Kinematic and Structural Evolution of Field and Cluster Spiral Galaxies
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Abstract. To understand the processes that build up galaxies we investigate the stellar structure and gas kinematics of spiral and irregular galaxies out to redshift 1. We target 92 galaxies in four cluster ($z = 0.3$ & 0.5) fields to study the environmental influence. Their stellar masses derived from multiband VLT/FORS photometry are distributed around but mostly below the characteristic Schechter-fit mass. From HST/ACS images we determine morphologies and structural parameters like disk length, position angle and ellipticity. Combining the spectra of three slit positions per galaxy using the MXU mode of VLT/FORS2 we construct the two-dimensional velocity field from gas emission lines for 16 cluster members and 33 field galaxies. The kinematic position angle and flatness are derived by a Fourier expansion of elliptical velocity profiles. To trace possible interaction processes, we define three irregularity indicators based on an identical analysis of local galaxies from the SINGS project. Our distant sample displays a higher fraction of disturbed velocity fields with varying percentages (10%, 30% and 70%) because they trace different features. While we find far fewer candidates for major mergers than the SINS sample at $z \sim 2$, our data are sensitive enough to trace less violent processes. Most irregular signatures are related to star formation events and less massive disks are affected more than Milky-Way type objects. We detect similarly high fractions of irregular objects both for the distant field and cluster galaxies with similar distributions. We conclude that we may witness the building-up of disk galaxies still at redshifts $z \sim 0.5$ via minor mergers and gas accretion, while some cluster members may additionally experience stripping, evaporation or harassment interactions.

1. Velocity fields of distant galaxies observed with FORS2

Observing two-dimensional velocity fields of distant, small and faint galaxies is still challenging and very time consuming with 3D-spectrographs even at large telescopes. We took, therefore, another, more efficient approach that makes advantage of the MXU mode of the FORS2 instrument at the VLT that allows to cut individual slits of any orientation into a slit mask (Ziegler et al. 2009). For any given target, we observe three different slit positions with a central 1\textquotedbl--wide slit along the photometric major axis and two adjacent ones shifted by
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A member of cluster MS 2137–25 at $z = 0.31$. a) HST/ACS image in F606W filter, b) observed velocity field (VF) derived from the [O II]3727 line, c) reconstructed VF using 6 harmonic terms, d) residual of b) and c), e) simple rotation map, f) residual of b) and c). See also Kutdemir et al. (2008).

Since such a VF has an adequate spatial sampling for typical seeing and matches the angular size of the disk, we can analyze it quantitatively with kinemetry, a package originally developed for local SAURON galaxies (Krajnović et al. 2006). From fitting elliptical velocity profiles, position angles and flattenings are found as a function of radius. Deviations from the best fits are analyzed...
with a Fourier expansion, that traces not only the bulk motion but also indicates deviations from simple rotation or separate kinematic components. As an example, we show in Figure 1 a member of a cluster at \( z = 0.31 \) providing a thumbnail from the HST/ACS image and displaying the observed VF. The middle panels show the reconstructed VF using a Fourier expansion to order 6 and its residual by subtracting the model from the data. We can find the global kinematic axis by averaging the radial position angles and flattenings. With these values fixed for the whole galaxy, a simple rotation map can be created, which is shown together with its residual in the lower panels. This galaxy has a rather smooth and regular VF, but its (gas) kinematic axis is rotated with respect to its (stellar) photometric axis. Such a behaviour may be caused by smooth accretion of gas infalling from one direction only, e.g. along a filament.

2. Irregularities in velocity fields

To detect irregularities quantitatively in a VF and in the same manner for all galaxies, we define three parameters: 1.) \( \sigma_{PA} \): the standard deviation of the kinematic position angles, 2.) \( k_{3,5}/k_1 \): an average value of the 3rd and 5th-order Fourier coefficients normalised by the rotation velocity, and 3.) \( \Delta \phi \): the mean difference between photometric and kinematic position angles across the galaxy. While the first two trace the gas kinematics only, the last one indicates a misalignment between the stellar and gas disks. To find the proper value range, for which these parameters are still compatible with undisturbed motions, we first analyzed 18 local (mostly field) galaxies from the SINGS sample (Daigle et al. 2006) that have higher \( S/N \) and spatial sampling. Next, we analyze the VFs of 16 cluster (MS1008.1-1224 \( z = 0.30 \), MS2137.3-2353 \( z = 0.31 \), Cl0412-65 \( z = 0.51 \), MS0451.6-0305 \( z = 0.54 \)) and 29 field (\( 0.1 \leq z \leq 1.0 \)) galaxies (Kutdemir et al. 2009). The fraction of irregular galaxies above the respective thresholds is lower for the VF tracers (10% and 30%) than for the misalignment \( \Delta \phi \) (70%). Their abundance and distribution is similar for both the cluster and field population (Fig. 2). While a high \( \Delta \phi \) can sometimes also be caused by the presence of a strong bar (we have 4 cases), we find correlations with recent star formation events indicated by blue colors and clumpy substructures. Also a trend is seen with smaller disk sizes and lower stellar masses (which we determine from stellar population fits to our multiband photometry).

There are many more distant field galaxies with disturbed VFs than in the local sample. On the other hand, emission-line galaxies at \( z \sim 2 \) from the SINS project compatible with a major merger scenario display stronger distortions in the Fourier analysis (Shapiro et al. 2008). Considering all three samples, we conclude that many spiral galaxies at \( z \sim 0.5 \) have not yet settled into their final configuration but are still building-up their disks. Since they exhibit mostly weaker irregularities, the dominant processes in this later cosmic epoch are probably minor mergers and gas accretion.

Our cluster members also have mainly irregularities in their VFs that can be caused by rather subtle than violent processes. Among cluster-specific interactions, good candidates are events that strip gas from the galaxy but leave the stellar disk with ordered motion. Since almost all our cluster galaxies are located (in projection) within half the cluster virial radius, those processes can
be ram-pressure stripping by the intracluster medium, thermal evaporation or harassment due to scattering with cluster substructure and with other cluster members. For these interactions we find similar kinematic irregularities in our N-body/SPH simulations (Kronberger et al. 2008, 2007). Among those cluster members that have quite regular VFs are probably also galaxies that are just infalling from the surrounding field, which entered our sample due to projection. To characterize our distant galaxies even more, we will in future also analyze their gas metallicities, chemistry and stellar populations.
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