

SOME PECULIARITIES OF GREEK AND COPTIC EPIGRAPHY  
FROM NIBIA

Helmut SATZINGER

Wien

The population of Christian Nubia was essentially of non-Egyptian tongue: they spoke an ancient form of Fiyadikkya (Fadicha) Nubian, or Nobîñ. At a certain point, they developed graphic conventions to write their language. This is the idiom which is called Old Nubian. Its script is Greek, augmented for two Coptic signs of Demotic origin, viz.  $\omega$  š and  $\xi$  h, mostly used in foreign words (none of the two sounds seems to be a Nubian phoneme), it also makes use of a letter  $\delta$ , which is reminiscent of Coptic  $\delta$  (B [c<sup>h</sup>], otherwise [j]), for a sound that is obviously a voiced palatal plosive [j], corresponding to the same sound in modern Nubian; and more signs are taken from the linear version of the Meroitic script:  $\pi$  for the velar nasal [ŋ] (from Meroitic  $h$  [transcribed as -vχ-], linear  $\nabla$ , hieroglyphic  $\text{☉}$ ),  $\varphi$  for the palatal nasal [ɲ] (from Meroitic  $\tilde{n}$ , linear  $\text{X}$ , hieroglyphic  $\text{𐎎}$ ), and  $\zeta$  or  $\xi$  for [w] (from Meroitic  $w$ , linear  $\text{Z}$ , hieroglyphic  $\text{𐎎}$ ), in variation with  $\omega\gamma$ .

Old Nubian was used from about the sixth century A.D. until the end of Christian civilisation in the area. The extant texts are both literary and non-literary. The literary texts consist of portions of biblical texts, hymns, homilies, the Menas Legend, etc. It is, however, remarkable that Old Nubian is hardly ever used for epitaphs. Rather, these bear Greek or Coptic inscriptions. This is to say that we have to do with diglossia. It was essential for clerics and perhaps other persons of higher levels of the society to have sufficient knowledge of Greek and/or Coptic. Unfortunately we have very little means to find out about the practical circumstances of this situation.

It may be assumed that Greek inscriptions from Egypt were usually written by persons whose mother tongue was not Greek. As for Nubia it must be assumed that most of the writers of both Greek and Coptic inscriptions were using an idiom that was not their mother tongue. And we may equally well presuppose that this has left marks on the language of the texts. Nubian is an East Sudanic language, of the Nilo-Saharan macro-phylum. It differs to a great measure both from Egyptian/Coptic

and from Greek. The main aspects to be considered in the present context are phonetics/phonology and morphology.

Some points of phonetics: distinction of voiced and unvoiced occlusives; no aspirate plosives; signs  $\xi$  [h] and  $\chi$  [x] are virtually restricted to foreign words,  $\upsilon$  [j] is quite rare. As for morphology we must be aware of the fact that Nubian does not have nominal gender classes. It may be added the Nubian word order is quite different from Coptic. The modifier precedes the modified: the verb comes last, following on the subject and all kinds of complements; the genitival noun comes first (rectum—regens:  $\text{ΟΥΡΟΥ-Ν ΑΡΤΙ}$  “the King’s Island”), postpositions in the stead of prepositions, etc.

Apart from possible deviations from the linguistic norm (which are particularly conspicuous in Greek) we may also expect the traces of cultural differences. We may mention here the typical Nubian moon-days in the dates of documents which are usually mentioned in addition to the year and the month and day; e.g. “He deceased on Tybi 23, day of the moon 16, (year) of the Martyrs 847 (Τύβι κ̄γ/ σελήνι ιζ̄ ἀπὸ μαρ(τύρων) ω[.ιζ̄]).”<sup>1</sup> Probably the genitive (τῆς) σελήνης is meant, in the sense of “the (so-and-so day) of the moon”. Another Greek inscription corroborates this: it has the word for “moon” in Old Nubian, rather than in Greek, and this word  $\text{ΟΥΝ-}$  appears in the predicative genitive in  $\text{-ΝΑ}$ : “the years of his life on earth (were) 88, until the month of Payni, (day) 10; of the moon (day) 4 (ἐπὶ τοῦ μηνὸς Παῦνη ῑ οὐννα δ̄).”<sup>2</sup> The opposite, viz. an Old Nubian text with a Greek moon date, can be found in a graffito from the church of Nag’ el-Shêma, Seyâla:  $\text{ΑΘΥΡ̄ ΙΒ̄$   
 $\text{CΕΛ}\{\lambda\}\text{ΕΝΗ } \bar{\lambda}$ .<sup>3</sup>

Further clarity can be gained from the dates in Old Nubian texts, which also contain  $\text{ΟΥΝΝΑ}$ , “(being the so-and-so day) of the moon”, with a following number. Some cases show that the numbers are ordinal, rather than cardinal numbers: for “day 1” the texts have  $\text{ΠΡΟΤ(ΟC)}$ ,  $\text{ΠΡΩΤ(ΟC)}$ , “first”, and one text has for “day 4” the respective number sign with a phonetic addition that makes clear that we have to do with the ordinal:  $\text{ΤΩΒΙΑ } \bar{\Delta}\text{ΤΑ } \text{ΟΥΝΝΑ } \bar{\zeta}\text{ΤΑ}$ ,<sup>4</sup> to be read  $\text{ΤΩΒΙ-}\bar{\Delta}$   $\text{ΚΕΜ-}$

<sup>1</sup> J. KUBIŃSKA, *Inscriptions grecques chrétiennes (Faras IV)*, p. 45–46: no. 11, line 23.

<sup>2</sup> *Op. cit.*, p. 40–42: no. 9, lines 21–22.

<sup>3</sup> H. SATZINGER, in M. BIETAK, M. SCHWARZ, *Nag’ el-Scheima. Eine befestigte christliche Siedlung und andere christliche Denkmäler in Sayala-Nubien*, Teil I, Wien, 1987, p. 130.

<sup>4</sup> G.M. BROWNE, *Old Nubian Texts from Qasr Ibrîm III (Texts from Excavations)*, edited by W.J. TAIT, Twelfth memoir, no. 34, i, 3–4. 38, 2.

СИТТ-Α ΟΥΝ-ΝΑ ΚΟΛΟΤΙΤΤ-Α ... ΕΙΝᾠ, “it being the fourth in Tybi, the seventh of the moon...”. The Old Nubian ordinal numbers are derived from the cardinal numbers by an ending *-itt-*. ᾠΤΑ is, therefore, short for ΚΕΜСИТТ- “fourth” plus the ending *-α* of the absolute state, rather than ΚΕΜСО- “four”. The cardinal number ΚΕΜСО- “four”, having no final *-t*, would not be written in this way. (Note that ΖᾠΤΑ for “7” is insignificant in this, as also the cardinal number ends in *t*: ΚΟΛΟТ- “seven”, ΚΟΛΟТИТ- “seventh”).

The verb of the clauses is ΕΙΝᾠ, 3rd person singular (homonymous with the 2nd person) of the subjunctive of the present tense of the verb ΕΙΝ- “to be”. The subjunctive is the form of part-clauses: attributive (relative clauses), *that*-clauses, and, as in the present case, clauses of circumstance. The whole phrase, the number and its genitival extension, is a predicative extension of the verb ΕΙΝ- “to be” (3rd [and 2nd] ps. singular of the present). Therefore the number is in the absolute state in *-a*, and the extension in the absolute genitive in *-na* (i.e., genitive *-n* plus absolute state morpheme in *-a*): ΟΥΝ-ᾠ ΚΕΜСИТТ- becomes ΟΥΝΝΑ ΚΕΜСИТТА, “is the seventh of the moon”.

Another matter of cultural background is the form of the personal names that occur in the inscriptions. Of course, the Christian Nubians made use of biblical names, like Abraham (ᾠΒΡᾠΜΗ-, ᾠΒΡᾠΜΙ-, ᾠΠΡᾠΜΥ<sup>5</sup>), Isaac (ΙСАΚΙ-), Michael, Gabriel, even Jesus. Other names have a meaning in the Nubian language, like ΕΙΦᾠΤΤΑ,<sup>6</sup> absolute state of *inyitt-*, “wealth” (the absolute state encompasses the vocative function and that of a quotation form, it seems natural that many name forms end in *-a*); ΜΑῶΑΛ “the sun”; also verbal expressions, like ΔΟΛΛΙCᾠ, “he who loved,” and ΔΟΛΛΙΤΑΚᾠ, “he who is loved.” Of compositions, those with *-κολ*, “he who has” (“verbid” of ΚΟΝ- “to have”), are very typical, such as: ἸᾠCΟΥCΙ-ΚΟΛ “he who has Jesus,” and similarly with ΜΑΡΙᾠ-, ἈΝΝΑ-, ΜΙΧΑΛΙ-/ΜΙΧΑΗΛ-, ΑΓΓΕΛΟC-, ΓΕΩΡΓΙΟCΙ-, or with ΜΑῶΑΛ- (“sun”); other names tell of having qualities like \**“appearance”* (ΠΑCΕ-ΚΟΛ; cf. Nobiin *pas-* “to appear,” with abstract formant *-ε-* > *-e*), or \**“thousands”* (ΔΟΥΡΙ-ΚΟΛ, cf. ΔΟΥΔΕ-, Nobiin *dure* “thousand; great number”).

Other frequently found compositions are formed with ἘΑΛ-, “son,” and ΔC(Τ)Ι-, “daughter,” either in direct juxtaposition or with a genitive:

<sup>5</sup> See “List of Personal Names,” in G.M. BROWNE, *Old Nubian Dictionary*, Louvain, 1996, p. 236–246.

<sup>6</sup> SATZINGER, *op. cit.*, 129.

## Holy entities

ἸΟΥΣΑ- (\**Iēsousin-ḥal* “son of Jesus”?)

ΜΙΧΑΗΛῆ ΑΣΙ- IN III 31.6, 33.6 (“daughter of St. Michael”, or “of M.”)

ΜΑΡΙῆΚΟΝ ΑΣΤΙ- (“daughter of *Maria-kol*”, genitive *-kon*, “he who has St. Mary”)

## Names, partly formed by verbal expressions

ΑΝΝΑΤΟΥΝ ΓΑΛ- (“son of Anatole”? Cf. Coptic ΑΝΑΤΟΛΕ m., Kopt. Sammelbuch I,<sup>7</sup> no. 348, 4?)

ἘΝΟΝ ΑΣΤΙ- (cf. ΕΝΟ-, male name; ΕΝ(Ν)ΟΛ- “who has brought”?)

ἘΛΟΝ ΓΑΛ- (cf. *elol* - “who has found”)

ἸΡΑΕῆ ΑΣΤΙ- (cf. \**ir-ar-l* - “who causes to bear/engender”?)

ΟἶΛΑΝ ΓΑΛ- (cf. ΟἶΙΛΑ, name)

ῬΤΑΝ ΓΑΛ- (ῬΤ- “potentiary” (?) with ending *-a*, als a proper name, hence “son of Irta”; cf. ῬΤῆ ΑΣΙ-, below)

ΜΟΥΣΕΝ/ΜΟΥΩΕΝ ΑΣΤΙ- (“daughter of *Mūsā*, or *Mōšē*”?)

## Titles

ΑΜΠΑ ΓΑΛ- “son of the Abbas” (?)

ῬΤῆ ΑΣΙ- IN III 36 i (cf. ῬΤ- “potentiary”? Cf. ῬΤΑΝ ΓΑΛ-, above)

ΣΟΥΔΔΙ(Γ)ΓΑ(Λ)-, ΣΟΥΝΔῆ ΓΑΛ- (cf. *sountouwe* “scribe”?)

## Qualifications

ΓῆΣῆ ΓΑΛ- (“son of the holy man”)

## Animals, tools etc.

ΚΑΤῆΤῆ ΓΑΛ- (“son of the lamb”; cf. the personal names ΚΑΤΤΙΚ-ΟΥΔΑ- (see below); ΚΑΤΤΙ ΟΥΔΑΜ- “black lamb”)

ΚΑΔῆΓΑΛ- (ΚΑΔῆ ΓΑΛ-, “son of the colt”?)

ΤΑΜῆΤῆ ΑΣΤΙ- (“daughter of the furnace (ΤΑΜΕΤ-)”); cf. ΤΑΜ(Ι)ΤΙΚΟΝ ΑΣΤΙ- (“daughter of \**Tamti-kol* [“he who has a furnace”]”)

## Geographical terms

ΠΑΡΚΙΝ ΑΣΤΙ- (“daughter of the valley (ΠΑΡΚ-)”)

ΓΕΔΙΝ ΑΣΙ- (“daughter of the mountain (ΓΑΔ(δ)-)”? also cf. Nobiin *nij* “dour palm”)

## Others

ΜΑΛΛΕΝ ΓΑΛ- (“son of everybody”?)

Another typical Old Nubian formation of names (found both in Old Nubian texts and in Greek and Coptic) consists of a sacred name or a function of respect (like “king”, etc.) as a first part, often in the genitive case (with ending *-ḥ*), and with *-kouda* as the second element. As far as

<sup>7</sup> M. HASITZKA, *Koptisches Sammelbuch I (Mitteilungen Papyrus Erzherzog Rainer, XXIII)*, Wien, 1993.

we can see the first element refers to a person (sacred and/or revered), rather than to an abstract notion. Very often the first element is shortened in the writing (and perhaps also in the pronunciation). The meaning of *-kouda* is disputed, but I have given arguments to see here a noun *\*koud-* “servant” (?), with the ending *-a* of the absolute case (marking *inter alia* the vocative which is here generalised; it has become an invariable part of some names).

First elements are:

Jesus (ἸΗΣΟΥΣΝ̄-; ΙΗΣΟΥ-; ΕΙΣΣΝ̄-, Ε̄ΣΣΝ̄-; ΙC)

Σωτήρ “saviour” (COT(HP)IN-)

σταυρός “cross” (CΤΑΥΡΟCΙΛ-)

*katt-* “lamb” (ΚΑΤΤΙ-)

*tousko(u)-* “three”, for the Trinity (ΤΟΥCΚΟΝ-, ΤΟCΚΟΝ-)

St. Mary (ΜΑΡΙΑΝ-, ΜΑΡΝ̄-, ΜΑΡΙᾹ<sup>8</sup>-, ΜΑΡΑ-)

St. Michael (ΜΙΛΝ̄-, ΜΗΛΗΝ-, ΜΗΧΕΝ-)

St. Gabriel (ΓΑΒΡΙΑΝ̄-, ΓΑΒΡΙΝ-, ΓΑΒΡΙΗΛ-, ΓΑΒΡΙΗΛ-, Γ̄ΒΛ̄-, ΓΑΒΡΙ-)

“Angel of Jesus” (?) (ἸCΟΥ ΑΓΓΙ-, i.e. Ἰ(Η)CΟΥ(CΝ̄) ΑΓΓ(ΕΛΟC)Ι-?)

St. Mena (ΜΗΝΑΝ-, ΜΗΝΑ-)

Arabic *'abū salāma* “the father of vicelessness”, or the like (ΑΠΟΥCΑΛΑ-ΜΑΝ-)

*ourou-* “king” (ΟΥΡΟΥΝ-, Ο̄ΡΟΥΝ-, Ο̄ΡΝ̄-, ΟΥΡΟΥ-)

*rapas* “bishop” (?) (ΠΑΠΑCΙΝ-)

*n<sup>s</sup>ash-*, title of official (ΓΑΦΩΑ(Ν)-, ΓΑΦΑΝ-)

*pajj-*, perhaps “judge” (ΠΑΔΔΑ-)

The second element, *koud-*, may appear in forms like -ΚΟΥΔΑ-, -ΚΟΥΔΔΑ-, -ΚΟΥΔΙ-, -ΓΟΔΔΙ<sup>9</sup>

I should like to illustrate some of the observations made above by one or two inscriptions. Let us mention first that the *-kouda* names are sometimes misread, in particular when the inscription is faulty itself, or badly preserved.

Two Coptic tombstones from Wâdi Ghazâl, published already by Lepsius (see *Kopt. Sammelbuch* I, nos. 492 and 494), have the names ΜΑΡΑΝΚΟΥΧΑ, and ΜΙΧΙΚΟΥΧΑ, respectively. We have certainly to read *Mariankouda* and *Mich(ael)i(n)kouda*.

On the tombstone from Ukma (Akasha) published by R. Kasser,<sup>10</sup> the name of the deceased was first read ΜΙΧΑΗΛΝΟΥΔΑ but Jacques van

<sup>8</sup> In both Greek and Old Nubian context: *Faras* III, p. 179–180 = *Faras* IV, p. 111, no. 49

<sup>9</sup> See BROWNE, *Old Nubian Dictionary*, Appendices, Louvain, 1997, p. 69.

<sup>10</sup> R. KASSER, *Stèle copte en langue saïdique*, in C. MAYSTRE, *Akasha* II, Genève, 1996, p. 24–27, Tf. XII.

der Vliet<sup>11</sup> who is re-editing the text has ΜΙΧΗΛΗΚΟΥΔΑ. Anyway, the correct full form of the name is \*ΜΙΧΗΛΗΛῆΚΟΥΔΑ.

† ἰϫ̄ ο̄ χ̄ϫ̄	+ Jesus Christ.
1. ϺΙΤΗ ΤΕΠΡΟΝΙΑ ΔΕ	Through the providence
2. ΜΠΝΟΥΤΕ <Π>ΠΑΝΤΩ-	of God Almighty,
3. ΚΡΑΤΩΡ · ΔΤΕ·ΑΠΟΦ-	the pronouncement
4. ΔΣΙΣ <Μ>ΠΝΟΥΤΕ ΔΩΚ	of God was realized
5. ΕΒΟΛ ΔΡΜΤΟΝ ΜΜΟϩ	and he went to rest,
6. ΝΒΙ ΤΜΑΚΑΡΙΑ Τὸν	the blessed, his <sup>sic</sup>
7. ΔΟΥΛΟ'Υ' ΣΟΥ ΜΙΧΑΗΛ-	servant, Michael(in)-
8. ΚΟΥΔΑ · Μ ΠΕΒΟΤ ΧΟΙ	kouda, in the month of Khoiak,
9. ΔΚ · Κ̄Ζ̄ · ΤΗΠ̄Ε ΔΕ Μ-	(day) 27; the number (of years) of
10. ΠΕΥΟ·ΝΖ · Π̄Β̄· ΕΦΩΡΟΠ	his <sup>sic</sup> life being 82.
11. ΕΡΕ ΠΝΟΥΤΕ ΠΑΓΑΘΟΣ	May the Good God
12. ΤΙ ΜΤΟΝ ΝΤ̄ΪΨΥΧΗ <ΜΝ>	grant peace to his soul, together with
13. ΔΒΡΑΑΜ Κ/ ἸΣΑΚ Κ/	Abraham, Isaac and
14. ἸΑΚΩΒ Ν ΤΜ̄Ν-	Jacob, in the kingdom
15. ΤΕΡΟ ΝΜΠΗΥΕ	of the heavens.
16. ΔΜΗΝ ΕΦΕ	Amen, so
17. ΩΡΠ̄Ε †	be it. +

(Coptic text and most of the translation after J. van der Vliet, personal communication)

This inscription can illustrate some more typical features of Nubian Coptic epigraphy.

- (1) The deceased is referred to by masculine pronouns: ΔΡΜΤΟΝ ΜΜΟϩ, etc. But he has the female Greek epithet, ΤΜΑΚΑΡΙΑ. A mistake like this may be explained by the lack of grammatical gender in the Nubian language.
- (2) The text ends in the well-known formula, "Give peace to his soul, together with Abraham and Isaac and Jacob, in the kingdom of the heavens". Here, the text has Ν ΤΜ̄ΝΤΕΡΟ instead of ϺΝ̄-. The same applies to Μ ΠΕΒΟΤ ΧΟΙΑΚ, which should be read ϺΜ Π.... This may be explained by the h sound being alien to Nubian.
- (3) Both R. Kasser and J. van der Vliet are uncertain about ΕΦΩΡΟΠ in line 10: Kasser takes it as an introduction to the following («Puisqu'il en est (ainsi) (?) que...»), Van der Vliet as an appendix to the preceding ("The number (of years) of his life: 82, as he was (?).") I could

<sup>11</sup> I am indebted to Jacques van der Vliet for his generously putting his reading at my disposal before it appears in print.

imagine that it is just a calque of the Old Nubian verb  $\epsilon\iota\bar{\nu}\bar{\nu}$ , part-clausal “he/she/it is” (thus, “that he/she/it is”, “he/she/it being”, “whose... he/she/it is”, etc.): it would then correspond to an Old Nubian \* $\tau\alpha\bar{\nu} \alpha\phi\epsilon\text{-}\bar{\nu} \Delta\bar{\rho}\tau\text{-}\bar{\nu} \bar{\pi}\bar{\nu} \epsilon\iota\bar{\nu}\bar{\nu}$  “the-number<sub>3</sub> of his<sub>1</sub> life<sub>2</sub> being<sub>5</sub> 82<sub>4</sub>”. Of course, correct Coptic would make use of the nominal sentence pattern, viz.  $\tau\eta\pi\epsilon \Delta\epsilon \bar{\mu}\pi\epsilon\varrho\omega\eta\zeta \pi\epsilon \bar{\pi}\bar{\nu}$ , and at any rate not of the verb  $\omega\omega\pi\epsilon$  which is, in its stative form  $\omega\omega\sigma\pi$ , the expression of existence and presence, rather than of identity and equation. Old Nubian, on the other hand, does not distinguish between expressions of existence or presence (like the Egyptian adverbial sentence) and those of quality or identity (like the Egyptian nominal sentence).<sup>12</sup>

<sup>12</sup> As for this distinction in Egyptian and in African languages in general, cf. H. SATZINGER, *Egyptian as an African Language*, in *Atti del IV Convegno Nazionale di Egitologia e Papirologia*, Siracusa, 5-7 Dicembre 1997 (*Quaderni del Museo del Papiro IX*, 2000), p. 31-43, especially 32-36.

