

Finite q -identities related to well-known theorems of Euler and Gauss

Johann Cigler

Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
A-1090 Wien, Nordbergstraße 15

email: johann.cigler@univie.ac.at

Abstract

We give generalizations of a finite version of Euler's pentagonal number theorem and of a q -identity of Gauss by introducing a new parameter.

0. Introduction

Let $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(1-q^{n-k+1})\cdots(1-q^n)}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^k)}$ be a q -binomial coefficient.

A. Berkovich and F. G. Garvan [1] and with another method S.O. Warnaar [4] have proved that

$$\sum_{j=-L}^{2L} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 2L-j \\ L+j \end{bmatrix} = 1$$

for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$. This is a finite version of Euler's pentagonal number theorem, because for $L \rightarrow \infty$ it reduces to the pentagonal number theorem

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}}.$$

Let $r_n(x, a) = \sum_k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k a^{n-k}$. A famous theorem of Gauss states that

$r_{2n+1}(1, -1) = 0$ and

$$r_{2n}(1, -1) = \frac{(q; q)_{2n}}{(q^2; q^2)_n} = (1-q)(1-q^3)\cdots(1-q^{2n-1}).$$

Generalizing these results we give explicit evaluations of the sums

$$h(L, k) = \sum_{j=-L}^{2L} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2} + kj} \begin{bmatrix} 2L-j \\ L+j \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$r_n(1, -q^k) = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} (-1)^j q^{kj}.$$

1. Variations of a finite version of Euler's pentagonal number theorem

Generalizing the formula of Berkovich and Garvan we give explicit evaluations of the sums

$$h(L, k) = \sum_{j=-L}^{2L} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2} + kj} \begin{bmatrix} 2L-j \\ L+j \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.1)$$

For small values of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we get the following formulas for $h(L, k)$:

$$h(L, 0) = 1$$

$$h(L, 1) = q^L \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$h(L, 2) = -q^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + q^{L-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$h(L, 3) = -q^{-2} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + q^{2L-2} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - q^{3L-1} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$h(L, 4) = -q^{L-4} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^{2L-4} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - q^{4L-2} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

For $q=1$ the left hand side of each equation reduces to 1. The right hand side reduces to a difference of sums of the form $A_{k,i} = \sum_{j \equiv i \pmod{3}} \binom{k}{j}$. E.g.

$A_{7,1} - A_{7,2} = \left(\binom{7}{1} + \binom{7}{4} + \binom{7}{7} \right) - \left(\binom{7}{2} + \binom{7}{5} \right) = (7 + 35 + 1) - (21 + 21) = 1$. The existence of such a representation becomes obvious from

$$(1 + \rho)^n = A_{n,0} + A_{n,1}\rho + A_{n,2}\rho^2 = (-\rho^2)^n,$$

where ρ denotes a third root of unity.

E.g. if $n = 3m + 1$ we have $(-\rho^2)^{3m+1} = (-1)^{m+1} \rho^2 = (-1)^m (1 + \rho)$. Therefore

$$A_{n,1} - A_{n,2} = (-1)^m.$$

In the general case we define a sequence $w(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by $w(n) = 0$ if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and

$w(n) = (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} q^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{6}}$ else. This means

$$\begin{aligned} w(3k) &= (-1)^k q^{-\frac{k(3k-1)}{2}} \\ w(3k+1) &= (-1)^k q^{-\frac{k(3k+1)}{2}} \\ w(3k+2) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

From the definition follows that

$$w(-n) = w(n+1). \quad (1.3)$$

Then we have

Theorem 1

For each $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the sum $h(L, k) = \sum_{j=-L}^{2L} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2} + kj} \begin{bmatrix} 2L-j \\ L+j \end{bmatrix}$ has the value

$$h(L, k) = \sum_{j=0}^k q^{\binom{j+1}{2}} q^{jL} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} (-1)^j w(-k-j) = \sum_{j=0}^k q^{\binom{j+1}{2}} q^{jL} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} (-1)^j w(k+j+1). \quad (1.4)$$

Since $w(-k-j) = 0$ if $-k-j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ there remain only q -binomial coefficients $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}$ with $j \equiv -k \pmod{3}$ or $j \equiv -k+2 \pmod{3}$. The sign of the coefficient of q^{jL} is given by $(-1)^{j+\lfloor \frac{-k+j}{3} \rfloor} = (-1)^{j+3+\lfloor \frac{-k+j+3}{3} \rfloor}$. Therefore all terms with j in the same residue class mod 3 have the same sign.

E.g. $h(L, 7) = A_{7,1}(L, q) - A_{7,2}(L, q)$ with $A_{7,1}(L, q) = q^{L-11} \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^{4L-12} \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} + q^{7L-7} \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$A_{7,2}(L, q) = q^{2L-12} \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + q^{5L-11} \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The expansion of $h(L, n)$ has a vanishing constant term if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Therefore we get $h(3k+1) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} h(L, 3k+1) = 0$.

For $n = 3k$ the constant term is $w(-3k) = (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(3k+1)}{2}}$ and therefore $h(3k) = (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(3k+1)}{2}}$.

Analogously we get $h(3k-1) = (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(3k-1)}{2}}$.

From the definition of $h(L, n)$ we see that

$$h(3k+i) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2} + (3k+i)j}.$$

This can also be verified by using Jacobi's triple product identity. The case $h(0) = 1$ gives Euler's pentagonal number theorem.

For the triple product implies

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^j q^{aj^2 + bj} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2an+a+b})(1 - q^{2an+a-b})(1 - q^{2an+2a}).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2} + (3k+i)j} = \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - q^{3n+2+3k+i})(1 - q^{3n+1-3k-i})(1 - q^{3n+3}).$$

For $i = 3l + 1$ the product vanishes because one of the middle factors vanishes.

For $i = 3l$ we get

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - q^{3n+2+3k+i})(1 - q^{3n+1-3k-i})(1 - q^{3n+3}) = q^{-\frac{(k+l)(3(k+l)+1)}{2}} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n) = h(3k+i) \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)$$

because to each term $1 - q^{3n+2}$, $0 \leq n < k+l$, which does not occur in the first factors of the product there corresponds precisely one term $1 - q^{-(3n+2)}$ in the middle factors and

$$2 + 5 + \dots + (3(k+l) - 1) = \frac{(k+l)(3(k+l)+1)}{2}. \text{ The case } i = 3l - 1 \text{ can be treated in the same}$$

way.

Proof of Theorem 1

In order to prove this theorem we use some results about the q -Fibonacci polynomials

$$F_n(x, s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^{n-1-2k} s^k \text{ from our previous paper [2]. These are } q\text{-analogues}$$

of the Fibonacci polynomials $f_n(x, s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-1-k}{k} x^{n+2k-1} s^k$, which are characterized by

the recurrence relation $f_n(x, s) = x f_{n-1}(x, s) + s f_{n-2}(x, s)$ and the initial values $f_0(x, s) = 0$ and $f_1(x, s) = 1$.

Let

$$G(L, i, s) = \sum_{j=-L}^{2L+i} s^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2} - ij} \begin{bmatrix} 2L+i-j \\ L+j \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$f_n(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-1-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{q} q^{-\binom{k+1}{2}} s^k. \quad (1.6)$$

Then $f_n(s) = F_n(1, s) \Big|_{q \rightarrow \frac{1}{q}}$ and therefore

$$f_n(qs) = f_{n-1}(s) + s f_{n-2}(s) \quad (1.7)$$

by [2] (2.2).

Using the easily verified formula $q^{-\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{\frac{1}{q}} = q^{-\binom{n}{2}} q^{k^2 + \binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix}$

we get

$$\sum_{j=-L}^{2L+i} s^{j+L} q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}-ij} \begin{bmatrix} 2L+i-j \\ L+j \end{bmatrix} = q^{\frac{L(3L+1)}{2}+iL} \sum_{k=0}^{3L+i} q^{-\binom{k+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 3L+i-k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{\frac{1}{q}} s^k. \quad (1.8)$$

Then (1.8) can be written as

$$G(L, i, s) = q^{\frac{L(3L+1)}{2}+iL} s^{-L} f_{3L+i+1}(s). \quad (1.9)$$

Combining (1.7) and (1.9) we get

$$G(L, i, qs) = G(L, i-1, s) + q^L s G(L, i-2, s). \quad (1.10)$$

By [2] (3.2)

$$f_{3n}(-q) = 0, f_{3n+1}(-q) = (-1)^n q^{-\frac{n(3n-1)}{2}}, f_{3n+2}(-q) = (-1)^n q^{-\frac{n(3n+1)}{2}}. \quad (1.11)$$

The formula $F_{-n}(1, s) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{F_n(1, s)}{s^n}$ (cf. [2] (2.7))

gives

$$F_{-n}\left(1, -\frac{1}{q}\right) = -F_n\left(1, -\frac{1}{q}\right) q^n.$$

Therefore we get

$$F_{-3k+1}\left(1, -\frac{1}{q}\right) = (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(3k+1)}{2}}, F_{-3k+2}\left(1, -\frac{1}{q}\right) = (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(3k-1)}{2}}, F_{-3k}\left(1, -\frac{1}{q}\right) = 0.$$

This implies that (1.11) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We use (1.9) to extend $G(L, i, s)$ to values with $3L+i+1 < 0$.

Then it is easy to verify that

$$G(L, n, -q) = w(n) \quad (1.12)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that the right-hand side does not depend on $L \in \mathbb{N}$.

Formula (1.10) gives immediately

$$G(L, i, q^k s) = \sum_{j=0}^k q^{\binom{j}{2}} q^{jL} s^j \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} G(L, i-k-j, s). \quad (1.13)$$

This follows by induction from

$$\begin{aligned} G(L, i, q^{k+1} s) &= G(L, i-1, q^k s) + q^{L+k} s G(L, i-2, q^k s) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k q^{\binom{j}{2}} q^{jL} s^j \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} G(L, i-k-j-1, s) + \sum_{j=0}^k q^{\binom{j}{2}} q^{jL+L} q^k s^j \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} G(L, i-k-j-2, s) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 0} q^{\binom{j}{2}} q^{jL} s^j \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} G(L, i-k-j-1, s) + \sum_{j \geq 0} q^{\binom{j}{2}} q^{jL} s^j q^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} G(L, i-k-j-1, s) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} q^{\binom{j}{2}} q^{jL} s^j \begin{bmatrix} k+1 \\ j \end{bmatrix} G(L, i-k-1-j, s). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows if in (1.13) we set $s = -q$ and $i = 0$.

2. Variations of a q-identity of Gauss

Consider now the Rogers-Szegö polynomials

$$r_n(x, a) = \sum_k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k a^{n-k}. \quad (2.1)$$

Gauss's theorem states that

$$r_{2n+1}(1, -1) = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$r_{2n}(1, -1) = \frac{(q; q)_{2n}}{(q^2; q^2)_n} = (1-q)(1-q^3) \cdots (1-q^{2n-1}). \quad (2.2)$$

A very simple proof uses Euler's q -exponential series

$$e(x) = \frac{1}{(x; q)_\infty} = \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-qx)(1-q^2x) \cdots} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{x^k}{(q; q)_k}. \quad (2.3)$$

The generating function of the Rogers-Szegö polynomials is given by

$$\sum_n \frac{r_n(x, a)}{(q; q)_n} z^n = e(xz)e(az). \quad (2.4)$$

This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} e(xz)e(az) &= \sum_k \frac{x^k z^k}{(q; q)_k} \sum_\ell \frac{a^\ell z^\ell}{(q; q)_\ell} = \sum_{k, \ell} \frac{z^{k+\ell}}{(q; q)_{k+\ell}} \frac{(q; q)_{k+\ell}}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_\ell} x^k a^\ell = \sum_n \frac{z^n}{(q; q)_n} \sum_{k+\ell=n} \begin{bmatrix} k+\ell \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k a^\ell \\ &= \sum_n \frac{r_n(x, a)}{(q; q)_n} z^n. \end{aligned}$$

As a special case we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \frac{r_n(1,-1)}{(q;q)_n} z^n &= e(-z)e(z) = \frac{1}{(1+z)(1+qz)(1+q^2z)\cdots} \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^2z)\cdots} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-z^2)(1-q^2z^2)(1-q^4z^2)\cdots} = \sum_n \frac{(z^2)^n}{(q^2;q^2)_n} = \sum_n \frac{z^{2n}}{(q;q)_{2n}} (1-q)(1-q^3)\cdots(1-q^{2n-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

which is equivalent with Gauss's theorem by comparing coefficients.

B.A Kupershmidt [3] has given a formula for $r_n(1,-x) = (-1)^n r_n(x,-1)$ which can be easily deduced from the generating function

$$\sum_n \frac{r_n(x,-1)}{(q;q)_n} z^n = e(xz)e(-z) = \left(\frac{e(xz)}{e(z)} \right) (e(z)e(-z)).$$

He raised the problem of explicitly evaluating $r_n(1,-q^k)$. In the following we give two such formulas.

For the first one we generalize the method we used to prove Gauss's theorem:

$$\text{From } e(qx) = \frac{1}{(1-qx)(1-q^2x)\cdots} = (1-x)e(x)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \frac{r_n(1,-q)}{(q;q)_n} z^n &= e(-qz)e(z) = \frac{1+z}{(1+z)(1+qz)(1+q^2z)\cdots} \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^2z)\cdots} \\ &= \frac{1+z}{(1-z^2)(1-q^2z^2)(1-q^4z^2)\cdots} = (1+z) \sum_n \frac{(z^2)^n}{(q^2;q^2)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $r_{2n}(1,-q) = r_{2n}(1,-1)$ and $r_{2n-1}(1,-q) = r_{2n}(1,-1)$.

More generally for $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \frac{r_n(1,-q^k)}{(q;q)_n} z^n &= e(-q^k z)e(z) = \frac{(1+z)\cdots(1+q^{k-1}z)}{(1+z)(1+qz)(1+q^2z)\cdots} \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^2z)\cdots} \\ &= (1+z)\cdots(1+q^{k-1}z) \sum_n \frac{r_n(1,-1)}{(q;q)_n} z^n = \sum_{j=0}^k q^{\binom{j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} z^j \sum_n \frac{z^{2n}}{(q^2;q^2)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients we get

$$r_n(1,-q^k) = (q;q)_n \sum_{j+2\ell=n} q^{\binom{j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(q^2;q^2)_\ell}. \quad (2.6)$$

This may be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2n-1}(1,-q^k) &= r_{2n}(1,-1) \sum_{0 \leq \ell < n} q^{\binom{2n-2\ell-1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 2n-2\ell-1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{(q^2;q^2)_{n-1}}{(q^2;q^2)_\ell} \\ &= r_{2n}(1,-1) \sum_{j \geq 0} q^{\binom{2j+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 2j+1 \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=n-j}^{n-1} (1-q^{2i}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$r_{2n}(1, -q^k) = r_{2n}(1, -1) \sum_{j \geq 0} q^{\binom{2j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 2j \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=n-j+1}^n (1 - q^{2i}).$$

The first values are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_{2n-1}(1, -q)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} &= 1 \\ \frac{r_{2n-1}(1, -q^2)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \frac{r_{2n-1}(1, -q^3)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^3(1 - q^{2n-2}) \\ \frac{r_{2n-1}(1, -q^4)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^3 \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} (1 - q^{2n-2}) \\ \frac{r_{2n-1}(1, -q^5)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} q^3(1 - q^{2n-2}) + \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} q^{10}(1 - q^{2n-2})(1 - q^{2n-4}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_{2n}(1, -q)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} &= 1 \\ \frac{r_{2n}(1, -q^2)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} &= 1 + q(1 - q^{2n}) \\ \frac{r_{2n}(1, -q^3)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} &= 1 + q(1 - q^{2n}) \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \frac{r_{2n}(1, -q^4)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} &= 1 + q(1 - q^{2n}) \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + q^6(1 - q^{2n})(1 - q^{2n-2}) \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

These are polynomials in q^{2n} . Now we want to compute the coefficients of these polynomials.

In order to do this we start from the formula

$$r_n(q^2x, a) - (1 - \frac{qx}{a})r_n(qx, a) - q^{n+1} \frac{x}{a} r_n(x, a) = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

which is easily verified by comparing coefficients:

$$q^{2k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} - q^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} + q^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} - q^{n+1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} = q^k \left((q^k - 1) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} + (1 - q^{n+1-k}) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0.$$

Let now

$$b(n, k) = -\frac{r_{2n-1}(q^k, -1)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Then we get

$$b(n, k+2) - (1+q^{k+1})b(n, k+1) + q^{2n+k}b(n, k) = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Define now polynomials $f(k, s)$ by the recurrence

$$f(k, s) = (1+q^{k-1})f(k-1, s) - q^{k-2}sf(k-2, s) \quad (2.10)$$

and initial values $f(0, s) = 0$ and $f(1, s) = 1$.

Then

$$b(n, k) = f(k, q^{2n}). \quad (2.11)$$

The polynomial $f(k, s)$ is a q -analogue of the Fibonacci polynomial

$$f_k(2, -s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j s^j \binom{k-1-j}{j} 2^{k-1-2j}.$$

It is easily verified that this formula has the direct q -analogue

$$f(k, s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j q^{j^2} s^j \left[\begin{matrix} k-j-1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1-2j} (1+q^i). \quad (2.12)$$

For by comparing coefficients the recursion (2.10) is equivalent with the identity

$$\left[\begin{matrix} k-j-1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} (1+q^{k-1-2j}) - (1+q^{k-1}) \left[\begin{matrix} k-j-2 \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} - q^{k-1-2j} \left[\begin{matrix} k-j-2 \\ j-1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} (1+q^{k-1-2j}) = 0.$$

This is trivial, because we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{matrix} k-j-1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} - \left[\begin{matrix} k-j-2 \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} - q^{2k-2-4j} \left[\begin{matrix} k-j-2 \\ j-1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \\ & + q^{k-1-2j} \left(\left[\begin{matrix} k-j-1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} - q^{2j} \left[\begin{matrix} k-j-2 \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} - \left[\begin{matrix} k-j-2 \\ j-1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by using both recurrences for the q -binomial coefficients.

From

$$\frac{r_{2n+1}(1, -q^{k+1})}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} - \frac{r_{2n+1}(1, -q^k)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} = q^k (1 - q^{2n+1}) \frac{r_{2n}(1, -q^k)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)}.$$

we see that

$$c(n, k) = \frac{r_{2n}(1, -q^k)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} = q^{-k} (b(n+1, k+1) - b(n+1, k)). \quad (2.13)$$

This gives

$$c(n, k) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j q^{j^2} q^{2jn} \frac{[k]}{[2k-2j]} \begin{bmatrix} k-j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2j} (1+q^i).$$

Therefore we have proved

Theorem 2

For each fixed $k \geq 0$ the following identities hold:

$$\frac{r_{2n-1}(1, -q^k)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j q^{j^2} q^{2jn} \begin{bmatrix} k-j-1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1-2j} (1+q^i) \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\frac{r_{2n}(1, -q^k)}{r_{2n}(1, -1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j q^{j^2} q^{2jn} \frac{[k]}{[2k-2j]} \begin{bmatrix} k-j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2j} (1+q^i). \quad (2.15)$$

References

- [1] A. Berkovich and F.G. Garvan, Some observations on Dyson's new symmetries of partitions, J. Comb. Th., Ser. A **100** (2002), 61-93
- [2] J. Cigler, A new class of q-Fibonacci polynomials. Electr. J. Comb. **10** (2003), #R19
- [3] B.A. Kupershmidt, q-Newton Binomial: From Euler to Gauss, Journal of Nonlinear Math. Physics, V.7, N 2 (2000), 244-262
- [4] S. O. Warnaar, q-hypergeometric proofs of polynomial analogues of the triple product identity, Lebesgue's identity and Euler's pentagonal number theorem, Ramanujan J. **8** (2004), 467-474