

Some divisibility properties of q -Fibonacci numbers

Johann Cigler

Fakultät für Mathematik

Universität Wien

johann.cigler@univie.ac.at

Abstract

We give a survey of some known and some new results about factors of different sorts of q -Fibonacci numbers.

0. Introduction

Let $(F_n)_{n \geq 0} = (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, \dots)$ be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers and let $v_p(n)$ be the p -adic valuation of n , i.e. the highest power of the prime number p which divides n . The Fibonacci numbers satisfy (cf. [7]) $v_5(F_n) = v_5(n)$, $v_2(F_{3n}) = 1$ for odd n and $v_2(F_{6n}) = v_2(n) + 3$. If p is a prime different from 2 and 5 then either F_{p-1} or F_{p+1} is divisible by p .

For $q \in \mathbb{C}$ let $[n] = [n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} = 1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}$ and let $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[n] \cdots [n-k+1]}{[1][2] \cdots [k]}$ be a q -binomial coefficient.

The Schur-Carlitz q -Fibonacci numbers $F_n(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} q^{k^2} \begin{bmatrix} n-1-k \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ and

$G_n(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} q^{k^2+k} \begin{bmatrix} n-1-k \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ (cf. [9],[2]) which have been introduced by I. Schur in his proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities inherit some of the properties for odd primes and the q -

Fibonacci numbers $f(n, q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n-1-k \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ introduced in [4] inherit divisibility properties by 2.

1. Divisibility properties for odd primes $p \neq 5$.

1.1. The q -Fibonacci numbers $F_n(q)$ satisfy the recurrence

$$F_n(q) = F_{n-1}(q) + q^{n-2} F_{n-2}(q) \tag{1.1}$$

with initial values $F_0(q) = 0$ and $F_1(q) = 1$.

The first terms are

$$0, 1, 1, 1+q, 1+q+q^2, 1+q+q^2+q^3+q^4, 1+q+q^2+q^3+2q^4+q^5+q^6, \dots$$

It is clear that $F_n(1) = F_n$.

Theorem 1.1 (George E. Andrews , Leonard Carlitz [1])

If p is an odd prime with $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ then $F_{p+1}(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{[p]_q}$.

For $q=1$ this can be proved (cf. [6], Theorem 180) using Binet's formula

$$F_n = \frac{1}{2^n \sqrt{5}} \left((1 + \sqrt{5})^n - (1 - \sqrt{5})^n \right).$$

This gives

$$2^p F_{p+1} = \binom{p+1}{1} + \binom{p+1}{3} 5 + \dots + \binom{p+1}{p} 5^{\frac{p-1}{2}}.$$

Here all binomial coefficients are divisible by p except the first and last one. Therefore

$2^p F_{p+1} \equiv 1 + 5^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}$. Hence $F_{p+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if $5^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv \left(\frac{5}{p}\right) = -1 \pmod{p}$. By the quadratic reciprocity law $\left(\frac{p}{5}\right)\left(\frac{5}{p}\right) = 1$. This implies $\left(\frac{p}{5}\right) = -1$ and thus $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$.

Since there is no analogue of Binet's formula for q -Fibonacci numbers L. Carlitz used the polynomial version of the first Rogers-Ramanujan identity (cf. [9], [5])

$$F_{n+1}(q) = \sum_{k=-\lfloor \frac{n+2}{5} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{n+2}{5} \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(5k-1)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ n+5k \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]. \quad (1.2)$$

He showed more generally

Lemma 1.1

Let $\Phi_n(q)$ be the n -th cyclotomic polynomial. Then $F_{n+1}(q)$ is divisible by $\Phi_n(q)$ if and only if $n \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$, where n is an arbitrary positive integer.

For a prime $n = p$ the cyclotomic polynomial reduces to $1 + q + \dots + q^{p-1} = [p]_q$ and therefore implies Theorem 1.1.

Since $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ is divisible by $\Phi_n(q)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ we get by (1.2)

$$F_{n+1}(q) \equiv (-1)^r q^{\frac{r(5r+1)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \left\lfloor \frac{n-5r}{2} \right\rfloor \end{matrix} \right] + (-1)^r q^{\frac{r(5r-1)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \left\lfloor \frac{n+5r}{2} \right\rfloor \end{matrix} \right] \pmod{\Phi_n(q)} \quad (1.3)$$

with $r = \left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{5} \right\rfloor$.

It suffices to verify that $F_{n+1}(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ for $n = 10m+2, 10m+3, 10m+7, 10m+8$.

This is shown in the following table:

n	$r = \left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{5} \right\rfloor$	$e(r) = \left\lfloor \frac{n+5r}{2} \right\rfloor$	$e(-r) = \left\lfloor \frac{n-5r}{2} \right\rfloor$	$\left[\begin{matrix} n \\ e(r) \end{matrix} \right]$	$\left[\begin{matrix} n \\ e(-r) \end{matrix} \right]$
$10m+2$	$2m$	$10m+1$	1	$[n]$	$[n]$
$10m+3$	$2m+1$	$10m+4$	-1	0	0
$10m+7$	$2m+1$	$10m+6$	1	$[n]$	$[n]$
$10m+8$	$2m+2$	$10m+9$	-1	0	0

In each case both terms of (1.3) vanish modulo $\Phi_n(q)$.

Also observe that $f(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ for a polynomial $f(q)$ is equivalent with $f(\zeta_n) = 0$ for a primitive n -th root of unity ζ_n .

1.2. The q -Fibonacci numbers $G_n(q)$ satisfy the recurrence

$$G_n(q) = G_{n-1}(q) + q^{n-1} G_{n-2}(q) \quad (1.4)$$

with initial values $G_0(q) = 0$ and $G_1(q) = 1$. The first terms are

$$0, 1, 1, 1+q^2, 1+q^2+q^3, 1+q^2+q^3+q^4+q^6, 1+q^2+q^3+q^4+q^5+q^6+q^7+q^8, \dots$$

The polynomial version of the second Rogers-Ramanujan identity (cf.[9],[5]) gives

$$G_n(q) = \sum_{k=\left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{5} \right\rfloor}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{5} \right\rfloor} (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(5k-3)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ \left\lfloor \frac{n-1+5k}{2} \right\rfloor \end{matrix} \right]. \quad (1.5)$$

For $n = 5m$ this implies

$$G_{5m}(q) = \sum_{k=-m}^m (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(5k-3)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} 5m \\ \frac{5(m+k)-1}{2} \end{matrix} \right]_q \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_{5m}(q)} \quad (1.6)$$

since no q -binomial coefficient reduces to 1.

As has been observed by H. Pan [8] for $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ there remain modulo $\Phi_n(q)$ only the

terms with $k = r(n)$, where $r(n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{5} \right\rfloor$ if $n \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ and $n \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ and

$r(n) = -\left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{5} \right\rfloor$ if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ or $n \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$.

This leads to the following table where the congruences are modulo $\Phi_n(q)$.

n	$r(n)$	$G_n(q)$
$5m$	0	0
$5m+1$	$-m$	$(-1)^m q^{\frac{m(5m+3)}{2}} \equiv q^m$
$5m+2$	$-m$	$(-1)^m q^{\frac{m(5m+3)}{2}} \equiv -q^{3m+1}$
$5m+3$	$m+1$	$(-1)^{m+1} q^{\frac{(m+1)(5m+2)}{2}} \equiv -q^{2m+1}$
$5m+4$	$m+1$	$(-1)^{m+1} q^{\frac{(m+1)(5m+2)}{2}} \equiv q^{4m+3}$

The congruences in the right column are easily verified. For example we have for $n = 5m+2$ and even m

$$(-1)^m q^{\frac{m(5m+3)}{2}} \equiv q^{\frac{m}{2}(5m+2)} q^{\frac{m}{2}} \equiv -q^{\frac{m}{2} + \frac{5m+2}{2}} = -q^{3m+1}$$

and for odd m

$$(-1)^{m+1} q^{\frac{m(5m+3)}{2}} \equiv q^{\frac{m(5m+3)}{2} - \frac{(5m+2)(m-1)}{2}} \equiv q^{3m+1}.$$

Theorem 1.2 (H. Pan [8])

If p is a prime with $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ then $G_{p-1}(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{[p]_q}$.

For example

$$G_{10}(q) = [11]_q [5]_{q^2} (1 - q + q^3 - q^4 + q^6).$$

Let me sketch H. Pan's proof.

By (1.5) we get

$$G_{n-1}(q) = \sum_{k=-\lfloor \frac{n+1}{5} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{5} \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(5k-3)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} n-1 \\ \frac{n-2+5k}{2} \end{matrix} \right].$$

For $n = 5m+1$ this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} G_{5m}(q) &= \sum_{k=-m+1}^m (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(5k-3)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} 5m \\ \frac{5(m+k)-1}{2} \end{matrix} \right] = \sum_{k=-m+1}^m (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(5k-3)}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{5(m+k)-1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{[5m+1-j]_q}{[j]_q} \\ &= \sum_{k=-m+1}^m (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(5k-3)}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{5(m+k)-1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{[5m+1]_q - [j]_q}{q^j [j]_q} \equiv \sum_{k=-m+1}^m (-1)^{k+\lfloor \frac{5(m+k)-1}{2} \rfloor} q^{\frac{k(5k-3)}{2} - \binom{\lfloor \frac{5(m+k)+1}{2} \rfloor}{2}} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that

$$\ell(m, k) = \frac{k(5k-3)}{2} - \binom{\lfloor \frac{5(m+k)+1}{2} \rfloor}{2} \text{ satisfies } \ell(m, 2k-1) - \ell(m, 2k) = 5m+1 = n$$

if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\ell(m, 2k+1) - \ell(m, 2k) = -5m-1 = -n$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Therefore each pair of adjacent terms in $G_m(q) = \sum_{k=-m+1}^m (-1)^{k+\lfloor \frac{5(m+k)-1}{2} \rfloor} q^{\ell(m,k)} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$

satisfies $\pm q^{\ell(m,2k-1)} \mp q^{\ell(m,2k)} = 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ if m is even and

$\pm q^{\ell(m,2k)} \mp q^{\ell(m,2k+1)} = 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ if m is odd.

For $n = 5m+4$ and

$$G_{5m+3}(q) = \sum_{k=-m}^{m+1} (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(5k-3)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} 5m+3 \\ \frac{5(m+k)+2}{2} \end{matrix} \right] \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$$

the situation is analogous.

With the same arguments H. Pan has shown that

$$F_{5n}(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_{5n}(q)}. \tag{1.7}$$

By (1.2) we get

$$F_{5n}(q) = \sum_{k=-n+1}^n (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(5k-1)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} 5n-1 \\ \frac{5n+5k-1}{2} \end{matrix} \right]_q$$

and as above each pair of adjacent elements sums to 0.

1.3. Let $A(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then it is easily verified (cf. [3]) that

$$A(q^{n-1})A(q^{n-2}) \cdots A(q)A(1) = \begin{pmatrix} F_{n+1}(q) & G_n(q) \\ F_n(q) & G_{n-1}(q) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.8)$$

If we take the determinant of (1.8) we get the q -Cassini formula

$$F_{n+1}(q)G_{n-1}(q) - F_n(q)G_n(q) = (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}. \quad (1.9)$$

If q is a primitive n -th root of unity then $q^{\binom{n}{2}} = \left(q^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)^{n-1} = -1$ if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and

$q^{\binom{n}{2}} = \left(q^n\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} = 1$ if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Therefore we get

$$(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \quad (1.10)$$

The above results and Cassini's formula give

Corollary 1.1

If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ then $F_n(q)G_n(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ and if

$n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ then

$$F_n(q)G_n(q) \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \quad (1.11)$$

More generally we get

Corollary 1.2

Let ζ_k be a primitive k -th root of unity. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{kn}(\zeta_k) &= F_n F_k(\zeta_k), \\ G_{kn}(\zeta_k) &= F_n G_k(\zeta_k), \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

and therefore

$$F_{kn}(\zeta_k)G_{kn}(\zeta_k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \\ F_n^2 & \text{if } k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}. \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

Proof

Let $j = mk + \ell$ with $0 \leq \ell < k$. Then for $km + \ell \leq k(n-m) - \ell - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{matrix} k(n-m) - \ell - 1 \\ km + \ell \end{matrix} \right]_q &= \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1 - q^{k(n-m-i)}}{1 - q^{ki}} * \frac{(1 - q^{k(n-m)-\ell-1}) \cdots (1 - q^{k(n-m)-k+1})(1 - q^{k(n-m)-k-1}) \cdots (1 - q^{k(n-m)-k-\ell})}{(1-q) \cdots (1-q^{k-1})} \\ &* \cdots * \frac{(1 - q^{k-\ell-1}) \cdots (1 - q^{k-2\ell-1})}{(1-q) \cdots (1-q^\ell)} \end{aligned}$$

If we let $q \rightarrow \zeta_k$ then the first term converges to $\binom{n-m-1}{m}$, the middle terms give 1

because the factors of the numerator are a permutation of the factors of the denominator, and

the last term converges to $\left[\begin{matrix} k-\ell-1 \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right]_{\zeta_k}$.

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{kn}(\zeta_k) &= \sum_j \left[\begin{matrix} kn-1-j \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_{\zeta_k} \zeta_k^{j^2} = \sum_m \sum_\ell \left[\begin{matrix} k(n-m)-1-\ell \\ km+\ell \end{matrix} \right]_{\zeta_k} \zeta_k^{(km+\ell)^2} \\ &= \sum_m \sum_\ell \binom{n-m-1}{m} \left[\begin{matrix} k-\ell-1 \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right]_{\zeta_k} \zeta_k^{\ell^2} = \sum_\ell \left[\begin{matrix} k-\ell-1 \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right]_{\zeta_k} \zeta_k^{\ell^2} \sum_m \binom{n-m-1}{m} = F_n F_k(\zeta_k). \end{aligned}$$

The proof for $G_n(q)$ is essentially the same.

2. The main result for $F_{5^n}(q)$ and $G_{5^n}(q)$.

Theorem 2.1

Let $n = 5^k m$ with $k \geq 1$ and $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$. Then

$$F_{5^k m}(q) \text{ and } G_{5^k m}(q) \text{ are divisible by } \left[5^k \right]_{q^m}. \quad (2.1)$$

For example

$$F_5(q) = [5]_q,$$

$$F_{10}(q) = [5]_{q^2} (1 + q + q^4 [9]_q) = [5]_q (1 - q + q^2 - q^3 + q^4) (1 + q + q^4 [9]_q),$$

$$G_5(q) = [5]_q (1 - q + q^2),$$

$$G_{10}(q) = [5]_{q^2} [11]_q (1 - q + q^3 - q^4 + q^6).$$

Let us first recall how to prove that $v_5(F_n) = v_5(n)$. By Binet's formula we get

$$F_n = \frac{1}{2^n \sqrt{5}} \left((1 + \sqrt{5})^n - (1 - \sqrt{5})^n \right) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{2k+1} 5^k = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n}{2k+1} \binom{n-1}{2k} 5^k.$$

For each $k > 0$ we have $v_5 \left(\frac{5^k n}{2k+1} \right) > v_5(n)$ and for $k = 0$ we have $v_5 \left(\frac{n}{1} \binom{n-1}{0} \right) = v_5(n)$.

This implies $v_5(F_n) = v_5(n)$.

It is rather trivial that $F_{5n}(q)$ and $G_{5n}(q)$ are divisible by $[5]_q$.

To show this observe that $q^n \equiv q^{n \pmod{5}} \pmod{[5]_q}$. Therefore $F_{5n}(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{[5]_q}$ by (1.1)

implies $F_{5n+2}(q) \equiv F_{5n+1}(q)$, $F_{5n+3}(q) \equiv F_{5n+2}(q) + qF_{5n+1}(q) \equiv F_{5n+1}(q)(1+q)$,

$F_{5n+4}(q) \equiv F_{5n+3}(q) + q^2 F_{5n+2}(q) \equiv (1+q+q^2)F_{5n+1}(q)$ and finally

$F_{5n+5}(q) \equiv F_{5n+4}(q) + q^3 F_{5n+3}(q) \equiv (1+q+q^2+q^3+q^4)F_{5n+1}(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{[5]_q}$.

Analogously $G_{5n}(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{[5]_q}$ by (1.1) implies $G_{5n+2}(q) \equiv G_{5n+1}(q)$,

$G_{5n+3}(q) \equiv G_{5n+2}(q) + q^2 G_{5n+1}(q) \equiv G_{5n+1}(q)(1+q^2)$,

$G_{5n+4}(q) \equiv G_{5n+3}(q) + q^3 G_{5n+2}(q) \equiv (1+q^2+q^3)G_{5n+1}(q)$ and finally

$G_{5n+5}(q) \equiv G_{5n+4}(q) + q^4 G_{5n+3}(q) \equiv (1+q^2+q^3+q^4+q^6)G_{5n+1}(q) = [5]_q (1-q+q^2)G_{5n+1}(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{[5]_q}$.

For the general case observe that by (1.7) and (1.6) $F_{5^\ell r}(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_{5^\ell r}(q)}$ and

$G_{5^\ell r}(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_{5^\ell r}(q)}$ for each factor $5^\ell r$ of $5^k m$ with $\ell \geq 1$ and that all $\Phi_{5^\ell r}(q)$ are

irreducible. Therefore the product of all these cyclotomic polynomials divides $F_{5^k m}(q)$ and

$G_{5^k m}(q)$. But this product coincides with $[5^k]_{q^m}$ because $[5^k]_{q^m} = \frac{1-q^{5^k m}}{1-q^m} = \frac{\prod_{d|5^k m} \Phi_d(q)}{\prod_{d|m} \Phi_d(q)}$.

For example we see that $F_{10}(q)$ is divisible by $\Phi_5(q)\Phi_{10}(q)$, $F_{15}(q)$ is divisible by

$\Phi_5(q)\Phi_{15}(q)$, or $F_{20}(q)$ is divisible by $\Phi_5(q)\Phi_{10}(q)\Phi_{20}(q)$.

3. The Fibonacci numbers $f_r(n, q)$.

Let for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$f_r(n, q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} q^{\binom{k}{2} + 2rk} \begin{bmatrix} n-1-k \\ k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

These polynomials satisfy the recurrence (cf. [4])

$$f_r(n, q) = f_r(n-1, q) + q^{n-3+2r} f_r(n-3, q) + q^{n-4+4r} f_r(n-4, q) \quad (3.2)$$

with initial values $f_r(0, q) = 0$, $f_r(1, q) = 1$, $f_r(2, q) = 1$, $f_r(3, q) = 1 + q^{2r}$ and $f_r(4, q) = 1 + q^{2r} + q^{1+2r}$.

Of special interest is $f(n, q) = f_0(n, q)$. The first terms of $f(n, q)$ are

$$0, 1, 1, 2, 2 + q, 2 + 2q + q^2, 2(1 + q)(1 + q^2), 2 + 2q + 2q^2 + 4q^3 + 2q^4 + q^5, \dots$$

Conjecture 3.1

Let $n = 2^k(2m+1)$ with $k \geq 0$. Then

$$f(6n, q) = f(6 \cdot (2m+1) \cdot 2^k, q) \text{ is divisible by } 2 \left[2^{k+2} \right]_{q^{2m+1}}. \quad (3.3)$$

For example $f(12, q) = 2 \left[8 \right]_q (1 + q^3 + q^5 + q^6 + q^7 + 2q^8 + q^9 + q^{11})$ and $f(18, q)$ is divisible by $2 \left[4 \right]_{q^3}$.

Let me prove some trivial facts:

The Fibonacci numbers F_n satisfy $F_{6n} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. For

$$(F_n \pmod{8})_{n \geq 0} = (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 0, \dots).$$

Now observe that $f(3n, q)$ is even because $\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{3n-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 3n-1-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} = 0$.

Cf. [4], Theorem 3.2 and the literature cited there.

It is also easy to show by induction that

$$f(3n, q) \pmod{2} = 0, \quad f(3n+1, q) \pmod{2} = q^{\frac{n(3n-1)}{2}}, \quad f(3n+2, q) \pmod{2} = q^{\frac{n(3n+1)}{2}}.$$

Observe that $f(6, q) = 2(1 + q + q^2 + q^3) = 2 \left[4 \right]_q$.

The sequence $(q^n \pmod{4}) = (1, q, q^2, -1 - q - q^2, \dots)$ is periodic with period 4.

This implies that the sequence $f(n+24, q) \pmod{4}_q$ satisfies the same recurrence. It is easily verified that it also has the same initial values. Therefore the sequence $f(n, q) \pmod{4}_q$

has period 24. Since it satisfies $f(6n, q) \equiv 0 \pmod{[4]_q}$ we finally get that $f(6n, q)$ is divisible by $2(1+q)(1+q^2)$.

For general r we get

Conjecture 3.2

Let $n = 2^k(2m+1)$ with $k \geq 0$. Then

$f_r(6n, q) = f(6 \cdot (2m+1) \cdot 2^k, q)$ is divisible by $[2^{k+2}]_{q^{2m+1}}$.

For example $f_r(6, q) = (1+q^{2r})(1+q^{2r+1} + q^{2r+2} + q^{2r+3})$ is a multiple of $(1+q)(1+q^2)$. If r is even then i and -1 are roots of the second factor, if r is odd then i is a root of the first factor and -1 is a root of the second factor of $f_r(6, q)$.

References

- [1] L. Carlitz, Solution of Problem H- 138, Fibonacci Quart. 8(1), 1970, 76-81
- [2] L. Carlitz, Fibonacci notes 3: q-Fibonacci numbers, Fib. Quart. 12 (1974), 317-322
- [3] J. Cigler, q -Fibonacci polynomials, Fib. Quart. 41 (2003), 31-40
- [4] J. Cigler, A new class of q -Fibonacci polynomials, Electronic J. Comb. 10 (2003), R 19
- [5] J. Cigler, Fibonacci-Zahlen, Gitterpunktwege und die Identitäten von Rogers-Ramanujan, Math. Semesterber. 52 (2005), 97-125
- [6] G.H. Hardy and E. M. Wright , An introduction to the theory of numbers, 3rd ed. Oxford 1954
- [7] T. Lengyel, The order of the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, Fib. Quart. 33 (1995), 234-239
- [8] H. Pan, Arithmetic properties of q -Fibonacci numbers and q -Pell numbers, Discr. Math. 306 (2006), 2118-2127
- [9] I. Schur. "Ein Beitrag zur additiven Zahlentheorie." Sitzungsber., Akad. Wissensch. Berlin, Phys.-Math. Klasse, (1917), pp. 302-321.