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Abstract. The ferroelastic phase transition in (NH$_4$)$_4$LiH$_3$(SO$_4$)$_4$ at 232 K has been studied by birefringence measurements in the temperature range 190–300 K. The temperature dependence of the birefringence can be well described by the Landau theory for a proper ferroelastic phase transition of second order. The domain structure is compatible with the symmetry reduction from the tetragonal to the monoclinic phase.

1. Introduction

The existence of the following solid compounds at 30 °C in the system (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$–Li$_2$SO$_4$–H$_2$SO$_4$–H$_2$O has been reported in the literature (Gmelin (1936), and references therein): Li$_2$SO$_4$, Li$_2$SO$_4$·H$_2$O, Li$_2$SO$_4$·H$_2$O, LiHSO$_4$, (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, NH$_4$HSO$_4$, (NH$_4$)$_3$H(SO$_4$)$_2$, NH$_4$LiSO$_4$, NH$_4$LiH$_2$(SO$_4$)$_2$, NH$_4$Li$_2$H$_2$(SO$_4$)$_4$, (NH$_4$)$_3$LiH$_2$(SO$_4$)$_4$, (NH$_4$)$_4$LiH$_3$(SO$_4$)$_4$, (NH$_4$)$_4$Li$_2$(H(SO$_4$)$_4$. Of these (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, NH$_4$HSO$_4$, NH$_4$LiSO$_4$ and (NH$_4$)$_2$H(SO$_4$)$_2$ have been intensively studied because of their interesting phase transitions (Landolt–Börnstein 1990) and Li$_2$SO$_4$ because it is an interesting superionic conductor. Little is known about the other compounds. Very recently, the structure of (NH$_4$)$_4$LiH$_3$(SO$_4$)$_4$, (ALHS) has been determined (Pietrasko et al 1991, Polomska et al 1993). At room temperature, ALHS has the tetragonal space group P4$_1$ with the lattice parameters $a = 7.642$ Å and $c = 29.566$ Å. At 232.5 K a phase transition takes place, changing the crystal to the ferroelastic monoclinic phase with the space group P2$_1$, similar to the isomorphous Rb salts Rb$_4$LiH$_3$(BO$_4$)$_4$(B = S on Se) (Polomska and Smutny 1988, Wolejko et al 1988, Piskunowicz et al 1989, Pietrasko et al 1991). To obtain a deeper insight into the nature of the phase transition, we have performed optical investigations on ALHS. In particular the measurement of the birefringence gives valuable information on the variation in the order parameter with temperature (Fousek and Petzelt 1979).

2. Experimental details

The crystals have been grown from a stoichiometric aqueous solution of Li$_2$SO$_4$, (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ and H$_2$SO$_4$ at room temperature by slow evaporation of the water. Sometimes, when the supersaturation was too high, different crystals appeared prior to ALHS, which did not show a phase transition at 232 K. No systematic study was made to check the chemical composition

† Permanent address: University of Latvia, Institute of Solid State Physics, 8 Kengaraga Str., LV-1063 Riga, Latvia.
and the conditions of nucleation of these crystals. ALHS crystallizes in two main shapes: firstly thin, rectangular and almost quadratic plates, several millimetres thick and up to 40 mm broad with the crystallographic [001] axis perpendicular to the plates, and secondly in the form of truncated pyramids, several centimetres in dimension with the [001] axis perpendicular to the basis of the pyramids. The crystals were of good optical quality. Although ALHS is highly hygroscopic, it was possible during the winter-time to cut out and polish proper plates for optical investigations when, because the rooms were heated, the humidity of the air was low. The orientations of the a and c plates were determined by their conoscopic pictures.

A polarizing microscope (Zeiss Axiophot) equipped with a Linkham hot stage was used for the experiments. A small home-made pressure clamp fixed on the hot stage made it possible to apply various uniaxial stresses to the samples. The birefringence was measured fully automatically using Senarmont compensation (Zimmerman and Schranz 1993). A variable rectangular aperture allowed selection of a single-domain part of the crystal.

3. Results and discussion

A typical pattern of ferroelastic domains of ALHS observed at about 223 K is shown in figures 1(b) and 1(c). The domain boundaries are planar and the two stripe systems are mutually perpendicular. However, the domain walls are not oriented at an angle \( \alpha = 45^\circ \) with respect to the [100] axis of the high-temperature tetragonal phase, but at \( \alpha = 57^\circ \pm 2^\circ \). In addition, the orientation of the domain wall slightly rotates with changing temperature (about 0.02 °K\(^{-1}\)). This result is consistent with a symmetry reduction from a tetragonal symmetry 4 to the monoclinic symmetry 2. Unlike the symmetry reduction from 4 mm to 2, where the orientation of the domain walls is fixed by the lost mirror plane, this is not the case for symmetry reduction from 4 to 2. Although the two permissible domain wall directions are mutually perpendicular, fulfilling the compatibility condition of Sapriel (1975), their orientations are not fixed with respect to the axis of the high-symmetry phase. Similar results have been found for ALHS (Polomska et al 1993) and for RbLiH\(_2\)(SO\(_4\))\(_4\) (Polomska and Smutyń 1990).

By applying uniaxial pressure along the a or b directions of a c plate, it was possible to transform the crystals into a single-domain state. The process of disappearance of ferroelastic domain walls under the action of a compressive uniaxial stress \( \sigma_1 \) is illustrated in figure 1, where figure 1(a) shows the initial multidomain state and figure 1(c) the single-domain state.

In figures 2 and 3 the temperature dependences of the linear birefringence \( \Delta n_c \) and \( (\Delta n_c)^2 \) respectively, are presented. \( \Delta n_c \) is the morphic birefringence which is zero in the parent phase and is induced below \( T_c \) by the structural phase transition. Unlike the situation for Rb\(_4\)LiH\(_3\)(SO\(_4\))\(_4\) (Przesławski et al 1990), \( (\Delta n_c)^2 \) is not linearly proportional to the temperature below \( T_c \) in the case of ALHS. This behaviour suggests the influence of higher-order terms in the thermodynamic potential \( \Phi \). The symmetry reduction from 4 to 2 can occur in two different ways which are described by the order parameters \( \frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2) \) or \( \epsilon_6 \), respectively. In analogy to Rb\(_4\)LiH\(_3\)(SO\(_4\))\(_4\) (Hempel et al 1988) we describe the present phase transition by the order parameter \( \eta = \frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2) \). Then the simplified version of the thermodynamic potential \( \Phi \) can be written as

\[
\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \alpha(T - T_c) \eta^2 + \frac{1}{4} \beta \eta^4 + \frac{1}{6} \gamma \eta^6
\] (1)
where $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are the renormalized coefficients, i.e. after elimination of the strains. As usual $\gamma$ has to be positive to stabilize $\Phi$.

Minimizing (1) we obtain the well known formula

$$
\eta^2 = A_1 (-1 + [1 - A_2 (T - T_c)]^{0.5})
$$

where $A_1 = \beta / 2 \gamma$ and $A_2 = 4 \alpha \gamma / \beta^2$.

Because of the photoelastic effect a spontaneous birefringence appears below $T_c$ (Fousek and Petzelt 1979). This spontaneous part $\delta(\Delta n_i)$ of the birefringence, derived after subtracting the background, is linearly proportional to the order parameter in the case of $\delta(\Delta n_c)$, while in the cases of $\delta(\Delta n_a)$ and $\delta(\Delta n_b)$ a quadratic term in the order parameter has also to be taken into account (see, e.g., Wood and Glazer 1980):

$$
\delta(\Delta n_c) = \Delta n_c = K_c \eta 
$$

$$
\delta(\Delta n_a) = \delta(\Delta n_b) = K_{a,b} \eta + \ell_{a,b} \eta^2
$$

Fitting the data for $\Delta n_c$ (figure 3) according to equations (3a) and (2) we find that $K_c^2 A_1 = 57 \pm 11$, $A_2 = (1.88 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$ and $T_c = 232.53 \pm 0.2$ K. From the positive
signs of $K_2^2A_1$ and $\gamma$ it follows that $\beta > 0$, which implies the phase transition in ALHS to be of second order.

In figure 4 the linear birefringence $\Delta n_\alpha$ versus temperature is presented. In the paraelastic phase, $\Delta n_\alpha$ is independent of temperature.

To avoid the influence of domains and to see whether the results in figure 2 are influenced by the presence of ferroelastic domains, we have measured the temperature dependence of the birefringence under an applied uniaxial stress. In this case it was possible to measure a single-domain sample. Figure 5 shows $\Delta n_c(T)$ with an applied stress $\sigma_1 = 0.45$ MPa. In a similar way to previously, we have fitted the data using equation (2). Now the fitted parameters turned out to be $K_2^2A_1'' = 40.6 \pm 9$, $A_2'' = (2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$ and $T_c'' = 233.57 \pm 0.2$ K. As expected for a proper ferroelastic phase transition, $T_c''$ shifted to significantly higher temperatures when a stress was applied (figure 6). In addition, a birefringence tail is observed in the tetragonal phase of ALHS (figure 5). This smearing
Figure 4. Plot of $\Delta n_0$ against temperature for an ALHS crystal ($\sigma_0 = 0$).

of the phase transition is caused by application of the symmetry-breaking stress $\sigma_1$ (see, e.g., Lines and Glass, 1977), which makes the crystal of a single-domain nature.

Figure 5. Plot of $\Delta n_c$ against temperature for an ALHS crystal ($\sigma_0 = 0.45$ MPa).

4. Conclusions

ALHS single crystals were grown and optically investigated. ALHS undergoes a ferroelastic phase transition at $T_c = 232.5$ K. Investigations of the ferroelastic domain structure are consistent with a structural phase transition from the tetragonal symmetry 4 to the monoclinic symmetry 2, in agreement with recent x-ray structure investigations (Polomska et al 1992). The temperature dependence of the spontaneous birefringence can be well described by the Landau theory for a second-order phase transition including terms up to the sixth order in the thermodynamic potential.
Figure 6. Plot of $(\Delta n_c)^2$ against temperature for an AHS crystal ($c_0 = 0.45$ MPa): □, experimental; ---, fit according to equation (2).
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