broad-band filters were computed with the following procedure. Each broad-band filter was divided into a set of 10 narrow-band sub-filters$^1$ and the squared broad-band visibility was computed as

$$V_{\text{broad}}^2 = \frac{\sum_i (S_i^2 F_i^2 V_i^2)}{\sum_i (S_i^2 F_i^2)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where the sum was calculated over all the narrow subfilters, $S_i$ is the transfer function of the broad-band filter within the corresponding narrow-band subfilter, $F_i$ is the flux integrated over the corresponding narrow-band subfilter, and $V_i$ is the visibility of the narrow-band subfilter computed from the average intensity profile of the corresponding filters.

In the following two sections, we discuss the characteristics of the intensity and visibility profiles for different models and the effects of using different filters. In the case of broad-band filter we also discuss the so-called band-width smearing effect (Verhoelst 2005; Kervella et al. 2003).

3. Synthetic profiles for narrow-band filters

Twenty-one narrow-band filters were defined in the near-to mid-IR range with a “resolution” ranging from 50 to 200 to study effects of different molecular features characteristic for C-star spectra, following the approach described in Bessel et al. (1989, 1996). Figure 2 shows the location of the filters on top of a typical synthetic (continuum-normalised) spectrum of a C-type star for illustration. Table 2 gives an overview of our complete set of filters with name of the bands, characteristic features (for narrow-bands), central wavelengths, band widths, and morphological class. A few of these filters are labelled “cont” meaning that the contamination by molecular features is comparably low in this spectral region, but this does not necessarily represent the true continuum. At extremely high spectral resolutions, it may be possible to identify very narrow windows in the spectrum of a C-star, where no molecular lines are located (e.g., Fig. 6 in Nowotny et al. 2005b). However, in general and at the resolutions available nowadays, the observed spectra of evolved C-type stars in the visual and IR are crowded with absorption features. Because of this blending, the continuum level $F/F_c = 1$ is never reached as in Fig. 2. This effect is more and more pronounced with lower effective temperatures and decreasing spectral resolutions (cf. Nowotny et al. 2009).

As a first step, we study the morphology of different intensity and visibility profiles. We then proceed to investigate the main opacity contributors to the features that appear in the profiles. For this purpose, we compute three different sets of intensity and visibility profiles for the two reference models: (i) profiles including all necessary opacity sources, i.e., continuous, atomic, molecular, and dust opacities; (ii) profiles where the opacities of dust were neglected, to analyse only molecular and atomic contributions; and (iii) profiles for which only the continuous gas opacity is considered, to derive theoretical continuum profiles.

For the narrow-band filters, the intensity profiles of models with mass-loss can be divided into three morphological classes listed in the last column of Table 2. It turns out that this classification is related mainly to the wavelength region with the exception of the filter 3.175 $\mu$m, which belongs to the third class (that contains filters centred around 12 $\mu$m) instead of the second (that contains all the other filters in the 3 $\mu$m region, plus the 9 and 11 $\mu$m filters). In Fig. 3, the intensity and visibility profiles

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Filter & Features & $\lambda_c$ & $\Delta \lambda$ & Class \\
& & [\mu m] & [\mu m] & \\
\hline
1.01 & C$_2$, CN & 1.01 & 0.02 & I \\
1.09 & CN & 1.09 & 0.02 & I \\
1.11 & “cont” & 1.11 & 0.02 & I \\
1.51 & CN+ & 1.51 & 0.02 & I \\
1.53 & C$_2$H$_2$, HCN, CN & 1.53 & 0.02 & I \\
1.575 & CN & 1.575 & 0.05 & I \\
1.625 & CN, CO & 1.625 & 0.05 & I \\
1.675 & “cont” & 1.675 & 0.05 & I \\
1.775 & C$_2$ & 1.775 & 0.05 & I \\
2.175 & CN & 2.175 & 0.05 & I \\
2.375 & CO & 2.375 & 0.05 & I \\
3.175 & C$_2$H$_2$, HCN, CN & 3.175 & 0.05 & III \\
3.525 & “cont” & 3.525 & 0.05 & II \\
3.775 & C$_2$H$_2$ & 3.775 & 0.05 & II \\
3.825 & C$_2$H$_3$ & 3.825 & 0.05 & II \\
3.975 & “cont” & 3.975 & 0.05 & II \\
9.975 & “cont” & 9.975 & 0.05 & II \\
11.025 & “cont” & 11.025 & 0.05 & II \\
12.025 & C$_2$H$_3$ & 12.025 & 0.05 & III \\
12.475 & C$_2$H$_3$ & 12.475 & 0.05 & III \\
12.775 & C$_2$H$_3$ & 12.775 & 0.05 & III \\
\hline
$J$ & & 1.26 & 0.44 & \\
$H$ & & 1.65 & 0.38 & \\
$K$ & & 2.21 & 0.54 & \\
$L'$ & & 3.81 & 0.74 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Definitions of the narrow- and broad-band filters.}
\end{table}
1.53 (C2H2, HCN, CN). Its morphology is characterised first by the strong molecular opacity. All the filters defined around the 1.53 m region (except 3.175 m) are included for the spectral synthesis. (see Fig. 4 of Aringer et al. (2009) for the individual contributions of the different molecular species). The flux is normalised to a calculation for which only the continuous opacities were taken into account. Overplotted are the narrow-band filters as defined in Table 2, for which the central wavelengths in [μm] are given in the legend. In addition, the ranges of the broad-band filters are marked grey-shaded.

Fig. 2. Synthetic spectrum (R = 360) calculated on the basis of the hydrostatic initial atmospheric structure of model D1. All necessary opacity sources (continuous, atoms, molecules, dust) are included for the spectral synthesis, (see Fig. 4 of Aringer et al. (2009) for the individual contributions of the different molecular species). The flux is normalised to a calculation for which only the continuous opacities were taken into account. Overplotted are the narrow-band filters as defined in Table 2, for which the central wavelengths in [μm] are given in the legend. In addition, the ranges of the broad-band filters are marked grey-shaded.

for the representative filters of each class are illustrated. Models with mass-loss (left panels) are more extended than models without mass-loss (right), and their intensity profiles fit into the category of “uncommon profiles” of S03.

The first class of profiles contains the filters in the near IR from 1.01 μm to 2.375 μm. It can be well represented by filter 1.53 (C2H2, HCN, CN). Its morphology is characterised first by a limb-darkened disc, followed by a bump caused by molecular contributions, and a peak indicating the inner radius of the dust shell. At 1.53 μm, a feature due to the molecular species of C2H2 and HCN is predicted by some of the cool dynamic models with a wind (GL04). This feature was observed in the spectra of cool C-rich Miras, such as R Lep (Laçon et al. 2000), V Cyg, cya 41 (faint Cygnus field star), and some other faint high-Galactic-latitude carbon stars (Joyce 1998).

The third class of profiles is represented by filter 3.175 (C2H2, HCN), which is placed right in the centre of an extremely strong absorption feature characteristic of C-star spectra (Fig. 2). Observations in this spectral region are a very powerful tool in understanding the upper photosphere. Here, the inner central part of the intensity profile can be described by an extended plateau due to the strong molecular opacity. All the filters defined around 12 μm – where C2H2 has an enhanced absorption – also belong to this class.

The second class of profiles has a behaviour in-between that of the two illustrated before with an indication of an outer dust shell around 8 AU. It is represented by filter 9.975 (“cont”) and covers the behaviour of the filter at 11.025 μm plus those defined in the 3 μm region (except 3.175 μm).

In Fourier space, the three representative visibility profiles also differ markedly (lower left panel of Fig. 3). The UD function, computed by fitting the point at visibility2 V = 0.3 of the 3.175 μm profile (for details, see Sect. 5), is shown for comparison in Fig. 3. The visibility profiles from the model and the UD differ at visibility <0.2, and in this region the model profile is less steep and has a less pronounced second lobe compared with the UD.

In the right panel of Fig. 3 we present the intensity and visibility profiles for the phase of maximum luminosity of the reference model without mass-loss. As expected from the model structures, the intensity profiles are more compact than those for models with mass-loss. In the intensity profile corresponding to the filter 9.975 around 1.3 AU, a peak related to the molecular opacity of C3 is visible. Jørgensen et al. (2000) showed that C3 is particularly sensitive to the temperature-pressure structure and, hence, tends to be found in narrower regions than other molecules. The peak visible at 3 AU of the profile of the model D1 is produced mainly by C3 but also produce other molecular and dust opacity contributions. These 9.975 μm peaks in the intensity profiles mean a marginally “ring”-type appearance of the disc. The shape of the intensity profiles for the models without mass-loss can be classified as having small to moderate limb-darkening (S03). In the Fourier space, the visibility profiles of the three filters for the series N look very similar, apart from tiny differences especially in the second lobe. In all cases, they can be approximated well by a UD in the first lobe.

Plots of theoretical continuum profiles for both reference models are very similar to UDs. The classification of filters is also valid for all other models in our sample listed in Table 1.

4. Synthetic profiles for broad-band filters

We also investigated intensity and visibility profiles for the broad-band filters J, H, K, and L′, because several observations of C-stars exist in these filters.

In Fig. 4, the resulting broad-band visibility profiles computed for the maximum phase of the reference models are shown together with the corresponding UD visibility, which was
Fig. 3. Intensity and visibility profiles for the narrow-band filters at the maximum phase of the mass-loss model D1 on the left side, and the model without mass-loss N1 on the right side. All the opacities are included in the computations. Profiles for three representative filters are shown together with the equivalent UD function for the 3.175 μm filter. The UD is fitted to the visibility point \( V = 0.3 \) marked with the diamond (details in Sect. 5).

When comparing observations with in a broad-band filter, particular attention must be paid to the smearing effect (also known as bandwidth smearing) affecting the measurements (Davis et al. 2000; Kervella et al. 2003; Verhoelst 2005). This is the chromatic aberration caused by observations never being monochromatic but integrated over a certain wavelength range defined by the broad-band filter curve. Furthermore, each physical baseline, \( B \), corresponds to a different spatial frequency \( u \) with \( u = \frac{B}{\lambda} \). Calculated at a given projected baseline, a broad-band visibility will result in a superposition of all the monochromatic profiles over the width of the band.

According to Kervella et al. (2003) and Wittkowski et al. (2004), the bandwidth smearing is stronger at low visibilities close to the first zero of the visibility profile. We demonstrated that the shape of the profile also plays an important role in the smearing effect.

We investigated this effect with the help of our reference models in the \( J, H, K, \) and \( L' \) filters. As explained in Sect. 2.2, the broad-band profile was computed by dividing the broad-band interval into ten narrow-band subfilters and then averaging the resulting profiles. To take into account the bandwidth smearing, we again divided the broad-band interval into the same set of 10 narrow-band subfilters. The spatial frequencies were then converted from \( \text{AU}^{-1} \) to baselines in meters, fixing the distance for an hypothetical object (500 pc) and using as corresponding “monochromatic wavelength” the respective central wavelength of the narrow-band filter. The resulting broad-band profile was then obtained using the above Eq. (2).

Figure 5 illustrates the bandwidth-smearing effect in the \( K \) band for the two reference model atmospheres (D1 on the left and N1 on the right). In the upper panels, it is clearly visible in the upper panels that the profiles of the narrow-band subfilters reach the first zero at different baselines. The bandwidth-smearing causes the broad-band profile to never reach zero, as noted in Kervella et al. (2003). We compared the resulting visibility curve with a corresponding one obtained without taking into account the bandwidth effect. The result of this experiment can be seen in the lower panels of Fig. 5, where we plot the difference (in percentage) between the visibility with...
Fig. 4. Visibility profiles computed in broad-band filters for the maximum phase of the model D1 with mass-loss on the left side, and the model N1 without mass-loss on the right side. The solid line corresponds to the model, while the dashed line is the equivalent UD computed by fitting one point at visibility \( V = 0.3 \).

and without taking into account the bandwidth smearing (BW and NBW respectively). For both types of models — with and without mass-loss — the smearing accounts for the differences in the profiles, which at certain baselines reaches more than 70%. For model N1, the major difference occurs close to the first and the second zero and is negligible elsewhere (as already shown in Kervella et al. 2003; Wittkowski et al. 2004), while in the case of the model D1 the behaviour of the relative difference is less obvious. This result is also confirmed for the other broad-band profiles. However, it obviously depends on the shape of the visibility profile and hence on the model.

In all the cases, we conclude it is important to compute accurate profiles by taking into account the bandwidth smearing.

5. Uniform disc (UD) diameters

For all models in the sample and all considered filters, we computed the equivalent UD-radii using three different methods: (i) a single-point fit at visibility \( V = 0.3 \); (ii) a two-point fit at visibilities \( V = 0.1 \) and 0.4; and (iii) finally a non-linear least squares fit using a Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm method, and taking into account all the points with visibility \( V > 0.1 \). As emphasised in Sect. 5 of Ireland et al. (2004a), none of these procedures are fully consistent because the UD does not represent the true shape of the profile (especially in the case of models with mass-loss). However, the UD is often chosen to interpret interferometric data with a small sampling of the visibility curve. Thus, the three different methods were used in this work to investigate the influence of the visibility profile sampling, in particular when only a few visibility measurements are available. We used the visibility \( V = 0.3 \) for the one-point-fit following Ireland et al. (2004a,b), who identified this point as a good estimator of the object size. While this is true for the M-type models presented in Ireland et al. (2004a,b), it is not obvious for our C-rich models with mass-loss, which are very far from exhibiting a UD behaviour (Sect. 3). However, keeping in mind that a UD is a first approximation, we also used this value for our computations of the one-point fit.

The aim of this section is to study the behaviour of the UD-radius versus both wavelength and phase, as well as the dependence on the different parameters of the models.

As we pointed out previously, while for the M-type stars the continuum radius can be measured around 1.04 \( \mu \)m (e.g. Jacob & Scholz 2002), our calculations show that the C-star spectra do not contain any suitable window for this determination. However, the continuum radius is a relevant quantity to modelling the stellar interior and pulsation. Therefore, we computed for our sample of dynamic model atmospheres a set of profiles by taking into account only the continuous gas opacity. The resulting profiles correspond to the pure theoretical continuum absorption of the models. An equivalent UD-continuum radius was computed for this theoretical “pure-continuum” profile. This
allows us to study how the UD-continuum radius depends upon wavelength and phase and may provide a possibility of converting observed radii into the continuum radius.

5.1. Uniform disc radii as a function of wavelength

The UD-radii resulting from least square fits at the maximum phase of all models are plotted versus wavelength in Fig. 6. They are scaled to $R_*$ to minimise the effects of the initial stellar parameters and enhance the effects of the dynamics and dust formation. The UD-continuum radii are represented with the cross symbols for D1 (upper panel) and N1 (lower panel). The major difference is found between models with and without mass-loss. In both cases, the UD-radius generally increases with wavelength, but this behaviour is more pronounced for mass-loss models. At 3.175 $\mu$m, there is a notable “discontinuity” (value significantly higher than its surroundings) in the UD-radius due to the high $C_2H_2$ opacity. The UD-continuum radius is almost completely independent of wavelength and can thus be adopted as a good reference radius (although it is not observable for C-stars!). In fact, a small increase in its size can hardly be noted at longer wavelengths (see Fig. 6), and at 1.6 $\mu$m the minimum opacity of $H^-$ is visible. The ratio of the apparent size of the continuum radius at 11 $\mu$m to that at 2.2 $\mu$m is 1.14 in the case of the model with mass-loss D1 and 1.06 for the model without mass-loss N1. This change in apparent size can be explained by the electron-hydrogen continuum, according to Tatebe & Townes (2006). All the models show a value of UD-continuum radius that is lower than the stellar radius $R_*$.

Comparing the effect of the different model parameters, we can say that model extensions increase with decreasing effective temperature and higher mass-loss values. The models D1 and D2 for example are identifiable as having a higher mass-loss rate for D2 because of the different period and $f_L$ value. The resulting UD-radii for D2 are systematically higher than that for D1. D5 has a higher piston velocity, and consequently a higher mass-loss, compared to D4, and the UD-radii obtained are larger. A comparison between D1-D2 and D4-D5 in Fig. 6 shows that the effect of the mass-loss rate on the UD-radius is larger beyond 3 $\mu$m. The model D3 has a systematically larger UD-radii than the one in the model D4 for the region between 1 and 2 $\mu$m and at 9 $\mu$m. At 3.175 $\mu$m, the trend is inverted, while for the other filters at 3 $\mu$m and at the longer wavelengths, the resulting UD-radii is the same for the two models. D3 and D4 differ in terms of temperature (2600 K for D3 and 2800 K for D4), piston velocity, period, and $f_L$, while the resulting mass-loss rate is quite similar.
its subgroup.

dependent phenomena (shock propagation, stellar wind, dust model atmospheres provide, a self-consistent treatment of time-

5.2. Separating models observationally

Fig. 6. UD-radii versus wavelength for the maximum phase of different models with (upper panel) and without (lower panel) mass-loss. The cross symbol represents the UD-continuum radius computed for the two reference models (D1, N1). The method used for the UD determination is a least squares fit using all the points of the synthetic profile with visibility >0.1.

On the other hand, in the absence of dusty winds the models with the same parameters except for C/O (N2 versus N3) have very similar radii. The difference is barely detectable at 3 \( \mu m \) and at longer wavelengths. If, besides different C/O ratios, the temperature of the model also changes (e.g., as between N4 and N5), the resulting UD-radii show more pronounced differences. The UD-radii of N1 always overlap with that of N2, even if the models have different temperatures (higher for N1), luminosity (higher for N2), and period (longer for N2). N3 and N4 have all the same parameters except for the piston velocity, and the resulting UD-radii is larger for the second model, which has a higher value of \( \Delta t_p \). Model N4 is also the most extended among its subgroup.

5.3. UD-radii as a function of time

The behaviour of the UD-radius as a function of phase predicted by the models is rather complex, especially in the case of mass-losing models. In Fig. 7, the UD-radius at a given wavelength/filter versus phase is plotted for the two reference models. The presence of molecular and dust opacities increases the UD-radius significantly compared to the UD-continuum radius. In both types of models, we can observe the periodic movement in the stellar interior, which is pronounced to a different degree for different models of Table 1. The periodic variation in the UD-radius in all the models without mass-loss reflects how the movement of the complete atmosphere closely follows the pulsation. The time scales introduced by dust formation in the atmosphere of the models with mass-loss may deform the sinusoidal pattern, a behaviour that can be reproduced by outer layers that do not move parallel to the inner ones. This is represented well in Fig. 2 of Höfner et al. (2003), but one should keep in mind that the movement of theoretical mass shells should not be directly compared to the changes in the atmospheric radius-density structure that we observe by interferometric measurements.

From the lower three panels of Fig. 7 (no mass-loss), it is obvious that there is no significant difference between the UD-radius and UD-continuum radius for the model N1, especially for the 1.53 \( \mu m \) and 9.975 \( \mu m \) filter close to minimum luminosity. We find the largest difference for the maximum luminosity (\( \phi = 0 \)), where the ratio of the UD-radius to the UD-continuum radius is 1.09 for the filter 3.175 \( \mu m \) and 1.04 for the other two filters. For the model D1 (upper panels), the value of the same ratio is 3.03 for the filter 1.53 \( \mu m \), 4.29 for 3.175 \( \mu m \), and 4.12 for 9.975 \( \mu m \), much higher than the values found for the models without mass-loss.

The three different fitting methods provide mostly the same result in the case of the model without mass-loss, while in the case of models with mass-loss, the UD-radius is smaller for the first 2 methods (one- and two-points fit) than for the least squares result. This last result can be explained by going back to our initial classification of profiles (Sect. 3). The first and third class of profiles belong to the “uncommon” profiles of S03, which cannot be approximated by UD functions. The third class of profiles should be detectable given the typical distance uncertainties of 20%. However, as discussed above, this would mostly separate the effects of the fundamental stellar parameters (initial radius, luminosity) and allows to differentiate between N and D models, but dynamic effects within each series are only of the order of between 10% and 25%. This can be seen in Fig. 6 or if one scales each model to e.g., its UD-radius in the K-band. The latter approach would be the most sensitive since it eliminates errors in the distance (provided the measurements were completed at the same pulsating phase, better within the same pulsation cycle). Assuming that individual UD-radii can be determined with an accuracy between 5% and 10%, the dynamic effects are (barely) identifiable in the D models. The most sensitive wavelengths in this respect would be filters in the K- and L-band. The N-band shows a similar sensitivity to dynamic effects, although at a reduced observational accuracy. For the N models, these effects are less than 10%, the largest difference again occurring redward of the K-band. Nevertheless, the optimal strategy for choosing the correct dynamic model is to combine spectroscopic and interferometric observations.

Finally, we note, that for a larger grid of models (e.g., Mattsson et al. 2009), a wider separation of models might become evident. This will however be the subject of a future paper.
Fig. 7. UD-radii versus phase are shown for three different narrow-band filters. The three upper panels represent results for the dynamic model D1 with mass-loss, the three panels at the bottom being for the model N1 without mass-loss. Triangles are for UD-radius computed by taking into account only continuum opacity. For the continuum, the same symbol is used for the different methods because there is no appreciable difference between the results. Crosses, stars, and diamonds represent the different methods (one point, two points, least squares, respectively) used for fitting the visibility profiles of models with UDs.

(9.975 μm) in particular deviates the most from a UD (see Fig. 3).

Even after increasing the number of fitting points, the resulting UD-radius cannot be considered reliable because of the particular shape of the profiles. From Fig. 7, for the narrow-filter it is also clear that it is impossible to define a single, phase-independent scaling factor that enables the UD-radii to be converted to the UD-continuum radii.

We also computed the equivalent UD-radii and UD-continuum radii for the broad-band filters. The results are shown in Fig. 8. The broad-band has the effect of smoothing the visibility profile as can be inferred in the UD-radii behaviour versus phase from the smaller amplitude of the radius variation. As for the narrow-band, in the case of broad-band filters the UD-radii versus phase for the set of models D also shows a behaviour that is not synchronised with the pulsation. The ratio of the UD-radius to UD-continuum radius is not constant with phase and/or wavelength. For the phase zero, it corresponds to 2.08 in J, 2.83 in H, 2.14 in K, and 2.54 in L’ band. In the lower panels of Fig. 8, where the model N1 is represented, the resulting UD-radius is always closer to the UD-continuum radius. The ratio of the UD-radius to UD-continuum radius for the phase zero is almost constant: 1.03 for J and H, 1.04 for K and L’. Again, the amplitudes of variation in the radius for the N models are smaller than for the narrow-band filters, and the variation follows the near-sinusoidal behaviour of the inner layers.

For the broad-band filters, the difference in the fitting methods confirms the trend found for the narrow-band case. For the models with mass-loss, the one-point and two-points fit methods produce smaller UD-radii than those produced by the least squares method. The UD-radii, resulting from different methods, agree closely in the case of models without mass-loss and the same result is also obtained for all the UD-continuum measurements.

The dependence of UD-radius on the pulsation cycle is not covered by this contribution, and it will be topic of a future contribution.

5.4. Comparison with M-type stars

Compared to the M-type stars, for the C-stars we emphasise the lack of a spectral window for measuring a pure continuum radius. A model-dependent definition of the continuum radius is thus needed for the cool carbon stars. In Ireland et al. (2004a), the phase variation in filter radii in units of the parent star radius $R_p^3$ is represented for different near-continuum narrow and broad-band filters. They demonstrate how measurements of radii in near-continuum bandpasses are affected by molecular contaminations that mask the geometrical pulsation. This
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Fig. 8. UD-radius versus phase for \textit{JHKL'} broad-band filters. The four panels show the results for the model D1 with mass-loss. Plot symbols are the same as in Fig. 7.

contamination is negligible only close to the visual maximum. Other suitable band-passes for measuring the pulsation of the continuum layers for M-type stars are the broad-band filters \textit{J} and \textit{H}, or the sub-bandpasses of \textit{H} and \textit{K}.

It is difficult to complete a consistent comparison between the UD-radii computed in this work for C-stars and the resulting UD-radii of Jacob & Scholz (2002) for M-type stars because of the intrinsic differences between the modelling approaches, and because of the different stellar parameters. However as a general statement we can say that the ratios of UD-radii to UD-continuum that we obtain are systematically larger for our models with mass-loss than those presented for M-type stars in Figs. 4 and 5 of Ireland et al. (2004a). More comparable are the resulting ratios for models without mass-loss. The largest difference is caused by the strong molecular (first of all C$_2$H$_2$) and dust opacities that characterise the C-star spectra. The UD-radius increases with wavelength and in the case of carbon-rich model atmospheres with mass-loss, this increase is larger than that found by Jacob & Scholz (2002) for M-type stars. In particular, the ratio of the UD-radius in \textit{J} to the UD-radius in \textit{L'} for our model D1 at phase zero is 2.07, while for the M-type models presented in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 of Jacob & Scholz (2002), it is 1.4 in the case of largest discrepancy (phase 1.5). The same ratio for our N1 model is 1.03, meaning that this model without mass-loss shows an increase in the UD-radius with wavelength lower than that of the M-type stars.

6. Conclusions

We have presented, for the first time, a theoretical study of the intensity and visibility profiles computed for a set of dynamic model atmospheres of C-rich AGB stars. The main results of our investigation can be summarised as follows:

- The profiles computed in the narrow-band filters for our set of models can be divided into 3 morphological classes mainly according to wavelength region, with the exception of the filter located at 3.175 \textmu m in the middle of a strong absorption feature characteristic of C-star spectra. This filter shows a behaviour more closely related to one of the narrow-band filters in the region around 12 \textmu m than to the surrounding wavelength range. We have chosen three filters to represent the morphology of intensity and visibility profiles: 1.53, 9.975, and 3.175 \textmu m, for the first, second, and third class, respectively. The intensity profile of the first class is characterised by a limb-darkened disc followed by a tail-shape with a bump and a peak. The 3.175-like profiles show an extended plateau due to strong molecular opacity. The second class is intermediate between the other two.

- The morphology of the profiles (narrow and broad-band) of models with mass-loss is very far from the UD shape. Models without mass-loss, and all theoretical continuum profiles can be approximated quite well by a UD.

- Bandwidth smearing affects the resulting broad-band profiles with an error of about 70% for certain baselines. For models with mass-loss, the difference is notable not only close to the first zero but also on the flank of the first lobe of the visibility profile. When comparing models with observations in broad-band, it is important to take this effect properly into account.

- C-star UD-radii are wavelength-dependent. The dependency is stronger in the case of models with mass-loss, in this case the UD-radii also exhibit significant large phase dependence. Around 3 \textmu m and in the \textit{N}-band, the star appears more
extended because of $C_2H_2$ opacity. The UD-continuum radius is mostly independent of the wavelength and phase.

- The extensions of the models increase for higher mass-loss rates and lower temperatures.
- Models with mass-loss show a complicated behaviour of the UD-radius versus phase compared to models without mass-loss. This behaviour is not dependent on the pulsation of the interior.
- Using only one or two points of visibility to determine the UD-radius of objects characterised by strong pulsation and mass-loss, it is shown that the radius of the star is smaller than the one measured using more points (least squares method). In the case of models without mass-loss, the different methods of fitting yield the same UD-radius.
- The UD-radius is very close to the UD-continuum radius in the case of models without mass-loss.
- The trend of the UD-radii versus phase in broad-band is smoother than in the case of the narrow-band filters.
- In contrast to O-rich stars, for C-rich stars no spectral windows for observing the continuum radius are available in the case of C-stars, and some assumptions based on models are necessary. A useful replacement for a measured continuum radius is the UD-continuum radius defined in Sect. 5, which is basically independent of wavelength and pulsation phase.
- The difference in the UD-radii between the models should be detectable observationally, in particular in the L-band where MATISSE (Lopez et al. 2006) will provide new observational opportunities.
- The radius computed with the UD function must be considered only as a first guess of the true size of the star. The intensity and visibility profiles of a C-star, especially in the case of models producing mass-loss, are very far from being UD-like.

A comparison of the dynamic models with available sets of observations is under way, and the intensity and visibility profiles of specific models can be provided upon request.
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