

Connections in a Bundle

Maximilian Thaller

May 31, 2013

1 Reminders

1.1 Definitions

Definition 3.11 The *exterior derivative* of a function $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ is the one-form df defined by

$$\langle df, X \rangle := Xf = L_X f$$

for all vector fields X on \mathcal{M} . In local coordinates:

$$(df)_p = \sum_{\mu=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \right)_p f(dx^\mu)_p.$$

Definition 3.15 If ω is an n -form on \mathcal{M} with $1 \leq n < \dim \mathcal{M}$ then the *exterior derivative* of ω is the $(n+1)$ -form $d\omega$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}) &:= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} X_i(\omega(X_1, \dots, \cancel{X}_i, \dots, X_{n+1})) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], X_1, \dots, \cancel{X}_i, \dots, \cancel{X}_j, \dots, X_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all vector fields X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n+1} .

If ω is a one-form, the 2-form $d\omega$ acting on any pair of vector fields X, Y is

$$d\omega(X, Y) = X(\langle \omega, Y \rangle) - Y(\langle \omega, X \rangle) - \langle \omega, [X, Y] \rangle.$$

Note that the notation $X(\langle \omega, Y \rangle)$ means the effect of acting with the vector field X on the function $\langle \omega, Y \rangle$ in $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.

Definition 4.10 Let G be a Lie group that has a right action $g \rightarrow \delta_g$ on a differentiable manifold \mathcal{M} . Then the vector field X^A on \mathcal{M} induced by the action of the one-parameter subgroup $\exp tA$, $A \in T_e G$, is defined as

$$X_p^A(f) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(p \exp tA) \right|_{t=0}$$

where $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$, and $\delta_g(p)$ has been abbreviated to pg .

1.2 The pull back of a one form

Let \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} be manifolds with local coordinates $\{x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\}, \{y^1, y^2, \dots, y^m\}$ and $h : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. The local coordinate representation of the one-form ω in the manifold \mathcal{N} is given by

$$\omega_{h(p)} = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \omega_{\nu}(h(p)) (dy^{\nu})_{h(p)} \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{M}.$$

The components of the pull-back of ω (then in \mathcal{M}) are given by

$$(h^*\omega)_{\mu}(p) = \left\langle h^*\omega, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \right\rangle_p := \left\langle \omega, h_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \right)_p \right\rangle_{h(p)}.$$

The push-forward of $(\partial/\partial x^{\mu})_p$ at the point p can be expressed in terms of the Jacobian matrix of the map h :

$$(h^*\omega)_p = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \omega_{\nu}(h(p)) \sum_{\mu=1}^m \frac{\partial h^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}}(p) (dx^{\mu})_p.$$

1.3 The Lie algebra of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$

Consider the connected component $GL^+(n, \mathbb{R})$ of the general linear group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ (open subset of the linear space $M(n, \mathbb{R})$).

The tangent space at any point $g \in G$ can be identified with $M(n, \mathbb{R})$ which can therefore in turn be associated with the Lie algebra of $GL^+(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Coordinates on $G = GL^+(n, \mathbb{R})$ can be the matrix elements:

$$x^{ij}(g) := g^{ij}.$$

Let $A \in T_e G \cong M(n, \mathbb{R})$. Consider the with A associated left invariant vector field $L_g^A = l_{g*}(A)$:

$$L_g^A = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (L^A x^{ij})_g \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{ij}} \right)_g$$

where

$$(L^A x^{ij})_g = \frac{d}{dt} (x^{ij}(g \exp tA))_{t=0}$$

(just the definition 4.10, but x^{ij} is the coordinate function). Since we are dealing with a matrix group, $\exp tA = e^{tA}$ where e^A is the normal matrix exponential function. Thus we can calculate the components $L_g^A x^{ij}$ of the left invariant vector field L^A :

$$L_g^A x^{ij} = \frac{d}{dt} x^{ij}(g \cdot e^{tA}) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} (g \cdot e^{tA})^{ij} \Big|_{t=0} = \sum_{k=1}^n g^{ik} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{d}{dt} (e^{tA})^{kj} \Big|_{t=0}}_{=A^{kj}} = (g \cdot A)^{ij}.$$

Thus, the vector field has the form

$$L_g^A = \sum_{i,j} (g \cdot A)^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{ij}} \right)_g.$$

This representation gives

$$[L^{A'}, L^A] = L^{[A', A]}$$

where $[A', A]$ is the usual matrix commutator: hence the Lie algebra structure induced on $T_e GL^+(n, \mathbb{R}) \cong M(n, \mathbb{R})$ is just the commutator of the matrices.

A natural basis for $M(n, \mathbb{R})$ is the set of matrices E_{ij} defined as

$$(E_{ij})_{kl} := \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}$$

and the associated left-invariant vector fields are

$$L_g^{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n g^{ki} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{kj}} \right)_g.$$

1.4 The Cartan-Maurer form

Definition 4.6 The *Cartan-Maurer* form Ξ is the $L(G)$ (= left invariant vector fields on G) valued one-form on G that associates with any $v \in T_g G$ the left-invariant vector field on G whose value at $g \in G$ is precisely the given tangent vector v .

Specifically, if $\langle \Xi, v \rangle$ denotes this left-invariant vector field then

$$\langle \Xi, v \rangle(g') := l_{g'*}(l_{g^{-1}*}v)$$

for all $v \in T_g G$.

- On the left-invariant vector fields L^A , the expression becomes

$$\langle \Xi, L_g^A \rangle(g') = L_{g'}^A.$$

- Since $L(G) \cong T_e G$, we may write

$$\langle \Xi, L_g^A \rangle = A.$$

- Consider $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ with $T_e G \cong M(n, \mathbb{R})$. The Cartan-Maurer form has to fulfill

$$\langle \Xi^{ij}, L_g^A \rangle = A^{ij}.$$

Hence Ξ^{ij} is given by

$$\Xi_g^{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n (g^{-1})^{ik} (dx^{kj})_g$$

which can be shown easily:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Xi^{ij}, L_g^A \rangle &= \sum_{k,l,m=1}^n (g^{-1})^{ik} (gA)^{lm} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{lm}} \right)_g (dx^{kj})_g}_{=\delta_l^k \delta_m^j} \\ &= \sum_{k,n=1}^n \underbrace{(g^{-1})^{ik} g^{kn}}_{\delta^{in}} A^{nj} = A^{ij} \end{aligned}$$

- Consider now a map $\Omega : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow G$ where \mathcal{M} is some differentiable manifold and G is a group of matrices. Ω could be thought of as a gauge function. Then $\Omega^* \Xi$ is a $L(g)$ -valued

one-form on \mathcal{M} . We calculate the components of $\Omega^*\Xi$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle (\Omega^*\Xi)_p^{ij}, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\right)_p \right\rangle &= \left\langle \Xi^{ij}, \Omega_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\right) \right\rangle_{\Omega(p)} \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^n (\Omega^{-1}(p))^{ik} (dx^{kj})_{\Omega(p)}, \Omega_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\right) \right\rangle_{\Omega(p)} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n (\Omega^{-1}(p))^{ik} \Omega_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\right)_p (x^{kj}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n (\Omega^{-1}(p))^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \underbrace{x^{kj}(\Omega(p))}_{=\Omega^{kj}(p)}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$(\Omega^*\Xi)_p^{ij} = \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n (\Omega^{-1}(p))^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \Omega^{kj}(p) (dx^\mu)_p$$

which is often written rather symbolically as

$$\Omega^*\Xi = \Omega^{-1}d\Omega.$$

2 Connections in a Principal Bundle

2.1 Introduction

Consider a principal bundle $G \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ($\mathcal{M} \cong P/G$). We want to compare points in neighbouring fibres and need therefore vectors that point from one fibre to another.

We know already that to each $A \in L(G)$ (left invariant vector fields on G) there corresponds an induced vector field X^A on P (in an isomorphic way) which represents the Lie algebra of G homomorphically, i.e. $[X^A, X^B] = X^{[A,B]}$ for all $A, B \in L(G)$. The vector $X_p^A \in T_pP$ is tangent to the fibre at $p \in P$. This gives raise to the following definition.

Definition Let $G \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a principal bundle and $p \in P$. The *vertical subspace* V_pP of a tangent space T_pP at p is defined to be

$$V_p := \{\tau \in T_pP \mid \pi_*\tau = 0\}$$

where $\pi : P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the projection in the bundle.

Definition 6.1 A *connection* in a principal bundle $G \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a smooth assignment to each point $p \in P$ of a subspace H_pP of T_pP such that

- (a) $T_pP \simeq V_pP \oplus H_pP$ for all $p \in P$
- (b) $\delta_{g*}(H_pP) = H_{pg}P$ for all $g \in G, p \in P$

where $\delta_g(p) := pg$ denotes the right action of G on P .

- Any tangent vector $\tau \in T_pP$ can be decomposed uniquely into a sum of *vertical* and *horizontal* components lying in V_pP and H_pP , $\tau = \text{ver}(\tau) + \text{hor}(\tau)$. These components will be denoted by $\text{ver}(\tau)$ and $\text{hor}(\tau)$ respectively.

- Consider the isomorphic map $\iota : L(G) \rightarrow \text{VFlds}(P)$, $A \mapsto X^A$. A connection can be associated with a certain $L(G)$ -valued one-form ω on P in the following way:

$$\omega_p(\tau) := \iota^{-1}(\text{ver}(\tau)).$$

Note that

1. $\omega_p(X^A) = A$ for all $p \in P, A \in L(G)$
2. $\delta_g^* \omega = \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\omega)$, i.e., $(\delta_g^* \omega)_p(\tau) = \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\omega_p(\tau))$, for all $\tau \in T_p P$
where $\text{Ad}_g(g') = gg'g^{-1}$ (adjoint map)
(Remember theorem 4.10: $X^{\text{Ad}_{g^*}(A)} = \delta_{g^{-1}*}(X^A)$).
3. $\tau \in H_p P \Leftrightarrow \omega_p(\tau) = 0$.

2.2 Local representatives of a connection

Theorem 6.1 Let $\sigma : U \subset \mathcal{M} \rightarrow P$ be a local section of a principal bundle $G \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ which is equipped with a connection one-form ω . Define the local σ -representative of ω to be the $L(G)$ valued one-form ω^U on the open set $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ given by $\omega^U = \sigma^* \omega$. Let $h : U \times G \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U) \subset P$ be the local trivialisation of P induced by σ according to $h(x, g) := \sigma(x)g$.

Then if $(\alpha, \beta) \in T_{(x,g)}(U \times G) \simeq T_x U \oplus T_g G$, the local representative $h^* \omega$ of ω on $U \times G$ can be written in terms of the local 'Yang-Mills' field ω^U as

$$(h^* \omega)_{(x,g)}(\alpha, \beta) = \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\omega_x^U(\alpha)) + \Xi_g(\beta)$$

where Ξ is the Cartan-Maurer $L(G)$ -valued one-form on G .

Proof Factor the map $h : U \times G \rightarrow P$ as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times G & \xrightarrow{\sigma \times \text{id}} & P \times G & \xrightarrow{\delta} & P \\ (x, g) & \mapsto & (\sigma(x), g) & \mapsto & \sigma(x)g \end{array}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (h^* \omega)_{(x,g)}(\alpha, \beta) &= ((\sigma \times \text{id})^* \delta^* \omega)_{(x,g)}(\alpha, \beta) \\ &= (\delta^* \omega)_{(\sigma(x), g)}(\sigma_* \alpha, \beta) = \omega_{\sigma(x)g}((\delta \circ i_g)_* \sigma_* \alpha + (\delta \circ j_{\sigma(x)})_* \beta) \end{aligned}$$

where $i_g : P \rightarrow P \times G, p \mapsto (p, g)$, and $j : G \rightarrow P \times G, g \mapsto (g, p)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \circ i_g(p) &= \delta(p, g) = pg, \text{ i.e., } \delta \circ i_g = \delta_g : P \rightarrow P \\ \delta \circ j_p(g) &= \delta(p, g) = pg, \text{ i.e., } \delta \circ j_p = P_p : G \rightarrow P \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (using the definition of the pull-back of a one-form in the first summand)

$$\begin{aligned} (h^* \omega)_{(x,y)}(\alpha, \beta) &= \omega_{\sigma(x)g}((\delta \circ i_g)_* \sigma_* \alpha) + \omega_{\sigma(x)g}((\delta \circ j_{\sigma(x)})_* \beta) \\ &= (\delta_g^* \omega_{\sigma(x)g})(\sigma_* \alpha) + \omega_{\sigma(x)g}(P_{\sigma(x)} \beta). \end{aligned}$$

- We have already discussed: $\delta_g^* \omega_{\sigma(x)g} = \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\omega_{\sigma(x)})$
- For some $A \in L(G)$ it is $\beta = L_g^A$. Therefore $\Xi_g(\beta) = \langle \Xi_g, \beta \rangle = A$
- This A is the second summand: We have $P_{\sigma(x)}(L_g^A) = X_{\sigma(x)}^A$ and $\omega(X^A) = A$.

Thus we have

$$(h^* \omega)_{(x,g)}(\alpha, \beta) = \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\omega_{\sigma(x)}(\sigma_* \alpha)) * \Xi_g(\beta) = \underbrace{\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\omega_x^U(\alpha))}_{\text{Yang-Mills field on } \mathcal{M}} + \Xi_g(\beta)$$

for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in T_x U \oplus T_g G$, as desired. \square

2.3 Local gauge transformations

Definition In general, a *gauge transformation* in the principal bundle $G \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is defined to be any principal automorphism of the bundle.

Theorem 6.2 Let ω be a connection on the principal bundle $G \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and let $\sigma_1 : U_1 \rightarrow P$ and $\sigma_2 : U_2 \rightarrow P$ be two local trivialisations on open sets $U_1, U_2 \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$. Let $A_\mu^{(1)} = \sigma_1^* \omega$ and $A_\mu^{(2)} = \sigma_2^* \omega$ denote the local representatives of ω with respect to σ_1 and σ_2 respectively. Let $\Omega : U_1 \cap U_2 \rightarrow G$ be the unique local gauge function defined by

$$\sigma_2(x) = \sigma_1(x)\Omega(x) = \delta_{\Omega(x)}(\sigma_1(x)).$$

Then the local representatives are related on $U_1 \cap U_2$ by

$$A_\mu^{(2)}(x) = \text{Ad}_{\Omega(x)^{-1}}(A_\mu^{(1)}(x)) + (\Omega^* \Xi)_\mu(x).$$

Proof Consider $A_\mu^{(2)}(x) := (\sigma_2^* \omega)_x(\partial_\mu)$. Now we factorise $\sigma_2 : U_1 \cap U_2 \rightarrow P$ as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_1 \cap U_2 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_1 \times \Omega} & P \times G & \xrightarrow{\delta} & P \\ x & \mapsto & (\sigma_1(x), \Omega(x)) & \mapsto & \sigma_1(x)\Omega(x). \end{array}$$

Thus we write

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu^{(2)}(x) &= ((\sigma_1 \times \Omega)^* \delta^* \omega)_x(\partial_\mu) \\ &= (\delta^* \omega)_{(\sigma_1(x), \Omega(x))}(\sigma_{1*}(\partial_\mu)_x, \Omega_*(\partial_\mu)_x) = \omega_{\sigma_1(x)\Omega(x)}(\delta_*(\sigma_{1*}(\partial_\mu)_x), \Omega_*(\partial_\mu)_x) \\ &= \omega_{\sigma_1(x)\Omega(x)}(\delta_{\Omega(x)*} \sigma_{1*}(\partial_\mu)_x + P_{\sigma_1(x)*} \Omega_*(\partial_\mu)_x) \\ &= \omega_{\sigma_1(x)\Omega(x)}(\delta_{\Omega(x)*} \sigma_{1*}(\partial_\mu)_x) + \omega_{\sigma_1(x)\Omega(x)}(P_{\sigma_1(x)*} \Omega_*(\partial_\mu)_x) \\ &= \delta_{\Omega(x)*} \omega_{\sigma_1(x)}(\sigma_{1*}(\partial_\mu)_x) + \omega_{\sigma_1(x)\Omega(x)}(P_{\sigma_1(x)*} \Omega_*(\partial_\mu)_x) \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use the same arguments as in the previous proof. E.g. there is an $A \in T_e G$ such that $\Omega_*(\partial_\mu)_x = L_{\Omega(x)}^A$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu^{(2)}(x) &= \text{Ad}_{\Omega(x)^{-1}}(\omega_{\sigma_1(x)}(\sigma_{1*}(\partial_\mu)_x)) + \langle \Xi_{\Omega(x)}, \Omega_*(\partial_\mu)_x \rangle \\ &= \text{Ad}_{\Omega(x)^{-1}}(A_\mu^{(1)}(x)) + (\Omega^* \Xi)_\mu(x). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Matrix groups Now, we assume G to be a matrix group. The group action will be the matrix multiplication. Thus we can calculate the adjoint map:

$$\text{Ad}_{\Omega(x)^{-1}}(A_\mu^{(1)}(x)) = \Omega(x)^{-1} A_\mu^{(1)}(x) \Omega(x).$$

We also discussed already the pull-back of the Cartan-Maurer form on a matrix group with a map $\Omega : \rightarrow G$:

$$(\Omega^* \Xi)_\mu(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\Omega^{-1}(p))^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \Omega^{kj}(x) = \Omega^{-1}(p) \partial_\mu \Omega(x)$$

Altogether, one obtains

$$A_\mu^{(2)}(x) = \Omega(x)^{-1} A_\mu^{(1)}(x) \Omega(x) + \Omega^{-1}(p) \partial_\mu \Omega(x).$$

2.4 Example: Connections in the frame bundle

The base space is an m -dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} . The total space $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{M})$ is the space of all frames b ($=$ ordered set (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) of basis vectors of $T_x\mathcal{M}$, $x \in \mathcal{M}$) at all points in \mathcal{M} . The projection map $\pi : \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ takes a frame into the point to which it is attached.

There is a natural free right-action of $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{M})$ given by

$$(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)g := \left(\sum_{j_1=1}^m b_{j_1} g_{j_1 1}, \sum_{j_2=1}^m b_{j_2} g_{j_2 2}, \dots, \sum_{j_m=1}^m b_{j_m} g_{j_m m} \right) \Leftrightarrow \delta_g(b) = b \cdot g$$

for all $g \in GL(m, \mathbb{R})$.

Let $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a coordinate neighbourhood with coordinate functions (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) . Then any base $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$ for the vector space $T_x\mathcal{M}$, $x \in U$ can be expanded uniquely as

$$b_i = \sum_{j=1}^m b_i^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right)_x, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

for some non singular matrix $b_i^j \in GL(m, \mathbb{R})$. Any local coordinate chart (U, ϕ) on \mathcal{M} provides a local section

$$\sigma : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{M}), \quad x \mapsto \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \right)_x, \dots, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right)_x \right).$$

Let ω be a $(L(GL(m, \mathbb{R})))$ valued connection one-form on $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{M})$ and let

$$\Gamma := \sigma^*\omega, \quad \Gamma_\mu(x) = (\sigma^*\omega)_x(\partial_\mu)$$

be the local σ -representative of ω . We now want to calculate the local σ' -representative Γ' of ω associated with another coordinate chart (U', ϕ') such that $U \cap U' \neq \emptyset$ where

$$\sigma' : U' \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{M}), \quad x \mapsto \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x'^1} \right)_x, \dots, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x'^m} \right)_x \right).$$

The coordinate transformation for all $x \in U \cap U'$ is given by

$$(\partial_{\mu'})_x = \sum_{\nu=1}^m J_\mu^\nu(x) (\partial_\nu)_x, \quad J_\mu^\nu(x) := \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x'^\mu}(x) \quad (\text{Jacobian})$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma'_\mu(x) &= (\sigma'^*\omega)_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\mu} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m J_\mu^\alpha(x) (\sigma'^*\omega)_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Theorem 6.2}}{=} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m J_\mu^\alpha(x) \left(\text{Ad}_{J(x)^{-1}} \left((\sigma^*\omega)_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \right) + (J^*\Xi)_\alpha(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^m J_\mu^\alpha(x) (J^{-1}(x) \Gamma_\alpha(x) J(x) + J^{-1}(x) \partial_\alpha J(x)). \end{aligned}$$

The Lie algebra of $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ is $M(m, \mathbb{R})$. We can take a basis of this space $\{G_\chi^\lambda | \chi, \lambda = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and express the entries of the matrix-valued one-form Γ_μ in virtue of this basis:

$$(\Gamma_\mu)_\delta^\epsilon = \sum_{\lambda, \chi=1}^m \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\chi (G_\chi^\lambda)_\delta^\epsilon$$

If one chooses the basis $(G_\chi^\lambda)_\delta^\epsilon := \delta_\chi^\epsilon \delta_\delta^\lambda$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma'_{\mu\delta}{}^\epsilon(x) &= (\Gamma'_\mu(x))_\delta^\epsilon = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m J_\mu^\alpha(x) (J^{-1}(x)\Gamma_\alpha(x)J(x) + J^{-1}(x)\partial_\alpha J(x))_\delta^\epsilon \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,\rho,\chi=1}^m J_\mu^\alpha(x)(J^{-1})_\chi^\epsilon(x)\Gamma_{\alpha\rho}{}^\chi(x)J_\delta^\rho(x) + \sum_{\alpha,\lambda=1}^m J_\mu^\alpha(x)(J^{-1})_\lambda^\epsilon(x)\partial_\alpha J_\delta^\lambda(x) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,\rho,\chi=1}^m \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial x'^\epsilon}{\partial x^\chi} \frac{\partial x^\rho}{\partial x'^\delta} \Gamma_{\alpha\rho}{}^\chi + \sum_{\alpha,\lambda=1}^m \frac{\partial x'^\epsilon}{\partial x^\lambda} \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial^2 x^\lambda}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x'^\delta} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,\rho,\chi=1}^m \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\rho}{\partial x'^\delta} \frac{\partial x'^\epsilon}{\partial x^\chi} \Gamma_{\alpha\rho}{}^\chi + \sum_{\lambda=1}^m \frac{\partial x'^\epsilon}{\partial x^\lambda} \frac{\partial^2 x^\lambda}{\partial x'^\mu \partial x'^\delta},
\end{aligned}$$

the transformation law for the Christoffel symbols.

3 The curvature two-form

Definition 6.8

1. If ω is any k -form on a principal bundle space $P(\xi)$, the *exterior covariant derivative* of ω is the horizontal $(k+1)$ -form $D\omega$ defined by

$$D\omega := d\omega \circ \text{hor}$$

i.e.,

$$D\omega(X_1, x_2, \dots, X_{k+1}) = d\omega(\text{hor}X_1, \text{hor}X_2, \dots, \text{hor}X_{k+1})$$

for any set $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k+1}\}$ of vector fields on $P(\xi)$.

2. If ω is a connection one-form on $P(\xi)$, the *curvature two-form* of ω is defined as $G := D\omega$.

Theorem 6.4 If $G = D\omega$ is the curvature 2-form of the connection ω , then on an arbitrary pair of vector fields X and Y on $P(\xi)$ we have, for all $p \in P(\xi)$,

$$G_p(X, Y) = d\omega_p(X, Y) + [\omega_p(X), \omega_p(Y)]$$

where $[\omega_p(X), \omega_p(Y)]$ denotes the Lie bracket in $L(G)$ between the Lie algebra elements $\omega_p(X)$ and $\omega_p(Y)$.

Proof Since both sides of the assertion are linear in X and Y , it suffices to prove the relation for the three choices: (i) X, Y are horizontal; (ii) X, Y are vertical; (iii) X is horizontal, Y is vertical.

- (i) Remember: $\omega_p(\tau)$ yields the vector in $L(G)$ that induces via $A \mapsto X^A$ the vertical part of T_pP . Thus $\omega_p(\tau) = \omega_p(\text{ver}(\tau) + \text{hor}(\tau)) = \omega(\text{ver}(\tau))$.

In this case: $[\omega_p(X), \omega_p(Y)] = [0, 0] = 0$ and per definition $D\omega_p(X, Y) = d\omega_p(\text{hor}(X), \text{hor}(Y)) = d\omega_p(X, Y)$.

- (ii) If X and Y are vertical vector fields then there exist $A, B \in L(G)$ which induce X, Y , i.e. $X_p = X_p^A, Y_p = X_p^B$. We calculate the right hand side of the assertion:

$$\begin{aligned}
& d\omega_p(X, Y) + [\omega_p(X), \omega_p(Y)] \\
&= d\omega_p(X^A, X^B) + [\omega_p(X^A), \omega_p(X^B)] \\
&= X_p^A(\omega_p(X^B)) - X_p^B(\omega_p(X^A)) - \underbrace{\omega_p([X^A, X^B])}_{=X^{[A, B]}} + [\omega_p(X^A)\omega_p(X^B)] \\
&= \underbrace{X_p^A(B)}_{=0} - \underbrace{X_p^B(A)}_{=0} - [A, B] + [A, B] = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we calculate the left hand side:

$$G_p(X, Y) = d\omega(\text{hor}(X), \text{hor}(Y)) = d\omega(0, 0) = 0$$

- (iii) X is horizontal, Y is vertical. The left hand side is easy:

$$G_p(X, Y) = d\omega(\text{hor}(X), \text{hor}(Y)) = d\omega(X, 0) = 0$$

Next, we calculate

$$[\omega_p(X), \omega_p(Y)] = [0, \omega_p(Y)] = 0.$$

It remains $d\omega_p(X, Y)$. Again, we use that there is an $A \in L(G)$ such that $Y_p = X_p^A$. This yields

$$d\omega_p(X, Y) = \underbrace{X(\omega_p(X_p^A))}_{=X(A)=0} - X_p^A(\underbrace{\omega_p(X)}_{=0}) - \underbrace{\omega_p([X, X^A])}_{=0 \text{ since } [X, X^A] \text{ is horizontal}} = 0. \quad \square$$

3.1 Gauge field tensor

Let $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n\}$ be a basis of $L(G)$, let $\{\partial_1, \partial_2, \dots, \partial_m\}$ be a basis of $T_p\mathcal{M}$ and let $\{d^1, d^2, \dots, d^m\}$ be the dual basis, thus a basis of $T_p\mathcal{M}^*$. We consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^*\omega(X) &=: A(X) = A^\alpha(X)E_\alpha = A_\beta^\alpha d^\beta(X)E_\alpha \\
&= A_\beta^\alpha X^\gamma \underbrace{d^\beta(\partial_\gamma)}_{\delta_\gamma^\beta} E_\alpha = A_\beta^\alpha X^\beta E_\alpha \Rightarrow A^\alpha(X) = A_\beta^\alpha X^\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $A^\alpha(\partial_\mu) = A_\mu^\alpha$.

The next aim is to calculate $F := \sigma^*G = \sigma^*D\omega$. First, we calculate as helping identity

$$\begin{aligned}
(A^b \wedge A^c)(X, Y) &= \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^m (A^b \wedge A^c)(\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu) \\
&= \sum_{\mu, \nu, \beta, \gamma} X^\mu Y^\nu A_\beta^b A_\gamma^c \underbrace{(d^\beta \wedge d^\gamma)(\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu)}_{=\delta_\mu^\beta \delta_\nu^\gamma - \delta_\mu^\gamma \delta_\nu^\beta} = \sum_{\beta, \gamma} (X^\beta A_\beta^b Y^\gamma A_\gamma^c - X^\gamma A_\gamma^b Y^\beta A_\beta^c) \\
&= A^b(X)A^c(Y) - A^c(X)A^b(Y).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
F(X, Y) &\stackrel{\text{Theorem 6.4}}{=} dA(X, Y) + [A(X), A(Y)] \\
&= dA(X, Y)^\alpha E_\alpha + \sum_{\beta, \gamma} A^\beta(X) A^\gamma(Y) \underbrace{[E_\beta, E_\gamma]}_{=\sum_\alpha C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha E_\alpha} \quad | C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = -C_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha \\
&= dA(X, Y)^\alpha E_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \underbrace{(A^\beta(X) A^\gamma(Y) - A^\gamma(X) A^\beta(Y))}_{=(A^\beta \wedge A^\gamma)(X, Y)} C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha E_\alpha \\
&= \underbrace{\left(dA(X, Y)^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta, \gamma} (A^\beta \wedge A^\gamma)(X, Y) C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \right)}_{=F^\alpha(X, Y)} E_\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

We also can calculate the coordinate representation of F :

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\mu\nu} &:= F(\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu) = dA(\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu) + [A_\mu, A_\nu] \\
&= \partial_\mu(A(\partial_\nu)) - \partial_\nu(A(\partial_\mu)) - \underbrace{A([\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu])}_{=0} + [A_\mu, A_\nu] \\
\Rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu].
\end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma_1 : U_1 \rightarrow P$ and $\sigma_2 : U_2 \rightarrow P$ are a pair of local sections with $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$, there exists some local gauge function $\Omega : U_1 \cap U_2 \rightarrow G$ such that $\sigma_2(x) = \sigma_1(x)\Omega(x)$. Correspondingly, there are two local representatives for the curvature 2-form G - namely $F^{(1)} := \sigma_1^* G$ and $F^{(2)} := \sigma_2^* G$. Using an analysis very similar to that employed in the proof of theorem 6.1, it can be shown that these curvature representatives are related by

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(x) = \Omega(x)^{-1} F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(x) \Omega(x)$$

for all $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$.

Another useful identity is the Bianchi Identity $DG = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
G(X, Y) &= \omega(X, Y) + [\omega(X), \omega(Y)] \\
\Rightarrow DG(X, Y, Z) &= X(G(Y, Z)) - Y(G(X, Z)) + Z(G(X, Y)) \\
&\quad - G([X, Y], Z) + G([X, Z], Y) - G([Y, Z], X) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

4 Parallel Transport

4.1 Parallel transport in a principal bundle

Definition 6.2 Since $\pi_* : H_p P \rightarrow T_{\pi(p)} \mathcal{M}$ is an isomorphism, to each vector field X on \mathcal{M} there exists a unique vector field, denoted X^\uparrow , on P such that, for all $p \in P$,

- (a) $\pi_*(X_p^\uparrow) = X_{\pi(p)}$
- (b) $\text{ver}(X_p^\uparrow) = 0$.

This vector field is known as the *horizontal lift* of X .

Definition 6.3 Let α be a smooth curve that maps a closed interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ into \mathcal{M} (i.e., α is the restriction to $[a, b]$ of a smooth curve defined on some open interval containing $[a, b]$). A *horizontal lift* of α is a curve $\alpha^\uparrow : [a, b] \rightarrow P$ which is horizontal (i.e., $\text{ver}[\alpha^\uparrow] = 0$) and such that $\pi(\alpha^\uparrow(t)) = \alpha(t)$ for all $t \in [a, b]$.

Theorem 6.3 For each point $p \in \pi^{-1}\{\alpha(a)\}$, there exists a unique horizontal lift of α such that $\alpha^\uparrow(a) = p$.

Definition 6.4 Let $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a curve in \mathcal{M} . The *parallel translation* along α is the map $\tau : \pi^{-1}\{\alpha(a)\} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\{\alpha(b)\}$ obtained by associating with each point $p \in \pi^{-1}\{\alpha(a)\}$ the point $\alpha^\uparrow(b) \in \pi^{-1}\{\alpha(b)\}$ where α^\uparrow is the unique horizontal lift of α that passes through p at $t = a$.

4.2 parallel transport in an associated bundle

Definition

- (1) Let ω be a connection in the principal G -bundle $\xi = (P, \pi, \mathcal{M})$, and let $\xi[F] = (P_F, \pi_F, \mathcal{M})$ be the bundle associated to ξ via the left action of G on F . The *vertical subspace* of the tangent space $T_y(P_F)$, $y \in P_F$ is defined as

$$V_Y(P_F) := \{\tau \in T_y(P_F) \mid \pi_{F*}\tau = 0\}.$$

- (2) Let $k_v : P(\xi) \rightarrow P_F$, $v \in F$, be defined by $k_v(p) := [p, v]$. Then the *horizontal subspace* of the tangent space $T_{[p,v]}(P_F)$ is defined as

$$H_{[p,v]}(P_F) := k_{v*}(H_p P).$$

- Since $k_{g^{-1}v} \circ \delta_g = k_v$, the definition of $H_{[p,v]}(P_F)$ is independent of the choice of elements (p, v) in the equivalence class $y = [p, v] \in P_F$.
- Let $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and let $[p, v]$ be any point $\pi_F^{-1}\{\alpha(a)\}$. Let α^\uparrow be the unique horizontal lift of α to $P(\xi)$ such that $\alpha^\uparrow(a) = p$. Then the curve

$$\alpha_F^\uparrow(z) := k_v(\alpha^\uparrow(t)) = [\alpha^\uparrow(t), v]$$

is the horizontal lift of α to P_F that passes through $[p, v]$ at $t = a$. This leads to the concept of *parallel translation (or transportation)* in the associated bundle as the map $\tau_F : \pi_F^{-1}\{\alpha(a)\} \rightarrow \pi_F^{-1}\{\alpha(b)\}$ obtained by taking each point $y \in \pi_F^{-1}\{\alpha(a)\}$ into the point $\alpha_F^\uparrow(b)$, where $t \mapsto \alpha_F^\uparrow(t)$ is the horizontal lift of α to P_F that passes through y .

4.3 Covariant differentiation

Motivation: We seek for a derivative of a cross-section $\psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow P_V$ of a vector bundle. The problem is, that one cannot compare the values of ψ for any pair of neighbouring points in \mathcal{M} without using a concrete bundle trivialisation because they lie in different fibres.

If the bundle is equipped with a connection one-form ω , one can use ω to 'pull-back' the second fibre over the first in order to subtract points in different fibres.

Definition 6.6 Let $\xi = (P, \pi, \mathcal{M})$ be a principal G -bundle and let V be a vector space that carries a linear representation of G . Let $\alpha : [0, \epsilon] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $\epsilon > 0$, be a curve in \mathcal{M} such that $\alpha(0) = x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$, and let $\psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow P_V$ be a cross-section of the associated vector bundle. The *covariant derivative* of ψ in the direction α at x_0 , is

$$\nabla_\alpha \psi := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\tau_V^t \psi(\alpha(t)) - \psi(x_0)}{t} \right) \in \pi_V^{-1}(\{x_0\})$$

where τ_V^t is the (linear) parallel-transport map from the vector space $\pi_V^{-1}(\{\alpha(t)\})$ to the vector space $\pi_V^{-1}(\{x_0\})$.

Definition 6.7

- If $\nu \in T_x \mathcal{M}$, the *covariant derivative* of the section ψ of P_V along ν is defined to be $\nabla_\nu \psi := \nabla_\alpha \psi$, where α is any curve in \mathcal{M} that belongs to the equivalence class of ν .
- If X is a vector field on \mathcal{M} , the *covariant derivative* along X is the linear operator $\nabla_X : \Gamma(P_V) \rightarrow \Gamma(P_V)$ on the set $\Gamma(P_V)$ of cross-sections of the vector bundle P_V defined by

$$(\nabla_X \psi)(x) := \nabla_{X_x} \psi.$$