

Exercise: Show that

$$y_n \xrightarrow{L} c \Rightarrow y_n \xrightarrow{p} c.$$

Solution:

$$y_n \xrightarrow{L} c \Rightarrow F_{y_n}(\lambda) \rightarrow F_c(\lambda) = I_{[c, \infty)}(\lambda) \quad \forall \lambda \neq c$$

$$\Rightarrow P(|y_n - c| < \varepsilon) = P(c - \varepsilon < y_n < c + \varepsilon)$$

$$\geq P(c - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < y_n \leq c + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$$

$$= F_{y_n}(c + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) - F_{y_n}(c - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$$

$$\rightarrow F_c(c + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) - F_c(c - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) = 1 - 0$$

TC

Remarks:

(i)  $F_c(\lambda)$  is not continuous at  $\lambda=c$ .

(ii) In general, we have

$$y_n \xrightarrow{p} y \Rightarrow y_n \xrightarrow{L} y.$$

The last exercise therefore establishes the equivalence of convergence in probability and convergence in law (distribution) in the case of convergence to a constant.

The mean of a sample  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  from an AR(1) process

$$(x_t - \mu) = \phi(x_{t-1} - \mu) + u_t$$

with mean  $\mu$ , AR-parameter  $\phi$  satisfying  $|\phi| < 1$ , innovations  $u_t$  with variance  $\sigma^2 > 0$ , and autocovariances

$$\gamma(k) = E(x_t - \mu)(x_{t+k} - \mu) = \frac{\phi^{|k|} \sigma^2}{1 - \phi^2}$$

is a consistent estimator of  $\mu$ .

We establish (weak) consistency<sup>1</sup> by using the fact that mean square convergence implies convergence in probability.

We have

$$E(\bar{x}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t = \mu$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \text{Var}(\bar{x}) &= E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t - \mu\right)^2 = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n (x_t - \mu)\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left( E(x_1 - \mu)^2 + \dots + E(x_n - \mu)^2 \right. \\ &\quad + E(x_1 - \mu)(x_2 - \mu) + \dots + E(x_{n-1} - \mu)(x_n - \mu) \\ &\quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ &\quad + E(x_1 - \mu)(x_n - \mu) \\ &\quad + E(x_2 - \mu)(x_1 - \mu) + \dots + E(x_n - \mu)(x_{n-1} - \mu) \\ &\quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ &\quad \left. + E(x_n - \mu)(x_1 - \mu) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} [n\gamma(0) + 2(n-1)\gamma(1) + \dots + 2\gamma(n-1)] \\ &= \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n-k)\gamma(k) - \frac{1}{n}\gamma(0) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\gamma(k)| = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\sigma^2 |\phi|^k}{1 - \phi^2} = \frac{2}{n} \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \phi^2} \frac{1 - |\phi|^n}{1 - |\phi|} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

TM

<sup>1</sup> For strong consistency, almost sure convergence is required rather than convergence in probability.

Now assume that  $\mu=0$  and the innovations  $u_t$  are an i.i.d. sequence of  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  random variables.

Exercise: Show that  $E u_j u_k u_l u_m \leq E u_j^4 = 3\sigma^4$ .

Solution:  $j \neq k, l, m: E u_j u_k u_l u_m = E u_j E u_k u_l u_m = 0$   
 $j = k \neq l = m: E u_j u_k u_l u_m = E u_j^2 E u_l^2 = \sigma^2 \sigma^2 = \sigma^4$   
 $j = k = l = m: E u_j u_k u_l u_m = E u_j^4 = 3\sigma^4$

Exercise: Show that  $E x_t^4 < \infty$ .

Hint: Use the MA( $\infty$ ) representation

$$x_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j u_{t-j}$$

of the AR(1) process

$$x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + u_t.$$

Solution:  $E x_t^4 = E \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j u_{t-j} \right)^4$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi^j \phi^k \phi^l \phi^m E u_{t-j} u_{t-k} u_{t-l} u_{t-m}$$

$$\leq 3\sigma^4 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\phi|^j |\phi|^k |\phi|^l |\phi|^m$$

$$= 3\sigma^4 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\phi|^j \right)^4$$

$$= 3\sigma^4 \left( \frac{1}{1-|\phi|} \right)^4 < \infty$$

T4

Rewriting the MA( $\infty$ ) representation of the AR(1) process

$$x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + u_t$$

as

$$x_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j u_{t-j},$$

where  $\psi_j = \phi^j$  for  $j \geq 0$  and  $\psi_j = 0$  for  $j < 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(k) &= \text{Cov}(x_t, x_{t-k}) = E x_t x_{t-k} = E \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j u_{t-j} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_l u_{(t-k)-l} \\ &= \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_r \psi_j E u_{t-r} u_{t-(j+k)} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{j+k} \psi_j \underbrace{E u_{t-(j+k)} u_{t-(j+k)}}_{\sigma^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma:

$$E x_s x_{s+h} x_t x_{t+h} = \gamma(h)^2 + \gamma(t-s)^2 + \gamma(t-s+h) \gamma(t-s-h)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & E x_s x_{s+h} x_t x_{t+h} \\ &= E \sum \psi_j u_{s-j} \sum \psi_l u_{s+h-l} \sum \psi_k u_{t-k} \sum \psi_m u_{t+h-m} \\ &= E \sum \psi_j u_{s-j} \sum \psi_l u_{(s-j)+h} \sum \psi_k u_{(s-j)+(t-s)} \sum \psi_m u_{(s-j)+(t-s)+h} \\ &= E \sum \psi_j u_{s-j} \sum \psi_{j-h} u_{s-j} \sum \psi_{j-(t-s)} u_{s-j} \sum \psi_{j-(t-s)+h} u_{s-j} \\ &= \sum_j \sum_k \sum_l \sum_m \psi_j \psi_{k-h} \psi_{l-(t-s)} \psi_{m-(t-s)+h} E u_{s-j} u_{s-k} u_{s-l} u_{s-m} \\ &= \sum_{j=k=l=m} \dots + \sum \sum_{j=k \neq l=m} \dots + \sum \sum_{j=l \neq k=m} \dots + \sum \sum_{j=m \neq k=l} \dots \\ &= \underbrace{\sum \psi_j \psi_{j-h} \psi_{j-(t-s)} \psi_{j-(t-s)+h}}_{\Psi} \underbrace{E u_{s-j}^4}_{3\sigma^4} \\ &\quad + \left( \underbrace{\sum \psi_j \psi_{j-h} \sigma^2 \sum \psi_{k-(t-s)} \psi_{k-(t-s)+h}}_{\gamma(h)} \sigma^2 - \sigma^4 \Psi \right) \\ &\quad + \left( \sum \psi_j \psi_{j-(t-s)} \sigma^2 \sum \psi_{k-h} \psi_{k-(t-s)+h} \sigma^2 - \sigma^4 \Psi \right) \\ &\quad + \left( \sum \psi_j \psi_{j-(t-s)+h} \sigma^2 \sum \psi_{k-h} \psi_{k-(t-s)} \sigma^2 - \sigma^4 \Psi \right) \end{aligned}$$

TG

**Exercise:** Show that for any sequence  $w_{-(n-1)}, \dots, w_{n-1}$ ,

$$\sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^n w_{t-s} = \sum_{k=-(n-1)}^{n-1} (n - |k|) w_k. \quad 2S$$

If it is known that  $\mu=0$ , the simplified sample covariance

$$\hat{\gamma}(h) = \frac{1}{n-h} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} x_t x_{t+h} = \frac{1}{n-h} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} (x_t - \mu)(x_{t+h} - \mu), \quad h > 0,$$

is a consistent estimator of  $\gamma(h)$ , because

$$E(\hat{\gamma}(h)) = \frac{1}{n-h} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} E(x_t - \mu)(x_{t+h} - \mu) = \frac{1}{n-h} (n-h) \gamma(h) = \gamma(h)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \text{Var}(\hat{\gamma}(h)) &= E\left(\frac{1}{n-h} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} x_t x_{t+h}\right)^2 - \gamma(h)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-h)^2} \sum_{s=1}^{n-h} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} E x_s x_{s+h} x_t x_{t+h} - \gamma(h)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-h)^2} \sum_{s=1}^{n-h} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} [\gamma(h)^2 + \gamma(t-s)^2 + \gamma((t-s)+h)\gamma((t-s)-h)] - \gamma(h)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{(n-h)^2} \sum_{s=1}^{n-h} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} [\gamma(t-s)^2 + \gamma((t-s)+h)\gamma((t-s)-h)] \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-h)^2} \sum_{k=-(n-h-1)}^{n-h-1} ((n-h) - |k|) (\gamma(k)^2 + \gamma(k+h)\gamma(k-h)) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n-h} \sum_{k=0}^{n-h-1} \left( \left( \frac{\phi^{|k|} \sigma^2}{1-\phi^2} \right)^2 + \frac{|\phi|^{|k+h|} \sigma^2}{1-\phi^2} \frac{|\phi|^{|k-h|} \sigma^2}{1-\phi^2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n-h} \frac{\sigma^4}{(1-\phi^2)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-h-1} ((\phi^2)^k + \phi^{2k}) = \frac{2}{n-h} \frac{\sigma^4}{(1-\phi^2)^2} 2 \frac{1-(\phi^2)^{n-h}}{1-\phi^2} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $|\phi|^{|k+h|+|k-h|} \leq |\phi|^{2k} = \phi^{2k}$ , because

$$|k+h| + |k-h| \geq |(k+h) + (k-h)| = |2k| = 2k. \quad 2V$$

**Remark:** We have shown above that the first and second sample moments of a Gaussian AR(1) process converge in probability to their theoretical counterparts. Such a process is said to be ergodic for the first and second moments.

The OLS-estimator of the parameter  $\phi$  is given by

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^n x_{t-1}x_t}{\sum_{t=2}^n x_{t-1}^2} = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^n x_{t-1}(\phi x_{t-1} + u_t)}{\sum_{t=2}^n x_{t-1}^2} = \phi + \frac{\sum_{t=2}^n x_{t-1}u_t}{\sum_{t=2}^n x_{t-1}^2}.$$

The random variables

$$z_t = u_t x_{t-1}$$

satisfy

$$Ez_t = Eu_t x_{t-1} = Eu_t E x_{t-1} = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E(z_t | z_{t-1}, z_{t-2}, \dots) &= E(u_t x_{t-1} | u_{t-1} x_{t-2}, u_{t-2} x_{t-3}, \dots) \\ &= Eu_t E(x_{t-1} | u_{t-1} x_{t-2}, u_{t-2} x_{t-3}, \dots) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because  $u_t$  is independent of  $x_{t-1}$  and  $u_{t-1} x_{t-2}, u_{t-2} x_{t-3}, \dots$

Thus, the sequence of random variables  $z_t$  is a martingale difference sequence<sup>2</sup>. 20

<sup>2</sup> In contrast, for a martingale sequence it is required that  $E|z_t| < \infty$ ,  $E(z_t | z_{t-1}, z_{t-2}, \dots) = z_{t-1}$ .

Exercise: Show that the sequence of random variables  $z_t$  is white noise. 2W

Exercise: Show that the sequence of random variables

$$w_t = (u_t^2 - \sigma^2) x_{t-1}^2$$

is a martingale difference sequence. 2D

Exercise: Show that the sequence of random variables  $w_t$  is white noise. 2N

Remark: Since the random variables  $u_t$  are Gaussian, the sequences of random variables  $z_t$  and  $w_t$ , respectively, are not only weakly stationary but also strictly stationary, which means that the joint distribution of any finite subsequence of random variables with indices  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  is the same as that of the random variables with indices  $t_{1+\tau}, \dots, t_{k+\tau}$ .

Weak laws of large numbers state conditions under which the sample mean converges in probability towards the population mean. The weak law of large numbers for strictly stationary martingale difference sequences  $\varepsilon_t$  requires only absolute integrability.

Thus,

$$E|z_t| = E|u_t||x_{t-1}| = E|u_t|E|x_{t-1}| \leq E(1+u_t^2)E(1+x_{t-1}^2) < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{z} \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

and

$$E|w_t| = E|u_t^2 - \sigma^2|x_{t-1}^2| \leq Eu_t^2 Ex_{t-1}^2 + \sigma^2 Ex_{t-1}^2 < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{w} \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

The central limit theorem for martingale difference sequences states that

$$\sqrt{n} \bar{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{L} N(0, E\varepsilon_t^2)$$

if  $E\varepsilon_t^2 > 0$ ,  $E\varepsilon_t^4 < \infty$ ,  $\bar{\varepsilon}^2 \xrightarrow{p} E\varepsilon_t^2$ .

Thus,

$$\sqrt{n-1} \bar{z} = \sqrt{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{t=2}^n z_t \right) \xrightarrow{L} N(0, \frac{\sigma^4}{1-\phi^2}),$$

because

$$E z_t^2 = E(u_t x_{t-1})^2 = E u_t^2 E x_{t-1}^2 = \sigma^2 \frac{\phi^{0|\sigma^2}}{1-\phi^2} = \frac{\sigma^4}{1-\phi^2} > 0,$$

$$E z_t^4 = E(u_t x_{t-1})^4 = E u_t^4 E x_{t-1}^4 = 3\sigma^4 E x_t^4 < \infty,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{t=2}^n z_t^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{t=2}^n u_t^2 x_{t-1}^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} \underbrace{\sum_{t=2}^n (u_t^2 - \sigma^2) x_{t-1}^2}_{=\bar{w}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{n-1} \sum_{t=2}^n x_{t-1}^2$$

$$\xrightarrow{p} 0 + \sigma^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2} = E z_t^2.$$

2L

Now it is straightforward to derive the asymptotic distribution of the OLS-estimator  $\hat{\phi}$ .

The numerator of the statistic

$$\sqrt{n-1} (\hat{\phi} - \phi) = \frac{\frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{n-1} \sum_{t=2}^n x_{t-1} u_t}{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{t=2}^n x_{t-1}^2}$$

converges in law to

$$N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^4}{1-\phi^2}\right)$$

and its denominator converges in probability to

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n-1} (\hat{\phi} - \phi) &\xrightarrow{L} \text{plim} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{t=2}^n x_{t-1}^2} N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^4}{1-\phi^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p \lim \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{t=2}^n x_{t-1}^2} N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^4}{1-\phi^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2}} N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^4}{1-\phi^2}\right) \\ &= N\left(0, \frac{\frac{\sigma^4}{1-\phi^2}}{\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2}\right)^2}\right) \\ &= N(0, 1-\phi^2). \end{aligned}$$

2A