

# PS: Advanced Probability Theory

## Sheet 5 Solutions

lucas.teyssier@univie.ac.at

### Exercise 1.

As for  $d \geq 2$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we only need to prove the result for  $d = 2$ .

In the course ("Topological fact" in Lecture 12), we have seen using duality that for all  $p$ ,

$$1 - \theta(p) = \mathbb{P}_p(|C_0| < \infty) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} n 4^n (1-p)^n,$$

which tends to 0 as  $p$  tends to 1.

**Exercise 2. (Antoine Jego)** This exercise was hard. Here is the solution written by last year's PS course teacher, Antoine Jego. It uses a result which you can find for example in the following article by Grimmett and Marstrand. <https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/pdf/10.1098/rspa.1990.0100>

We introduce  $p_c^*$  the critical percolation probability when the underlying graph is not longer  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  but is the half space  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ . In particular, when  $q > p_c^*$ , with positive probability there exists an infinite path of  $q$ -open edges starting from the origin and lying in  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ . We are going to show that  $\limsup V_n \leq p_c^*$  a.s. By admitting the non trivial result  $p_c = p_c^*$ , it will answer the question.

Let  $q > p_c^*$ . Let  $n_1 \geq 1$  large and for  $N \geq n_1$  consider the first exit time of the cube  $[-N, N]^d$ :

$$\tau_N := \inf \{n \geq 1 : A_n \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus [-N, N]^d) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Let  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . With the notation of the statement of the exercise, the event  $\{y_{\tau_N-1} = y\}$  reads as the event that the invasion has broken out at  $y$ . Notice that if  $y$  is connected to infinity by  $q$ -open edges lying outside  $[-N, N]^d$ , then  $\limsup V_n \leq q$  on the event  $\{y_{\tau_N-1} = y\}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left( \limsup V_n > q \mid \sup_{n_1 \leq n \leq \tau_N} V_n > q, y_{\tau_N-1} = y \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \begin{array}{c} y \text{ is not connected to } \infty \text{ by} \\ q\text{-open edges lying outside } [-N, N]^d \end{array} \mid \sup_{n_1 \leq n \leq \tau_N} V_n > q, y_{\tau_N-1} = y \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that the event  $\{\sup_{n_1 \leq n \leq \tau_N} V_n > q, y_{\tau_N-1} = y\}$  is independent of  $U_e$  for  $e \in \mathbb{E}^d$  lying outside  $[-N, N]^d$ . So we get forget the conditioning in the last probability and

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \limsup V_n > q \mid \sup_{n_1 \leq n \leq \tau_N} V_n > q, y_{\tau_N-1} = y \right) \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \begin{array}{c} y \text{ is not connected to } \infty \text{ by} \\ q\text{-open edges lying outside } [-N, N]^d \end{array} \right).$$

As  $q > p_c^*$ , there exists  $\alpha > 0$  which depends only on  $q$  s.t.

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \begin{array}{l} y \text{ is not connected to } \infty \text{ by} \\ q\text{-open edges lying outside } [-N, N]^d \end{array} \right) \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

(Make sure you understand this claim! This is here that we need  $q > p_c^*$ .) Wrapping things up, we have proven that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\limsup V_n > q) &\leq \mathbb{P} \left( \limsup V_n > q, \sup_{n_1 \leq n \leq \tau_N} V_n > q \right) \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbb{P} \left( \limsup V_n > q, \sup_{n_1 \leq n \leq \tau_N} V_n > q, y_{\tau_N-1} = y \right) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{n_1 \leq n \leq \tau_N} V_n > q, y_{\tau_N-1} = y \right) \\ &= (1 - \alpha) \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{n_1 \leq n \leq \tau_N} V_n > q \right). \end{aligned}$$

As

$$\lim_{n_1 \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{n_1 \leq n \leq \tau_N} V_n > q \right) = \mathbb{P}(\limsup V_n > q),$$

it implies that  $\mathbb{P}(\limsup V_n > q) \leq (1 - \alpha) \mathbb{P}(\limsup V_n > q)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\limsup V_n > q) = 0$ . Since this is true for all  $q > p_c^*$ , it concludes the proof of:  $\limsup V_n \leq p_c^*$  a.s.

**Exercise 3.** For  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 < p < 1$ , let

$$g_n(p) = \mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow Q(0, n)^c),$$

which is increasing. Let also  $B_n$  be the set of edges who have at least one endpoint in  $Q(0, n)$ .

a) Let  $n \geq 1$ . If  $q \geq p$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n(q) - g_n(p)| &= \mathbb{P}(0 \text{ is } q\text{-connected to } Q(0, n) \text{ but not } p\text{-connected to } Q(0, n)) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\exists e \in B_n, U_e \in [p, q]) \\ &\leq |q - p| \#B_n, \end{aligned}$$

which tends as  $q - p \rightarrow 0$ , and hence proves the continuity of  $g_n$ .

**Remark.** It is also possible to show that  $g_n$  is polynomial in  $p$ .

b) Let  $x \in [0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We want to prove that there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $y \in [x, x + \delta)$ ,  $|f(y) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ .

As  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ , there exists  $n$  such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ .

As  $f_n$  is continuous at  $x$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $y$  such that  $|y - x| \leq \delta$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \leq \varepsilon$ .

For all  $y \in [x, x + \delta)$ , as by assumption  $f$  is non-decreasing and  $f(y) \leq f_n(y)$ ,

$$|f(y) - f(x)| = f(y) - f(x) \leq f_n(y) - f(x) \leq |f_n(y) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

This proves that  $f_n$  is right continuous.

Replacing  $f_n$  by  $g_n$  and  $f$  by  $\theta$  proves the last claim.

**Exercise 4.**

a) We want to show that  $\theta(p) - \theta(q) \rightarrow 0$  as  $q \rightarrow p$ .

For  $q < p$ , as the event  $\{|C_q| = \infty\}$  is included in the event  $\{|C_p| = \infty\}$ ,

$$\theta(p) - \theta(q) = \mathbb{P}(|C_p| = \infty, |C_q| < \infty).$$

Finally, as the events  $\{|C_p| = \infty, |C_q| < \infty\}$  are decreasing,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow p} \mathbb{P}(|C_p| = \infty, |C_q| < \infty) = \mathbb{P} \left( \bigcap_{q < p, q \in \mathbb{Q}} \{|C_p| = \infty, |C_q| < \infty\} \right) = \mathbb{P}(|C_p| = \infty, |C_q| < \infty \text{ for all } q < p).$$

b) If  $|C_p| = \infty$ , then by Burton and Keane,  $C_p$  is *the* infinite  $p$ -cluster, which contains the infinite  $r$ -cluster (by coupling). We exactly said that  $\mathbb{P}(I_r \subset C_p \mid |C_p| = \infty) = 1$ , which is what was to be proven.

c) Let  $\gamma$  be a finite path of  $p$ -open edges from 0 to  $I_r$ . Let  $q$  such that

$$\max_{e \in \gamma} U_e < q < p.$$

Then  $\gamma \subset C_q$  and so, as  $r \leq q$ , the whole component  $I_r$  is in  $C_q$ , which proves that  $C_q$  is infinite.

To conclude,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|C_p| = \infty, |C_q| < \infty \text{ for all } q < p) &= \mathbb{P}(|C_p| = \infty, I_r \subset C_p, |C_q| < \infty \text{ for all } q < p) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(|C_q| < \infty \text{ for all } q < p \mid |C_p| = \infty, I_r \subset C_p) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{by the first part of c),} \end{aligned}$$

which by a) proves the left-continuity of  $\theta$  at  $p$ .

**Exercise 5. (Moritz Dober)**

a) Let  $x = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n 2^{-n} \in [0, 1)$ ,  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathcal{S}$ , then

$$\bar{T}(x) = (b \circ T \circ b^{-1})(x) = b(T((a_n)_{n \geq 1})) = b((a_{n+1})_{n \geq 1}) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_{n+1}}{2^n} = 2 \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{a_n}{2^n}.$$

In the case where  $x \in [0, 1/2)$ , i.e.  $a_1 = 0$ , we have  $x = \sum_{n \geq 2} a_n 2^{-n}$ , and hence  $\bar{T}(x) = 2x$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in [1/2, 1)$ , i.e.  $a_1 = 1$ , then we get  $x = 1/2 + \sum_{n \geq 2} a_n 2^{-n}$ , and thus  $\bar{T}(x) = 2x - 1$ .

We conclude that  $\bar{T}(x) = 2x \pmod{1}$ .

b) Let  $\mathbb{P} = (b^{-1})_*(\lambda) = \lambda \circ b$  be the pushforward of the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  w.r.t.  $b^{-1}$ . Consider a uniform r.v.  $U$  on  $[0, 1)$  and its binary expansion  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  as in exercise 3 on sheet 2,  $X_n$  i.i.d.  $\sim$  Bernoulli(1/2). Then  $\mathbb{P}$  is the law of  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ .

We have seen in the lecture that translation invariant events for two-sided sequences of i.i.d. r.v. are trivial, but almost the same proof works for one-sided sequences. Therefore, events invariant under  $T$  are trivial for  $\mathbb{P}$ .

Now let  $B \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  be invariant under  $\bar{T}$ , i.e.  $\bar{T}(B) \subseteq B$ . But we have

$$(b \circ T \circ b^{-1})(B) = \bar{T}(B) \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow T(b^{-1}(B)) \subseteq b^{-1}(B),$$

i.e.  $b^{-1}(B)$  is invariant under  $T$  and hence trivial for  $\mathbb{P}$ . We conclude

$$\lambda(B) = \lambda(b(b^{-1}(B))) = \mathbb{P}(b^{-1}(B)) \in \{0, 1\}.$$

**Exercise A. (Antoine Jego)**

- a) This is a classical result called Fekete's Subadditive Lemma. The key of the proof is to think of the Euclidean division. Let  $l := \inf_{n \geq 1} a_n/n$ . We will assume that  $l > -\infty$ . If  $l = -\infty$  very few arguments need to be changed. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and take  $n_0 \geq 1$  such that

$$l \leq \frac{a_{n_0}}{n_0} \leq l + \varepsilon.$$

If  $n \geq n_0$ , by writing  $n = qn_0 + r$  where  $q \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq r \leq n_0 - 1$  and with the convention  $a_0 = 0$ , we have (it can be shown by induction)

$$\frac{a_n}{n} \leq \frac{qa_{n_0} + a_r}{n} \leq \frac{qn_0}{qn_0 + r} \frac{a_{n_0}}{n_0} + \frac{\sup_{1 \leq s \leq n_0-1} a_s}{n}.$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n} \leq \frac{a_{n_0}}{n_0} \leq l + \varepsilon.$$

As this is true for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  it shows that  $\limsup a_n/n \leq l$ . As we have, by definition of  $l$ ,  $\liminf a_n/n \geq l$ , this concludes the proof.

- b) Thanks to the previous question, it is enough to show that  $(\log(a_n)/n, n \geq 1)$  is subadditive. Take  $n, m \geq 1$ . We want to show that  $a_{n+m} \leq a_n a_m$ . If we denote by  $\mathcal{A}_n$  the set of self-avoiding paths of length  $n$ , it can be checked that the following map is injective:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{n+m} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n \times \mathcal{A}_m \\ (A_0, \dots, A_{n+m}) &\mapsto (A_0, \dots, A_n), (A_n - A_n, A_{n+1} - A_n, \dots, A_{n+m} - A_n). \end{aligned}$$

In words, we cut a self-avoiding path of length  $n + m$  in two, both of which are themselves self-avoiding. As this map is injective, the cardinality of  $\mathcal{A}_{n+m}$  is not larger than the one of  $\mathcal{A}_n \times \mathcal{A}_m$ , i.e  $a_{n+m} \leq a_n a_m$ .

- c) Paths that always go north or east are self-avoiding. Hence  $a_n \geq 2^n$  and  $c \geq 2$ . At each step, the walk cannot come back on its previous vertex. In other words, at each step the walk has at most 3 possibilities (except for the very first step). Hence  $a_n \leq 4 \cdot 3^{n-1}$  and  $c \leq 3$ .
- d) To show strict inequalities we have to work a bit more. We are going to show that  $c \geq 1 + \sqrt{2} > 2$ . The upper bound is left to the reader.

Denote by  $\alpha_n$  the number of self-avoiding paths of length  $n$  going always west, north or east and denote by  $\alpha_n^{\leftarrow}$  (resp.  $\alpha_n^{\uparrow}, \alpha_n^{\rightarrow}$ ) the number of such paths which finish with a step going west (resp. north, east) so that  $\alpha_n = \alpha_n^{\leftarrow} + \alpha_n^{\uparrow} + \alpha_n^{\rightarrow}$ . From such a path of length  $n$ , building a self-avoiding path of length  $n + 1$  is way easier than for general self-avoiding paths: we just need to check local rules. More specifically, we have for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_n^{\leftarrow} = \alpha_n^{\rightarrow} & \text{by symmetry} \\ \alpha_{n+1}^{\uparrow} = \alpha_n^{\leftarrow} + \alpha_n^{\uparrow} + \alpha_n^{\rightarrow} & \text{(no restriction)} \\ \alpha_{n+1}^{\leftarrow} = \alpha_n^{\leftarrow} + \alpha_n^{\uparrow} & \text{(east cannot be followed by west)} \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n+1}^{\uparrow} \\ \alpha_{n+1}^{\leftarrow} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n^{\uparrow} \\ \alpha_n^{\leftarrow} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of the previous matrix being  $1 + \sqrt{2}$  and  $1 - \sqrt{2}$ , it shows that there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha_n = \lambda(1 + \sqrt{2})^n + \mu(1 - \sqrt{2})^n$ . In (iii) we showed that  $\alpha_n \geq 2^n$ . Therefore  $\lambda$  has to be positive and  $c = \lim a_n^{1/n} \geq \lim \alpha_n^{1/n} = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ .