

Liapounoff's vector measure theorem in Banach spaces and applications to general equilibrium theory

Michael Greinecker* and Konrad Podczeck†

September 23, 2013

Abstract

We present a result on convexity and weak compactness of the range of a vector measure with values in a Banach space, based on the Maharam classification of measure spaces. Our result extends a recent result of Khan and Sagara [Illinois Journal of Mathematics, forthcoming]. We apply our result to integration of Banach space valued correspondences and to the core-Walras equivalence problem in coalitional exchange economies with an infinite-dimensional commodity space.

JEL classification: C02, C60, C71, D51

Keywords: Liapounoff's theorem, vector measures, correspondences, core-equivalence, coalitional economies, blocking power of small coalitions

1 Introduction. In various contexts, it was observed that measure spaces of uncountable Maharam type allow for useful constructions that are not available for the unit interval with Lebesgue measure; see, e.g., Scott [1967], Hoover and Keisler [1984], Rustichini and Yannelis [1991], Podczeck [2008], or Keisler and Sun [2009]. Continuing this line, Khan and Sagara [2013] recently established a version of Liapounoff's theorem for vector measures with values in a Banach space.

The main result in Khan and Sagara [2013] says that if (T, Σ, μ) is a totally finite measure space and G is a μ -continuous countably additive vector measure defined on Σ with values in a Banach space X , then the range of G is a convex and weakly compact set in X if μ is Maharam-type-homogeneous with Maharam type strictly larger than the algebraic dimension of X .

In this note, we sharpen this result of Khan and Sagara. In particular, we remove the hypothesis of Maharam-type-homogeneity, and, in the condition on the codomain of a vector measure, replace the algebraic dimension of a Banach space by the cardinal of some point-separating family of continuous

*University of Innsbruck, michael.greinecker@uibk.ac.at

†University of Vienna, konrad.podczeck@univie.ac.at

linear functionals. The latter has drastic consequences; see the comments after Corollary 5 below.

We provide two proofs of our main result. Our first proof is very short. It reveals that versions of Liapounoff's theorem based on the Maharam classification of measure spaces are, in fact, a straightforward consequence of Knowles' version of Liapounoff's theorem in the weak topology [Knowles, 1975], which we use in the form as stated in Diestel and Uhl [1977, Theorem IX.1.4].

Our second proof is essentially measure-theoretic and works without the extreme point arguments on which the proof of Diestel and Uhl [1977, Theorem IX.1.4] is based. Instead, it makes use of an idea introduced by Maharam [1942] in the proof of her classification result for measure algebras.

We illustrate the usefulness of our main result with three applications. First, we establish results on the convexity of the Aumann integral of a correspondence taking values in a Banach space, following the lines of the classical proof by Richter [1963] for the case of a finite-dimensional codomain. Second, we show that the result in Schmeidler [1972] on blocking power of small coalitions can be extended to infinite-dimensional commodity spaces, covering in particular models of commodity differentiation. Finally, we establish a result on core-Walras equivalence in coalitional exchange economies in the spirit of Vind [1964] when the commodity space is an order-continuous Banach lattice.

2 Notation and terminology. If A is a set, $\#(A)$ denotes its cardinal. As usual, ω denotes the least infinite cardinal, and ω_1 the least uncountable cardinal.

If X is a Banach space, then X^* denotes its dual space, and for a set $U \subseteq X$, $\text{dens}(U)$ denotes the density of U , i.e., the least cardinal of any set $V \subseteq U$ which is dense in U . Thus if $X \neq \{0\}$, X is separable if and only if $\text{dens}(X) = \omega$.

Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space. If $E \subseteq T$, μ_E denotes the subspace measure on E defined from μ . By \mathfrak{A}_μ we denote the measure algebra of μ , and for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_\mu$, by \mathfrak{A}_α the principal ideal in \mathfrak{A}_μ generated by α . If κ is an infinite cardinal, we say that (T, Σ, μ) , or the measure μ , is κ -atomless if for each non-zero $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_\mu$, \mathfrak{A}_α has Maharam type at least κ .¹ Note that “ ω -atomless” means just “atomless” in the usual sense. Note also that being “ κ -atomless” does not imply being Maharam-type-homogeneous.

We shall make use of the following facts.

Fact 1. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, and κ an infinite cardinal. Then μ is κ -atomless if and only if $\text{dens}(L_1(\mu_E)) \geq \kappa$ for each $E \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(E) > 0$.*

(To see this, apply Fremlin [2004, 331Y(e) or 365Y] to the non-zero principal ideals of the measure algebra of (T, Σ, μ) .)

¹Recall that the Maharam type of a Boolean algebra \mathfrak{A} is the least cardinal of any set $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ such that the order-closed subalgebra of \mathfrak{A} generated by \mathcal{H} is \mathfrak{A} itself, and that any principal ideal of a Boolean algebra can be viewed as a Boolean algebra in its own right. For a comprehensive treatment of measure algebras, see Fremlin [2004]. For the needs of the present note, the material in Section 2.2 of Podczeck [2008] suffices.

Fact 2. *If X is an infinite-dimensional Banach space, then $\text{dens}(X)$ is equal to the least cardinal of a set $A \subseteq X$ such that A separates the points of X^* .*

(If $A \subseteq X$ is dense, then A separates the points of X^* . Conversely, let $A \subseteq X$ separate the points of X^* . Then $\overline{\text{span}} A = X$ by the Hahn-Banach theorem; in particular, $\#(A)$ must be infinite if X is infinite-dimensional. Now, writing F for the set of (finite) linear combinations with rational coefficients of the members of A , F is dense in $\overline{\text{span}} A$, and $\#(F) = \#(A)$ if $\#(A)$ is infinite.)

Finally, we settle some terminology concerning vector measures. Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, and X a Banach space. A function $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is called a vector measure if it is additive, i.e., if $G(E \cup F) = G(E) + G(F)$ for all $E, F \in \Sigma$ with $E \cap F = \emptyset$. A vector measure $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is called countably additive if $G(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G(E_n)$ in the norm of X whenever $\{E_n\}$ is a disjoint sequence in Σ , and is called μ -continuous if $\lim_{\mu(E) \rightarrow 0} G(E) = 0$. (Of course, a μ -continuous vector measure $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is countably additive.) If $f \in L_{\infty}(\mu)$ and $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is a μ -continuous countably additive vector measure, then $\int f dG$ denotes the Bartle integral. Given a μ -continuous countably additive $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$, the Bartle integral is a continuous linear operator from $L_{\infty}(\mu)$ to X , which on simple functions is defined as $\int f dG = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i G(E_i)$ if $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i 1_{E_i}$, and via continuous linear extension is defined on all of $L_{\infty}(\mu)$; see Diestel and Uhl [1977, pp. 5 and pp. 56] and note for this reference that a countably additive vector measure has a bounded range [Diestel and Uhl, 1977, Corollary I.1.19].

3 The vector measure theorem. Here is our version of Liapounoff's theorem.

Theorem. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, X a Banach space, and $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ a μ -continuous countably additive vector measure. Let κ be an infinite cardinal and assume that μ is κ -atomless and that there is a family $\langle x_i^* \rangle_{i \in I}$ in X^* , with $\#(I) < \kappa$, which separates the points of $\overline{\text{span}} G(\Sigma)$. Then for every $E \in \Sigma$, $\{G(A \cap E): A \in \Sigma\}$ is a weakly compact and convex set in X .*

Proof. By Diestel and Uhl [1977, Theorem IX.1.4], we need to show that for any $E \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(E) > 0$, the operator $T_E: L_{\infty}(\mu_E) \rightarrow X$ given by $T_E(f) = \int_E f dG_E$ is not an injection, where G_E is the restriction of G to $\{A \cap E: A \in \Sigma\}$.² Fix any such E . By Diestel and Uhl [1977, Lemma IX.1.3], T_E is weak*-weakly continuous, so for each $i \in I$, $x_i^* T_E$ is a weak*-continuous linear functional on $L_{\infty}(\mu_E)$ and may be identified with an element of $L_1(\mu_E)$. Note that T_E takes its values in $\overline{\text{span}} G(\Sigma)$ (use the definition of the Bartle integral described above).

The hypothesis on μ implies that $L_1(\mu_E)$ is infinite-dimensional. Moreover, by Fact 1, $\text{dens}(L_1(\mu_E)) \geq \kappa$. Thus, by Fact 2, the family $\langle x_i^* T_E \rangle_{i \in I}$ in $L_1(\mu_E)$ cannot separate the points of $L_{\infty}(\mu_E)$, as $\#(I) < \kappa$, so T_E is not an injection, as $T_E(L_{\infty}(\mu_E)) \subseteq \overline{\text{span}} G(\Sigma)$ and $\langle x_i^* \rangle_{i \in I}$ separates the points of $\overline{\text{span}} G(\Sigma)$. \square

The theorem yields several corollaries, where the interesting case is X being infinite-dimensional. For the first corollary, just recall that the density of any

²Note for this reference that $L_{\infty}(\mu_E)$ can be identified with the subspace of $L_{\infty}(\mu)$ consisting of the elements vanishing off E .

Banach space X is at least as large as the least cardinal of any $A \subseteq X^*$ such that A separates the points of X [cf. Fabian et al., 2001, page 358].

Corollary 1. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, and X a Banach space. Assume that for some uncountable cardinal κ , μ is κ -atomless with $\kappa > \text{dens}(X)$. Then for any μ -continuous countably additive vector measure $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$, $\{G(A \cap E): A \in \Sigma\}$ is a weakly compact and convex set in X for every $E \in \Sigma$.*

The next corollary of our theorem is more general; see Remark 1 below.

Corollary 2. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, X a Banach space, and $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ a μ -continuous countably additive vector measure. Assume that for some uncountable cardinal κ , μ is κ -atomless with $\kappa > \text{dens}(G(\Sigma))$. Then for every $E \in \Sigma$, $\{G(A \cap E): A \in \Sigma\}$ is a weakly compact and convex set in X .*

Proof. The linear combinations with rational coefficients of the members of a dense subset of $G(\Sigma)$ are dense in $\overline{\text{span}} G(\Sigma)$, so the hypothesis implies that $\kappa > \text{dens}(\overline{\text{span}} G(\Sigma))$. Apply Corollary 1 with $X = \overline{\text{span}} G(\Sigma)$. \square

The following special case of Corollary 1 is the content of Theorem 4.1 in Khan and Sagara [2013].

Corollary 3. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, and X a separable Banach space. If μ is ω_1 -atomless, then for any μ -continuous countably additive vector measure $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$, $\{G(A \cap E): A \in \Sigma\}$ is a weakly compact and convex set in X for every $E \in \Sigma$.*

If X is a dual Banach space, say $X = Y^*$, then any dense subset of Y separates the points of X . Thus the above theorem also implies the following result.

Corollary 4. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, and X a dual Banach space, say $X = Y^*$. Assume that for some uncountable cardinal κ , μ is κ -atomless with $\kappa > \text{dens}(Y)$. Then for any μ -continuous countably additive vector measure $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$, $\{G(A \cap E): A \in \Sigma\}$ is a weakly compact and convex set in X for every $E \in \Sigma$.*

A particular case of Corollary 4 is noted next.

Corollary 5. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, and X the dual of a separable Banach space. If μ is ω_1 -atomless, then for any μ -continuous countably additive vector measure $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$, $\{G(A \cap E): A \in \Sigma\}$ is a weakly compact and convex set in X for every $E \in \Sigma$.*

Remark 1. The condition in Corollary 2 is more general than that in Corollary 1. In fact, the range of a Banach space valued countably additive vector measure defined on a σ -algebra is always relatively weakly compact [Diestel and Uhl, 1977, Corollary I.2.7], and there are plenty of non-separable Banach spaces in which every weakly compact subset is (norm) separable. E.g., weakly compact subsets of $C(K)$ —the space of continuous real-valued functions on a

compact Hausdorff space K , endowed with its sup-norm—are (norm) separable whenever K carries a Radon measure with full support [Rosenthal, 1969, Theorem 1.4].

Remark 2. Corollary 1 considerably improves Theorem 5.1 in Khan and Sagara [2013] where the measure space domain (T, Σ, μ) is required to be Maharam-type-homogeneous, and the Maharam-type of μ to be strictly larger than the algebraic dimension of the codomain of a vector measure. Note that any infinite cardinal is possible as the density of a Banach space; e.g., $\text{dens}(\ell_2(\kappa)) = \kappa$ if κ is any infinite cardinal. On the other hand, the algebraic dimension of an infinite-dimensional Banach space is at least as large as the cardinality of the continuum $\mathfrak{c} = 2^\omega$ [Mackey, 1945, Theorem I-1], and by Easton’s theorem [see Easton, 1970], the only restriction the ZFC axioms of set theory put on the cardinal of 2^ω is that it has uncountable cofinality and does not exceed the cardinal of 2^{ω_1} .

Remark 3. Another improvement of our results over those in Khan and Sagara [2013] is provided by Corollary 5. E.g., let $X = M[0, 1]$, the space of bounded signed Borel measures on $[0, 1]$ with the total variation norm. Then X is non-separable, but $X = Y^*$ where $Y = C[0, 1]$, the space of continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ with the sup-norm, which is a separable. For the conclusion of Corollary 5, the results in Khan and Sagara [2013] would require κ to be strictly larger than the algebraic dimension of $M[0, 1]$, i.e., $\kappa > 2^\omega$, while, as shown by our Corollary 5, $\kappa = \omega_1$ suffices.

Remark 4. For any infinite cardinal κ , the “ $<$ ” in our theorem cannot be replaced by “ \leq ”. This may be seen as follows [cf. the famous example in Uhl, 1969]. Fix any infinite cardinal κ . Let μ be the usual measure on $\{0, 1\}^\kappa$, and Σ its domain. Define $G: \Sigma \rightarrow L_1(\mu)$ by setting $G(E) = 1_E$ for each $E \in \Sigma$. Then G is a μ -continuous countably additive vector measure such that $G(\Sigma)$ is not a convex subset of $L_1(\mu)$. In addition, $\overline{\text{span}} G(\Sigma) = L_1(\mu)$. Now by the choice of μ , μ is Maharam-type-homogeneous with Maharam type κ ; thus, in the terminology of this note, μ is κ -atomless. Also, $\text{dens}(L_1(\mu)) = \kappa$, and of course, $L_1(\mu)$ is infinite-dimensional. By Fabian et al. [2001, Example (v), page 358], the Banach space $L_1(\mu)$ is weakly compactly generated, so by Fabian et al. [2001, Theorem 11.3], the weak*-density of its dual is also κ . As $L_1(\mu)$ is infinite-dimensional, the least cardinal of any point-separating family of continuous linear functionals on $L_1(\mu)$ is κ , too.³

Remark 5. As shown in Lemma 4 in Podczeck [2008],⁴ if X is any infinite-dimensional Banach space and (T, Σ, μ) is any totally finite measure space such that μ is not ω_1 -atomless, then there is a Bochner-integrable function $f: T \rightarrow X$

³The weak*-density of the dual X^* of any infinite-dimensional Banach space X is equal to the least cardinal of a subset of X^* separating the points of X , which follows similarly as Fact 2 above.

⁴The construction in the proof of that lemma follows that in the proof of Diestel and Uhl [1977, Corollary IX.1.6]

such that the set $\{\int_E f d\mu: E \in \Sigma\}$ is not a convex subset of X .⁵ Translating this into vector measure terms yields directly the following fact [see also Khan and Sagara, 2013, Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.2(ii) \Rightarrow (i)].

Proposition 1. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, and X an infinite-dimensional Banach space. If μ is not ω_1 -atomless, then there is μ -continuous countably additive vector measure $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ such that the set $\{G(A): A \in \Sigma\}$ is not a convex subset of X .*

Combining this with Corollary 3 we obtain the following statement.

Proposition 2. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, and X a separable infinite-dimensional Banach space. In order that for any μ -continuous countably additive vector measure $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$, the set $\{G(A \cap E): A \in \Sigma\}$ is a weakly compact and convex subset of X for every $E \in \Sigma$, it is both necessary and sufficient that μ is ω_1 -atomless.*

Remark 6. Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, and X any Banach space. If μ is atomless, then by Kluvánek [1973, Theorem 1] the weak closure of the range of every μ -continuous countably additive vector measure $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is convex. Consequently, Proposition 1 implies that if X is infinite-dimensional and μ is atomless but not ω_1 -atomless, then there exists a μ -continuous countably additive vector measure $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ with a range that is not weakly compact.

Remark 7. By what was pointed out in Remark 1, an analog of the necessity part of Proposition 2 formulated by replacing, with an uncountable cardinal κ , “separable infinite-dimensional” in the condition on X by $\text{dens}(X) = \kappa$, and ω_1 -atomless by κ^+ -atomless, where κ^+ is the cardinal successor of κ , is wrong.

4 An alternative proof of the theorem for κ uncountable. If the range of a vector measure is not finite-dimensional, then our theorem requires the cardinal κ to be uncountable. For such a κ , we can present an alternative proof of our theorem. It is important to note that this alternative proof does not apply to the classical situation of a finite-dimensional vector measure which is just assumed to be atomless; see Remark 9 below. On the other hand, the proof we will present in this section does not rely on Knowles’ version of Liapounoff’s theorem in the weak topology [Diestel and Uhl, 1977, Theorem IX.1.4], and in particular, is not based on non-injectivity of certain linear operators. Altogether, this reveals that, in the terminology of the statement of our theorem, $\kappa > \#(I)$ with κ uncountable but $\#(I)$ allowed to be infinite is not simply an analog of $\omega > \#(I)$ —the situation of the classical Liapounoff theorem—for larger cardinals.

In this section, we need some additional notation and terminology. Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, with measure algebra \mathfrak{A}_μ . For any

⁵Recall the standard fact that if (T, Σ, μ) is a totally finite measure space, X a Banach space, and $f: T \rightarrow X$ is Bochner integrable, then the indefinite Bochner integral of f is a μ -continuous countably additive vector measure.

$E \in \Sigma$, we write E° for the element of \mathfrak{A}_μ determined by E . For the following, note that for each $E \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(E) > 0$, the principal ideal \mathfrak{A}_{E° in \mathfrak{A}_μ generated by E° may be viewed as a Boolean algebra in its own right and may be written as $\mathfrak{A}_{E^\circ} = \{(E \cap F)^\circ : F \in \Sigma\}$. Note also that if a sub- σ -algebra Σ_1 of Σ is generated by a set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma_1$, then the order-closed subalgebra of \mathfrak{A}_μ generated by the μ -equivalence classes of the members of \mathcal{A} is just the set $\{F^\circ : F \in \Sigma_1\}$ [use Fremlin, 2004, 321X(b)]. Finally, Σ is called *relatively atomless* over a sub- σ -algebra Σ_1 if for each $E \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(E) > 0$, $\mathfrak{A}_{E^\circ} \neq \{(E \cap H)^\circ : H \in \Sigma_1\}$. (This definition just puts the definition of “relatively atomless” as stated in Fremlin [2004, 331A] for abstract Boolean algebras into terms of measure spaces.)

For convenience of reference, we recall two measure theoretic facts. The first one may be deduced from Fremlin [2004, 313M(b)].

Fact 3. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, and Σ_1 a sub- σ -algebra of Σ generated by a family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma_1$. Then for each $E \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(E) > 0$, the order-closed subalgebra of \mathfrak{A}_{E° generated by $\{(E \cap A)^\circ : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ includes $\{(E \cap H)^\circ : H \in \Sigma_1\}$.*

For the next fact, see Fremlin [2004, 331B]. This fact provided the basis on which our alternative method of proof relies.

Fact 4. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, Σ_1 a sub- σ -algebra of Σ , and $\nu: \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an additive functional such that $0 \leq \nu(H) \leq \mu(H)$ for every $H \in \Sigma_1$. Suppose Σ is relatively atomless over Σ_1 . Then there is an $F \in \Sigma$ such that $\nu(H) = \mu(H \cap F)$ for every $H \in \Sigma_1$.*

Lemma 1. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal, (T, Σ, μ) a κ -atomless totally finite measure space, and Σ_1 a sub- σ -algebra of Σ generated by a family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma_1$ with $\#\mathcal{A} < \kappa$. Then given any Σ -measurable $f: T \rightarrow [0, 1]$ there is an $F \in \Sigma$ such that $\int_H f d\mu = \mu(H \cap F)$ for each $H \in \Sigma_1$.*

Proof. Define $\nu: \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by $\nu(H) = \int_H f d\mu$ for $H \in \Sigma_1$. Evidently ν is additive with $0 \leq \nu(H) \leq \mu(H)$ for each $H \in \Sigma_1$. In view of Fact 3, the hypotheses imply that Σ is relatively atomless over Σ_1 . The claim now follows from Fact 4. \square

Lemma 2. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal, (T, Σ, μ) a κ -atomless totally finite measure space, $f: T \rightarrow [0, 1]$ a Σ -measurable function, and Σ_1 a sub- σ -algebra of Σ generated by a family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma_1$ with $\#\mathcal{A} < \kappa$. Then there is an $F \in \Sigma$ such that $\int_T hf d\mu = \int_F h d\mu$ for each integrable and Σ_1 -measurable $h: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let F be chosen according to Lemma 1, so that $\int_T hf d\mu = \int_F h d\mu$ holds whenever h is the characteristic function of some $H \in \Sigma_1$. It follows that this equality also holds for h being any Σ_1 -measurable simple function, and thus for h being any μ -integrable and Σ_1 -measurable function. \square

Alternative proof of the theorem for κ uncountable. We need only consider the case $E = T$. (Let $E \in \Sigma$. If $\mu(E) = 0$, G vanishes on E . If $\mu(E) > 0$, then μ_E is κ -atomless, for the same κ for which μ is, directly by the definition of this

property.) Now by Diestel and Uhl [1977, Lemma IX.1.3],

$$\overline{\text{co}} G(\Sigma) = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{T}} f dG : 0 \leq f \leq 1, f \in L_{\infty}(\mu) \right\},^6$$

and by Diestel and Uhl [1977, Corollary I.2.7], $G(\Sigma)$ is relatively weakly compact, so $\overline{\text{co}} G(\Sigma)$ is weakly compact by the Kreĭn-Smulian theorem. Thus it suffices to show that given any $f \in L_{\infty}(\mu)$ with $0 \leq f \leq 1$ there is an $F \in \Sigma$ with $G(F) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f dG$. Pick any such f .

Invoking the family $\langle x_i^* \rangle_{i \in I}$ hypothesized, note that for each $i \in I$, $x_i^* G$ is a real-valued μ -continuous signed measure, and therefore has a Radon-Nikodym derivative $h_i \in L_1(\mu)$ which we identify with one of its versions. Now each h_i is measurable for some countably generated sub- σ -algebra of Σ . Noting that $\#(I) \cdot \omega < \kappa$, because κ is assumed to be uncountable, we can therefore find a sub- σ -algebra Σ_1 of Σ such that each h_i is Σ_1 -measurable and such that Σ_1 is generated by a family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma_1$ with $\#(\mathcal{A}) < \kappa$. By Lemma 2, we can find an $F \in \Sigma$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} h_i f d\mu = \int_F h_i d\mu$ for each $i \in I$. Now for each $i \in I$ we have

$$x_i^* \int_{\mathbb{T}} f dG = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f dx_i^* G = \int_{\mathbb{T}} h_i f d\mu = \int_F h_i d\mu = x_i^* G(F),$$

showing that $G(F) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f dG$, as $\langle x_i^* \rangle_{i \in I}$ separates the points of $\overline{\text{co}} G(\Sigma)$. \square

Remark 8. The heart of the proof as given in this section is Lemma 1. It depends on Fact 4, which originated as part of the proof in Maharam [1942]. Exploiting the fact stated in this lemma is what allows to bypass the usual extreme point arguments in proofs of Liapounoff's theorem, which go back to Lindenstrauss [1966].

Remark 9. We said above that the method of the proof given in this section does not cover the classical situation where the Banach space X is finite-dimensional and $(\mathbb{T}, \Sigma, \mu)$ is just assumed to be atomless, i.e., ω -atomless in the terminology of this note. To see this, note that for $\kappa = \omega$ the inequality $\#(I) \cdot \omega < \kappa$ is of course wrong unless $\#(I) = 0$. But this strict inequality is necessary in order to apply Lemma 1 and, a fortiori, Lemma 2.

In order to apply the alternative proof to the classical case, one would therefore need to replace the strict inequality in Lemma 1 by a weak inequality. But this is impossible. For let $\mathbb{T} = [0, 1]$, $\Sigma = \Sigma_1$ the Borel σ -algebra of $[0, 1]$, μ Lebesgue measure restricted to Σ , and f the constant function taking value $1/2$. If the conclusion of Lemma 1 would hold in this case, there would be an $F \in \Sigma$ such that $\int_H f d\mu = \mu(H)/2 = \mu(H \cap F)$ for all $H \in \Sigma$. But then we would have $\mu(F) = 1/2$, and on the other hand, setting $H = F$, $\mu(F) = \mu(F)/2$, which is absurd.

⁶For this equality to be valid, the full hypothesis in Diestel and Uhl [1977, Lemma IX.1.3] that $G(E \cap F) = 0$ if and only if $\mu(E) = 0$ is not needed; the part requiring μ -continuity of G suffices, as may be seen from the proofs of Diestel and Uhl [1977, Lemma IX.1.3 and Corollary I.2.7].

5 Applications. Some applications of the above results require an intermediate step of the following kind, which could be of independent interest.

Lemma 3. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, X a Banach space, and $G, F: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ two μ -continuous countably additive vector measures. Let $E \in \Sigma$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then there is an $H \subseteq \Sigma$ with $H \subseteq E$ such that $\alpha G(E) + (1 - \alpha)F(E) = G(H) + F(E \setminus H)$ if any of the following conditions hold.*

- (a) μ is ω_1 -atomless and there are separable closed linear subspaces S_1 and S_2 of X such that $G(\Sigma) \subseteq S_1$ and $F(\Sigma) \subseteq S_2$.
- (b) For some uncountable cardinal κ , μ is κ -atomless with $\kappa > \text{dens}(X)$.
- (c) X is a dual space, say $X = Y^*$, and for some uncountable cardinal κ , μ is κ -atomless with $\kappa > \text{dens}(Y)$.

Proof. The parallel product $(G, F): \Sigma \rightarrow X \times X$, i.e., $(G, F)(A) = (G(A), F(A))$ for all $A \in \Sigma$, is again a μ -continuous countably additive vector measure. If (a) holds then (F, G) can be viewed as a vector measure taking values in the separable Banach space $S_1 \times S_2$. For (b), note that $\text{dens}(X \times X) = \text{dens}(X)$, and for (c) note that $\text{dens}(Y \times Y) = \text{dens}(Y)$ and that $(Y \times Y)^*$ may be identified with $X \times X$. Thus, under any of the three conditions, by Corollaries 3, 1, and 4, respectively, there is an $H \in \Sigma$ with $H \subseteq E$ such that $\alpha(G(E), F(E)) = (G(H), F(H))$. Now $F(E \setminus H) = F(E) - F(H) = (1 - \alpha)F(E)$, so $G(H) + F(E \setminus H) = \alpha G(E) + (1 - \alpha)F(E)$. \square

5A Integrals of correspondences. Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, X a Banach space, and $\varphi: T \rightarrow 2^X$ a correspondence. The set of Bochner integrals of all Bochner integrable selections of φ is the *Aumann-Bochner integral* of φ , the set of Pettis integrals of all Pettis integrable selections of φ is the *Aumann-Pettis integral* of φ , and if X is a dual Banach space, then the set of Gelfand integrals of all Gelfand integrable selections of φ is the *Aumann-Gelfand integral* of φ .

The correspondence φ is called *integrably bounded*, if for some integrable function $\rho: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\sup\{\|x\|: x \in \varphi(t)\} \leq \rho(t)$ for almost all $t \in T$.

To deal with the Gelfand integral of a correspondence, we need some preparation.

Lemma 4. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, X a dual Banach space, and $f: T \rightarrow X$ a Gelfand integrable function. Suppose that for some integrable function $\rho: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\|f(t)\| \leq \rho(t)$ for almost all $t \in T$. Then the indefinite Gelfand integral of f is a μ -continuous (norm-) countably additive vector measure.*

Proof. Write $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ for the indefinite Gelfand integral of f . Clearly the function G is additive. Suppose $\langle E_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in Σ with $\mu(E_n) \rightarrow 0$. By hypothesis, we have $X = Y^*$ for some Banach space Y ; write B_Y for the closed unit ball in Y . Note that for any $y \in B_Y$, we have $|yf(t)| \leq \|f(t)\| \leq \rho(t)$ for

almost all $t \in T$. Thus, using the definition of the Gelfand integral,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(E_n)\| &= \sup\{|yG(E_n)| : y \in B_Y\} = \sup\left\{\left|\int_{E_n} yf(t) d\mu(t)\right| : y \in B_Y\right\} \\ &\leq \sup\left\{\int_{E_n} |yf(t)| d\mu(t) : y \in B_Y\right\} \leq \int_{E_n} \rho(t) d\mu(t) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus G is μ -continuous, therefore also countably additive. \square

Proposition 3. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, X a Banach space, and $\varphi: T \rightarrow 2^X$ a correspondence.*

- (a) *If μ is ω_1 -atomless then the Aumann-Bochner integral of φ is convex.*
- (b) *If for some uncountable cardinal κ , μ is κ -atomless with $\kappa > \text{dens}(X)$, then the Aumann-Pettis integral of φ is convex.*
- (c) *Suppose X is a dual space, say $X = Y^*$, and that φ is integrably bounded. If for some uncountable cardinal κ , μ is κ -atomless with $\kappa > \text{dens}(Y)$, then the Aumann-Gelfand integral of φ is convex.*

Proof. The indefinite Pettis integral of a Pettis integrable $f: T \rightarrow X$ and, in particular, the indefinite Bochner integral of a Bochner integrable $f: T \rightarrow X$ are μ -continuous countably additive vector measures [Diestel and Uhl, 1977, Theorem II.3.5]. In the context of (c), Lemma 4 shows that the same is true for the indefinite Gelfand integral of a Gelfand integrable selection $f: T \rightarrow X$ of φ . Note also that the range of the indefinite Bochner integral of a Bochner integrable function $f: T \rightarrow X$ is included in a separable subspace of X .

The proposition now follows from Lemma 3, with E there replaced by T , noting that if g, f are any two selections of φ , then for any $H \subseteq T$, the function $1_H g + 1_{T \setminus H} f$ is a selection of φ as well. \square

Remark 10. Part (a) of Proposition 3 is not new. See Theorem 1 in Podczeck [2008] and Theorem 6.1 in Khan and Sagara [2013]. Theorem 1 in Podczeck [2008] also contains a converse; whenever (T, Σ, μ) is not ω_1 -atomless and X infinite-dimensional, there is a correspondence $\phi: T \rightarrow 2^X$ with non-convex Aumann-Bochner integral.

The special case of Proposition 3(c) where Y is separable is also shown in Theorem 3 in Podczeck [2008]. That theorem shows in addition that whenever (T, Σ, μ) is not ω_1 -atomless and Y is separable and infinite-dimensional, there is a correspondence $\phi: T \rightarrow 2^X = 2^{Y^*}$ with non-convex Aumann-Gelfand integral.

Remark 11. See Yannelis [1991] for several results on Banach space valued correspondences and their use in economics. In particular, versions of Fatou's lemma for sequences of such correspondences may be found in this reference.

5B Blocking by small coalitions. For atomless exchange economies with a finite-dimensional commodity space, Schmeidler [1972] showed that if an allocation can be blocked by some non-negligible coalition, then it can be blocked

by non-negligible coalitions of arbitrarily small measure. The argument given by [Schmeidler](#) is based on the fact that, by the classical Liapounoff theorem, an integrable \mathbb{R}^n -valued function defined on an atomless measure space defines a vector measure with convex range.

If an analog property holds with an infinite-dimensional codomain, then the argument in [Schmeidler \[1972\]](#) applies verbatim. Thus, by [Corollary 2](#) above, together with what was noted in the proof of [Proposition 3](#) about the indefinite Bochner integral, blocking power of small coalitions as in the result of [Schmeidler \[1972\]](#) can be deduced if the commodity space is any infinite-dimensional Banach space, provided that allocations are Bochner integrable and that the space of agents is modeled as an ω_1 -atomless totally finite measure space.⁷

There are contexts in which blocking power of small coalitions can also be demonstrated by using our results when allocations are Gelfand integrable functions with values in a dual Banach space. An important case where allocations are taken to be Gelfand integrable arises in treatments of commodity differentiation where consumption vectors are described by the non-negative elements of $M(K)$, the space of bounded signed Borel measures on a compact metric space K , which is the dual of the space $C(K)$ of continuous functions on K , with the sup-norm. Write $M(K)_+$ for the cone of non-negative elements of $M(K)$. Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space of agents. Note that if f is any Gelfand integrable function from T to $M(K)_+$, then, writing $\|\cdot\|$ for the variation norm of $M(K)$, the function $t \mapsto \|f(t)\|$ is integrable, because $\|f(t)\| = \int_K 1_K df(t)$. Thus, by [Lemma 4](#), a Gelfand integrable function from T to $M(K)_+$ defines a μ -continuous (norm-) countably additive vector measure on Σ . Now, K being a compact metric space, $C(K)$ is separable. Thus, by [Corollary 5](#) above, a result as in [Schmeidler \[1972\]](#) on blocking power of small coalitions can also be deduced in the just sketched framework of commodity differentiation if the measure μ on the set of agents is ω_1 -atomless.⁸

It should be mentioned that for ℓ_∞ as commodity spaces, [Hervés-Beloso, Moreno-García, Núñez-Sanz, and Páscoa \[2000\]](#) have established an extension of [Schmeidler's](#) result under the usual hypothesis of non-atomicity of the space of agents, without using a version of Liapounoff's theorem for infinite-dimensional spaces. What drives this result in [Hervés-Beloso et al. \[2000\]](#) is, in particular, the assumption that preferences be Mackey continuous, which makes it possible to use an approximating sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces. In contrast, the argument in [Schmeidler](#) does not rely on any continuity hypothesis on preferences.

5C Core-Walras equivalence in coalitional exchange economies. Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space of agents, and let the commodity space be an order-continuous Banach lattice X with positive cone X_+ .

A *coalitional exchange economy* for (T, Σ, μ) and X is described as follows. *Allocations* are μ -continuous countably additive vector measures $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X_+$.

⁷A similar observation was also made in [Khan and Sagara \[2013, Theorem 6.2\]](#)

⁸This is not possible by appealing to [Khan and Sagara \[2013\]](#); see [Remark 3](#) above.

We write \mathcal{A} for the space of all such allocations, and Ω for the initial allocation. Members of Σ are called *coalitions*. For each coalition A there is a *preference relation* \succ_A on \mathcal{A} . An allocation G is *feasible* if $G(T) = \Omega(T)$. A coalition A can *block* an allocation G if there is an allocation F such that $F \succ_A G$ and $F(A) = \Omega(A)$. An allocation is a *core allocation* if it is feasible and cannot be blocked by any coalition A with $\mu(A) > 0$. The allocation G is called *Walrasian* if it is feasible and if there is a non-zero $p^* \in X^*$ such that for each coalition A with $\mu(A) > 0$, $p^*G(A) \leq p^*\Omega(A)$ and $p^*F(A) > p^*\Omega(A)$ whenever F is an allocation with $F \succ_A G$.

We summarize the data defining a coalitional exchange economy as just described by a list $\mathcal{E} = ((T, \Sigma, \mu), \langle \succ_A \rangle_{A \in \Sigma}, \Omega)$. In the sequel, for $x, y \in X$, $x > y$ means $x \geq y$ and $x \neq y$.

The following assumptions are standard and natural when economies are described in coalitional form.

- (P1) For every $A \in \Sigma$, \succ_A is irreflexive and transitive.
- (P2) For every $A \in \Sigma$, if $F \succ_A G$ then $F \succ_B G$ for every $B \in \Sigma$ with $B \subseteq A$ and $\mu(B) > 0$.
- (P3) For every $A \in \Sigma$ and any $F, F', G, G' \in \mathcal{A}$, if $F \succ_A G$ and if for all $B \in \Sigma$, $F(B \cap A) = F'(B \cap A)$ and $G(B \cap A) = G'(B \cap A)$, then $F' \succ_A G'$.
- (P4) For every $A, B \in \Sigma$, if $F \succ_A G$ and $F \succ_B G$ then $F \succ_{A \cup B} G$.
- (P5) For every $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) > 0$, and any $F, G \in \mathcal{A}$, if $F(B) > G(B)$ for every $B \in \Sigma$ with $B \subseteq A$ and $\mu(B) > 0$, then $F \succ_A G$.

The next assumption is taken from Zame [1986].

- (P6) There are strictly positive elements $\alpha^*, \beta^* \in X^*$, with $\beta^* \geq \alpha^*$, such that for every $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) > 0$, and any $F, G, H \in \mathcal{A}$, if $F(B) \geq G(B)$ for all $B \in \Sigma$ and $\alpha^*H(B) > \beta^*G(B)$ for all $B \in \Sigma$ with $B \subseteq A$ and $\mu(B) > 0$, then $F - G + H \succ_A F$.

This assumption puts bounds on marginal rates of substitution. It is well-known that assumptions on marginal rates of substitution are needed in contexts of infinite-dimensional commodity spaces when consumption sets may have empty interior.

Our final assumption is implied by condition (C-6) in Zame [1986]. It imposes some kind of lower semi-continuity property on preferences. In our proof, we use it to show that a quasi-equilibrium is a Walrasian equilibrium.

- (P7) For every $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) > 0$, any $F, G \in \mathcal{A}$ with $F \succ_A G$, and any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $A' \in \Sigma$ with $A' \subseteq A$ such that $\mu(A') > \mu(A) - \epsilon$ and $\gamma F \succ_{A'} G$.

Remark 12. The way we define coalitional exchange economies follows Zame [1986] and differs slightly from Vind [1964], where allocations need not be μ -continuous with respect to some population measure μ . There seems to be no economic reason for rejecting the existence of such a population measure though.

The core-Walras equivalence result for coalitional economies in Zame [1986] makes assumptions in addition to what is contained in (P1)-(P7). In particular, it is assumed in that result that the range of the initial allocation is a relatively norm-compact subset of X_+ . As pointed out in Zame [1986], if this assumption is not satisfied, then the set of core allocations of an atomless coalitional economy may be strictly larger than the set of Walrasian allocations. Our proposition below shows that if the non-atomicity hypothesis on the measure space of agents is strengthened, so that our version of Liapounoff's theorem applies, then core-Walras equivalence holds without any assumption on the range of the initial allocation (see also Remark 13 below).

Proposition 4. *Let $\mathcal{E} = ((T, \Sigma, \mu), \langle \succ_A \rangle_{A \in \Sigma}, \Omega)$ be a coalitional exchange economy with commodity space X , where X is an order-continuous Banach lattice. Suppose \mathcal{E} satisfies (P1) to (P7) and that for some uncountable cardinal κ , μ is κ -atomless with $\kappa > \text{dens}(X)$. Then the set of core allocations of \mathcal{E} coincides with the set of Walrasian allocations of \mathcal{E} .*

For convenience, two steps of the proof of this proposition are singled out as lemmas.

Lemma 5. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, X a Banach space, and let $F_1, F_2: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ be two μ -continuous countably additive vector measures. Let $A_1, A_2 \in \Sigma$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Suppose that any of (a)-(c) of Lemma 3 holds. Then there are $B_1, B_2 \in \Sigma$, with $B_1 \subseteq A_1$, $B_2 \subseteq A_2$, and $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$, such that $F_1(B_1) + F_2(B_2) = \alpha F_1(A_1) + (1 - \alpha)F_2(A_2)$.*

Proof. By Corollaries 3, 1, and 4, respectively, there are elements $C_1, C_2 \in \Sigma$, with $C_1 \subseteq A_1 \setminus A_2$ and $C_2 \subseteq A_2 \setminus A_1$, such that $F_1(C_1) = \alpha F_1(A_1 \setminus A_2)$ and $F_2(C_2) = (1 - \alpha)F_2(A_2 \setminus A_1)$. By Lemma 3 there is a $C_3 \subseteq A_1 \cap A_2$ such that $F_1(C_3) + F_2((A_1 \cap A_2) \setminus C_3) = \alpha F_1(A_1 \cap A_2) + (1 - \alpha)F_2(A_1 \cap A_2)$. Take $B_1 = C_1 \cup C_3$ and $B_2 = C_2 \cup ((A_1 \cap A_2) \setminus C_3)$. \square

Lemma 6. *Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space, X an order-continuous Banach lattice, and $F: \Sigma \rightarrow X_+$ a μ -continuous countably additive vector measure. Then given $A \in \Sigma$ and given $b \in X$ with $0 \leq b \leq F(A)$, there is a μ -continuous countably additive vector measure $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X_+$ such that $G(A) = b$ and such that $G(B) \leq F(B)$ for all $B \in \Sigma$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all finite subalgebras of Σ which contain A as an element. Write a for generic members of \mathcal{P} . Each a is generated by a finite partition of T into elements of Σ . Therefore, using the Riesz decomposition

theorem, for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ we can find an additive function $g_\alpha: \alpha \rightarrow X_+$ such that $g_\alpha(A) = b$ and such that $g_\alpha(B) \leq F(B)$ for all $B \in \Sigma$. Extend each g_α to a function $f_\alpha: \Sigma \rightarrow X_+$ by setting $f_\alpha(B) = 0$ for $B \in \Sigma$ with $B \notin \alpha$. Then for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ and each $B \in \Sigma$, $f_\alpha(B)$ belongs to the order interval $[0, F(B)]$. Note that (\mathcal{P}, \subseteq) is upwards directed. Thus the family $\langle f_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a net in the product $\prod_{B \in \Sigma} [0, F(B)]$ of order intervals of X . As the Banach lattice X is order-continuous, order intervals in X are weakly compact, so by Tychonoff's theorem we may assume, passing to a subnet of $\langle f_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}}$ if necessary, that there is a $G: \Sigma \rightarrow X_+$, with $G(B) \leq F(B)$ for all $B \in \Sigma$, such that $f_\alpha(B) \rightarrow G(B)$ for all $B \in \Sigma$ weakly in X . In particular, we must have $G(A) = b$. Pick any $B, C \in \Sigma$ with $B \cap C = \emptyset$. There is an $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ such that both B and C are in α' for all $\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\alpha \subseteq \alpha'$. By construction, $f_{\alpha'}(B) + f_{\alpha'}(C) = f_{\alpha'}(B \cup C)$ for such α' . Hence, in the limit, $G(B) + G(C) = G(B \cup C)$ (as the weak topology of X is a linear space topology). Thus G is additive. Now as X is a Banach lattice, $0 \leq G(B) \leq F(B)$ implies $\|G(B)\| \leq \|F(B)\|$ for all $B \in \Sigma$. Consequently, μ -continuity of F implies that G is μ -continuous as well. In particular, being additive, G must actually be countably additive. \square

Proof of Proposition 4. Clearly every Walrasian allocation belongs to the core. For the reverse implication, suppose \tilde{F} is a core allocation and let

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{F(A) - \Omega(A) : F \in \mathcal{A}, A \in \Sigma, F \succ_A \tilde{F}\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Then \mathcal{Z} is non-empty. We claim that \mathcal{Z} is convex. To see this, note first that μ -continuity of allocations, Corollary 1, and (P2) together imply $\alpha(F - \Omega)(A) \in \mathcal{Z}$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ whenever $F \succ_A \tilde{F}$ for $F \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A \in \Sigma$. Now let $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, let $A_1, A_2 \in \Sigma$, and suppose $F_1 \succ_{A_1} \tilde{F}$ and $F_2 \succ_{A_2} \tilde{F}$. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. By Lemma 5 there are $B_1, B_2 \in \Sigma$, with $B_1 \subseteq A_1$, $B_2 \subseteq A_2$, and $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$, such that

$$(F_1 - \Omega)(B_1) + (F_2 - \Omega)(B_2) = \alpha(F_1 - \Omega)(A_1) + (1 - \alpha)(F_2 - \Omega)(A_2).$$

We may assume that both $\mu(B_1) > 0$ and $\mu(B_2) > 0$; otherwise, as allocations are μ -continuous, the situation reduces to a similar one as above. Define $F_3 \in \mathcal{A}$ by setting $F_3(C) = F_1(C \cap B_1) + F_2(C \cap B_2)$ for all $C \in \Sigma$. Now (P2) to (P4) together imply $F_3 \succ_{B_1 \cup B_2} \tilde{F}$, and thus we have $(F_3 - \Omega)(B_1 \cup B_2) \in \mathcal{Z}$. Moreover, $(F_3 - \Omega)(B_1 \cup B_2) = (F_1 - \Omega)(B_1) + (F_2 - \Omega)(B_2)$, and we conclude that \mathcal{Z} is convex.

Now let $\Lambda = \{x \in X : \alpha^*(x^-) > \beta^*(x^+)\}$, where α^* and β^* are the elements of X^* from (P6). We claim that $\mathcal{Z} \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$. To see this, suppose by way of contradiction that there are $F \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A \in \Sigma$ such that $F \succ_A \tilde{F}$ and such that, writing $a = (F(A) - \Omega(A))^-$ and $b = (F(A) - \Omega(A))^+$, we have $\alpha^*(a) > \beta^*(b)$. Note that this implies $\mu(A) > 0$ (as allocations are μ -continuous).

Suppose $b = 0$ and note that this means $a = -(F(A) - \Omega(A))$. Define $G \in \mathcal{A}$ by setting $G(B) = \frac{\mu(B)}{\mu(A)} a$ for each $B \in \Sigma$. Then $(F + G)(A) = \Omega(A)$, and by (P1) and (P5), $F + G \succ_A \tilde{F}$, contradicting the hypothesis that \tilde{F} is a core allocation.

Thus suppose $b \neq 0$ and note that this means $\beta^*(b) > 0$ as β^* is strictly positive. Appealing to Lemma 6, choose a $G \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $G(A) = b$ and such that $F(B) \geq G(B)$ for all $B \in \Sigma$. Choose $0 < \gamma < 1$ such that $\alpha^*(\gamma a) > \beta^*(b)$ and define $H \in \mathcal{A}$ by setting $H(B) = \frac{\beta^*(G(B))}{\beta^*(b)}\gamma a + \frac{\mu(B)}{\mu(A)}(1 - \gamma)a$ for all $B \in \Sigma$, so that $\alpha^*H(B) > \beta^*G(B)$ if $\mu(B) > 0$. By (P6) and (P1), $F - G + H \succ_A \tilde{F}$. On the other hand, as $G(A) = b$, we have $H(A) = a$, and since $b - a = F(A) - \Omega(A)$ by the definition of a and b , it follows that $(F - G + H)(A) = F(A) - b + a = \Omega(A)$, and we get again a contradiction to the hypothesis that \tilde{F} belongs to the core.

Finally, Λ is non-empty, open, and convex, and $\Lambda \cup \{0\}$ is a cone. For the first of these properties, note that $-X_+ \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \Lambda$. For the others, note that $\Lambda = \rho^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$ where $\rho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\rho(x) = (\beta^* - \alpha^*)(x^+) + \alpha^*(x)$. Clearly ρ is positively homogeneous. By continuity of the lattice operations, ρ is continuous, and as $\beta^* - \alpha^* \geq 0$ and $(x + y)^+ \leq x^+ + y^+$ for any $x, y \in X$, ρ is subadditive.

In view of the facts noted so far, we may appeal to the separation theorem to find a $p^* \in X^*$ with $p^* \neq 0$ such that $p^*x \leq p^*z$ for each $x \in \Lambda$ and $z \in \mathcal{Z}$. As note above, $-X_+ \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \Lambda$. Consequently, as Λ is open and $\Lambda \cup \{0\}$ is a cone, p^* must be strictly positive. Also by the fact that $\Lambda \cup \{0\}$ is a cone, we must have $p^*z \geq 0$ for each $z \in \mathcal{Z}$. By the definition of \mathcal{Z} , this means that for every $A \in \Sigma$, if $F \in \mathcal{A}$ and $F \succ_A \tilde{F}$ then $p^*F(A) \geq p^*\Omega(A)$.

In particular, we have $p^*\tilde{F}(A) = p^*\Omega(A)$ for all $A \in \Sigma$. For if not, feasibility and additivity of \tilde{F} imply existence of an $A \in \Sigma$ with $p^*\tilde{F}(A) < p^*\Omega(A)$. For such an A , $\mu(A) > 0$, and for some $x \in X_+ \setminus \{0\}$, $p^*(\tilde{F}(A) + x) < p^*\Omega(A)$. Define $F \in \mathcal{A}$ by setting $F(B) = \tilde{F}(B) + \frac{\mu(B)}{\mu(A)}x$ for all $B \in \Sigma$. By (P5), $F \succ_A \tilde{F}$, and we get a contradiction to the conclusion of the previous paragraph.

Fix any $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) > 0$. To finish the proof, we need to show that we actually have $p^*F(A) > p^*\Omega(A)$ for any $F \in \mathcal{A}$ with $F \succ_A \tilde{F}$. If $p^*\Omega(A) = 0$ this holds because \succ_A is irreflexive and p^* is strictly positive. Suppose $p^*\Omega(A) > 0$ and that for some $F \in \mathcal{A}$, $F \succ_A \tilde{F}$ but $p^*F(A) = p^*\Omega(A)$. Combining (P7) and the fact that $B \mapsto p^*\Omega(B)$ is μ -continuous on Σ , we may find a $0 < \gamma < 1$ and an $A' \in \Sigma$ with $A' \subseteq A$ such that both $\gamma F \succ_{A'} \tilde{F}$ and $p^*\Omega(A') > 0$. By (P2), we also have $F \succ_{A'} \tilde{F}$, and in addition, $F \succ_{A \setminus A'} \tilde{F}$ if $\mu(A \setminus A') > 0$. Thus, by additivity of F and Ω , the hypothesis that $p^*F(A) = p^*\Omega(A)$ and the last sentence of the penultimate paragraph imply $p^*F(A') = p^*\Omega(A')$. As $p^*\Omega(A') > 0$, it follows that $p^*\gamma F(A') < p^*\Omega(A')$. But this together with $\gamma F \succ_{A'} \tilde{F}$ contradicts the last sentence of the penultimate paragraph, and this contradiction completes the proof. \square

Remark 13. Let (T, Σ, μ) be a totally finite measure space of agents, and take an infinite-dimensional Banach space X as commodity space. Net-trades can be approximated by commodity vectors belonging to some dense subset of X , and coalitions can be approximated by coalitions belonging to some subset of Σ which is dense for the pseudo-metric on Σ coming from the norm of $L_1(\mu)$. Thus, by Fact 1 stated in Section 2, the condition in Proposition 4 that for some

uncountable cardinal κ , μ is κ -atomless with $\kappa > \text{dens}(X)$ may be interpreted as requiring that there be “many more coalitions than commodities.”

The original assumption in Zame [1986] that the range of the initial allocation of an economy be norm-compact was identified by Zame [1986] with “thick” markets. Thus Proposition 4 shows that core-Walras equivalence in a coalitional economy can be deduced without “thick markets” if the “number” of coalitions in the economy is “large enough.”

Remark 14. For the individualistic setting of atomless economies as introduced by Aumann [1964], Tourky and Yannelis [2001] have identified a condition requiring “many more agents than commodities” as crucial for core-Walras equivalence. Mathematically, this condition may be formalized—with (T, Σ, μ) and X as in the previous remark—as requiring $\text{add } \mathcal{N}(\mu) > \text{dens}(X)$, where $\text{add } \mathcal{N}(\mu)$ is the additivity of the ideal $\mathcal{N}(\mu)$ of μ -null sets, i.e., the least cardinal of any family of μ -null sets whose union is not a μ -null set. The conditions of “many more agents than commodities” and of “many more coalitions than commodities” are not comparable. E.g., if μ is Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$, then $\text{add } \mathcal{N}(\mu) \geq \omega_1$, but μ is not ω_1 -atomless, so that if X is separable, the former condition holds, but the latter fails. On the other hand, if μ is the usual measure on $\{0, 1\}^{\omega_2}$, then μ is ω_2 -atomless, but $\text{add } \mathcal{N}(\mu) = \omega_1$ (see Fremlin [2008, 523E]), so that if $\text{dens}(X) = \omega_1$, the latter condition holds, but the former fails. The non-equivalence of the two conditions is just another manifestation of the fact (discussed in Gretskey and Ostroy [1985] and Zame [1986]) that with infinite-dimensional commodity spaces the individualistic and the coalitional representation of large economies are not equivalent.

References

- Robert J. Aumann. Markets with a continuum of traders. *Econometrica*, 32: 39–50, 1964.
- Joseph Diestel and John J. Uhl, Jr. *Vector measures*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977. With a foreword by B. J. Pettis, Mathematical Surveys, No. 15.
- William B. Easton. Powers of regular cardinals. *Ann. Math. Logic*, 1:139–178, 1970. ISSN 0168-0072.
- Marián Fabian, Petr Habala, Petr Hájek, Vicente Montesinos Santalucía, Jan Pelant, and Václav Zizler. *Functional analysis and infinite-dimensional geometry*. CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, 8. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. ISBN 0-387-95219-5.
- David H. Fremlin. *Measure theory. Vol. 3. Measure algebras*. Colchester: Torres Fremlin, 2004. ISBN 0-9538129-3-6. Corrected second printing of the 2002 original.

- David H. Fremlin. *Measure theory. Vol. 5. Set-theoretic measure theory. Part I.* Colchester: Torres Fremlin, 2008. ISBN 978-0-9538129-5-0.
- Neil E. Gretsky and Joseph M. Ostroy. Thick and thin market nonatomic exchange economies. In *Advances in equilibrium theory (Indianapolis, Ind., 1984)*, volume 244 of *Lecture Notes in Econom. and Math. Systems*, pages 107–129. Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- Carlos Hervés-Beloso, Emma Moreno-García, Carmelo Núñez-Sanz, and Mário Rui Páscoa. Blocking efficacy of small coalitions in myopic economies. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 93(1):72 – 86, 2000. ISSN 0022-0531. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jeth.2000.2647>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022053100926474>.
- Douglas N. Hoover and H. Jerome Keisler. Adapted probability distributions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 286(1):159–201, 1984. ISSN 0002-9947. doi: 10.2307/1999401. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1999401>.
- H. Jerome Keisler and Yeneng Sun. Why saturated probability spaces are necessary. *Adv. Math.*, 221(5):1584–1607, 2009. ISSN 0001-8708. doi: 10.1016/j.aim.2009.03.003. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2009.03.003>.
- M. Ali Khan and Nobusumi Sagara. Maharam-types and Lyapunov’s theorem for vector measures on Banach spaces. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, forthcoming, 2013.
- Igor Kluvánek. The range of a vector-valued measure. *Math. Systems Theory*, 7:44–54, 1973. ISSN 0025-5661.
- Gregory Knowles. Lyapunov vector measures. *SIAM J. Control*, 13:294–303, 1975. ISSN 0363-0129.
- Joram Lindenstrauss. A short proof of Liapounoff’s convexity theorem. *J. Math. Mech.*, 15:971–972, 1966.
- George W. Mackey. On infinite-dimensional linear spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 57:155–207, 1945. ISSN 0002-9947.
- Dorothy Maharam. On homogeneous measure algebras. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 28:108–111, 1942. ISSN 0027-8424.
- Konrad Podczeck. On the convexity and compactness of the integral of a Banach space valued correspondence. *J. Math. Econom.*, 44(7-8):836–852, 2008. ISSN 0304-4068. doi: 10.1016/j.jmateco.2007.03.003. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmateco.2007.03.003>.
- Hans Richter. Verallgemeinerung eines in der Statistik benötigten Satzes der Masstheorie. *Math. Ann.*, 150:85–90, 1963. ISSN 0025-5831.

- Haskell P. Rosenthal. On injective Banach spaces and the spaces $C(S)$. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 75:824–828, 1969. ISSN 0002-9904.
- Aldo Rustichini and Nicholas C. Yannelis. What is perfect competition? In Khan, M. Ali and Nicholas C. Yannelis, editors, *Equilibrium Theory in Infinite Dimensional Spaces*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- David Schmeidler. A remark on the core of an atomless economy. *Econometrica*, 40:579–580, 1972. ISSN 0012-9682.
- Dana Scott. A proof of the independence of the continuum hypothesis. *Math. Systems Theory*, 1:89–111, 1967. ISSN 0025-5661.
- Rabee Tourky and Nicholas C. Yannelis. Markets with many more agents than commodities: Aumann’s “hidden” assumption. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 101:189–221, 2001.
- John J. Uhl, Jr. The range of a vector-valued measure. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 23:158–163, 1969. ISSN 0002-9939.
- Karl Vind. Edgeworth-allocations in an exchange economy with many traders. *International Economic Review*, 5(2):165–177, 1964.
- Nicholas C. Yannelis. Integration of Banach-valued correspondences. In M. Ali Khan and Nicholas C. Yannelis, editors, *Equilibrium Theory in Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, volume 1 of *Studies in Economic Theory*, pages 2–35. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1991. ISBN 978-3-642-08114-9. doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-07071-0_1. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-07071-0_1.
- W. R. Zame. Markets with a continuum of traders and infinitely many commodities. Working paper, SUNY at Buffalo, 1986.