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#### Abstract

A reactive kinetic transport equation whose macroscopic limit is the KPP-Fisher equation is considered. In a scale, where collisions occur at a faster rate than reactions, existence of traveling waves close to those of the KPP-Fisher equation is shown. The method adapts a micro-macro decomposition in the spirit of the work of Caflisch and Nicolaenko for the Boltzmann equation. Stability of these waves is shown for perturbations in a weighted $L^{2}$-space, where the weight function is exponential and such that the (macroscopic) linearized operator in the weighted space is self-adjoint and negative definite. Similar approaches to stability of traveling waves are wellknown for the KPP-Fisher equation.


## 1. Introduction

When the chemical reaction

$$
A+B \leftrightarrow 2 A
$$

takes place in a setting, where the density of species $B$ can be assumed as constant and species $A$ is subject to one-dimensional diffusion, then the dynamics of the density $u(t, x)$ of species $A$ can be described (after non-dimensionalization) by the KPP-Fisher equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u=D \partial_{x}^{2} u+u(\bar{\rho}-u), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the diffusion coefficient $D>0$. This equation has two constant equilibrium states, $u \equiv 0$ and $u \equiv \bar{\rho}>0$, the former linearly unstable and the latter linearly stable. Thus, an initial perturbation of $u \equiv 0$ grows to approach $u \equiv \bar{\rho}$. It is well-known that, in an unbounded domain, this growth may take the asymptotic form of a propagating wave front, i.e. as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ the solution approaches the form

[^0]$u(t, x)=u_{T W}(\xi)$ with the traveling wave variable $\xi=x-s t$, the constant wave speed $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $u_{T W}$ satisfying the ordinary differential equation
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
D u_{T W}^{\prime \prime}+s u_{T W}^{\prime}+u_{T W}\left(\bar{\rho}-u_{T W}\right)=0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

We assume throughout that $s \geq 0$. This is no restriction, because (1.2) is invariant under the reflection $s \rightarrow-s, \xi \rightarrow-\xi$. The waves then propagate to the right and satisfy the far-field conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow-\infty} u_{T W}(\xi)=\bar{\rho}, \quad \lim _{\xi \rightarrow+\infty} u_{T W}(\xi)=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.1) has been introduced by Fisher [6] as a model in population genetics that describes the advance of individuals with a favorable gene. At the same time Kolmogorov, Petrovskii and Piskunov [10] investigated (1.1) with a more general nonlinearity. Some results concerning the traveling wave solutions (which have been studied extensively) will be reviewed below.

The subject of this work is a kinetic transport model for the same physical situation. The main modeling difference compared to a reaction-diffusion model is the replacement of the Brownian motion by a velocity jump process. The latter can be thought of being caused by collisions with a (non moving) background medium, which randomize the direction of movement. A kinetic equation for the phase space density $f(t, x, v)$ of particles of species $A$ can be written in the (dimensionless) form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{2} \partial_{t} f+\varepsilon v \partial_{x} f=\mathcal{L} f+\varepsilon^{2} Q(f), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with time $t>0$, position $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and velocity $v \in V \subset \mathbb{R}$. The left hand side of (1.4) describes the free streaming of particles, and the terms on the right hand side model collisions (described by the operator $\mathcal{L}$ ) and chemical reactions (described by the operator $Q$ ). The dimensionless parameter $\varepsilon$ is assumed to satisfy $0<\varepsilon \ll 1$. Considering its occurrence on the right hand side of (1.4), this means that collisions are much more frequent than reactions. The powers of $\varepsilon$ on the left hand side can be achieved by appropriate scalings for time and position.

Collisions are described as instantaneous velocity jumps with an equilibrium distribution $M(v)$, satisfying the moment conditions

$$
\int_{V} M d v=1, \quad \int_{V} v M d v=0, \quad \int_{V} v^{2} M d v=D>0, \quad \int_{V} v^{3} M d v=0 .
$$

A typical example is the Maxwellian distribution $M(v)=(2 \pi D)^{-1 / 2} e^{-v^{2} /(2 D)}$, $V=\mathbb{R}$. The simplest collision model is the relaxation operator

$$
\mathcal{L} f=\int_{V}\left[M(v) f\left(v^{\prime}\right)-M\left(v^{\prime}\right) f(v)\right] d v^{\prime}=M \rho_{f}-f
$$

with the macroscopic density $\rho_{f}(t, x)=\int_{V} f(t, x, v) d v$. The collision process obviously conserves mass: $\int_{V} \mathcal{L} f d v=0$. For the chemical reactions, it is assumed that they produce particles with the same equilibrium velocity distribution:

$$
Q(f)=\int_{V}\left[\bar{\rho} M(v) f\left(v^{\prime}\right)-f(v) f\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right] d v^{\prime}=\rho_{f}(M \bar{\rho}-f) .
$$

We obtain the kinetic reaction model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{2} \partial_{t} f+\varepsilon v \partial_{x} f=M \rho_{f}-f+\varepsilon^{2} \rho_{f}(M \bar{\rho}-f) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A connection between (1.5) and (1.1) can be established by the macroscopic limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Substitution of the Chapman-Enskog ansatz $f=M \rho_{f}+\varepsilon f^{\perp}$ into (1.5) and integration with respect to $v$ leads to the macroscopic equation

$$
\partial_{t} \rho_{f}+\partial_{x} \int_{V} v f^{\perp} d v=\rho_{f}\left(\bar{\rho}-\rho_{f}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, (1.5) implies

$$
f^{\perp}=-v M \partial_{x} \rho_{f}+O(\varepsilon)
$$

Hence, in the formal limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \rho_{f}$ solves (1.1). This is an example of the derivation of reaction-diffusion equations from kinetic models. Formal asymptotics of this kind for much more general cases, in particular also systems, has been carried out by several authors (see, e.g., [1], [12]). However, a rigorous justification is only known for linear models [1].

It is our aim to study the existence and stability of traveling waves of (1.5). As a preliminary result, in Section 1.4, we prove global existence of solutions of the initial value problem for (1.5) for initial data bounded by a global equilibrium. Our approach for the analysis of traveling waves is based on the fact that, for $\varepsilon$ small, (1.5) can be approximated by (1.1). In Section 2 we present a constructive existence proof for traveling waves with speed $s \geq s_{0}=2 \sqrt{D \bar{\rho}}$ of (1.5), which shows the asymptotic closeness of the kinetic profiles to the solutions of (1.2) with the same speed. We follow the approach of [5] (that is applied to traveling waves of kinetic BGK models for scalar conservation laws) by first constructing a formal asymptotic approximation, and then showing solvability of the problem for the correction term. For the latter we adapt the micro-macro decomposition introduced by Caflisch and Nicolaenko [3] for the Boltzmann equation. The major difficulty in the current problem is caused by the fact that, in contrast to [5] and [3], the macroscopic problem is not a conservation law. In Section 3 we show the asymptotic stability of kinetic profiles with $s>s_{0}$, under perturbations in suitable spaces. Traveling waves for the KPP-Fisher equation are stable under perturbations, which decay faster than (or at least as fast as) the waves. The analogous result is proven here. The required decay properties are built into an appropriately weighted $L^{2}$-space. This has the consequence that we can control the macroscopic terms in a similar way as for the KPP-Fisher equation.

Concerning the control of the microscopic terms, we have only been successful under the additional assumption that the velocity space $V$ is bounded. Recent numerical results by E. Bouin and V. Calvez [2] suggest that this condition is necessary. It seems that the minimal speed of stable traveling waves tends to infinity with the maximal velocity in $V$. This suggests the conjecture that the traveling waves, whose existence we prove in Section 2 also for unbounded $V$, may be nonmonotone in the position direction and non-positive, although their oscillations and negative values can only be $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$. For bounded velocity space, it is a corollary of the stability result that the traveling wave lies between its far-field states, in particular it is nonnegative.

In the remainder of this section we recall the stability results for traveling wave profiles of (1.1) and also show, how the stability of these profiles can be proven by using energy estimates. We also carry out the formal Chapman-Enskog argument for the approximation of kinetic traveling waves.
1.1. Traveling waves for the KPP-Fisher equation. Concerning existence of traveling waves of (1.1), the following result is well known.

Theorem 1 ([10]). For $s \geq s_{0}:=2 \sqrt{D \bar{\rho}}$ there exists a positive solution of (1.2), (1.3), which is unique, up to a shift in $\xi$, and strictly decreasing.

Proof. One way of looking at the problem is by writing (1.2) as a planar system and analyzing the $\left(u_{T W}, u_{T W}^{\prime}\right)$ phase-plane. The critical points are clearly given by the zeroes $(0,0)$ and $(\bar{\rho}, 0)$ of the nonlinearity. Linearization shows that $(\bar{\rho}, 0)$ is a saddle point, with eigenvalues $\left(-s \pm \sqrt{s^{2}+s_{0}^{2}}\right) /(2 D)$, and that there is a unique orbit coming out of it in the second quadrant.

The critical point $(0,0)$ has eigenvalues $\left(-s \pm \sqrt{s^{2}-s_{0}^{2}}\right) /(2 D)$, thus it is a stable node for $s \geq s_{0}$ and a stable spiral for $s<s_{0}$. Hence, a positive solution to (1.2) satisfying (1.3) can only exist if $s \geq s_{0}$. Further, it is easy to see that the triangle

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq u_{T W} \leq \bar{\rho}, \quad 0 \geq u_{T W}^{\prime} \geq-\frac{s}{2 D} u_{T W} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an invariant region, so that the unique orbit coming out of the saddle point enters the node, and it does so through the slow manifold when $s>s_{0}$. This gives existence of traveling waves (unique up to translation in $\xi$ ) for every $s \geq s_{0}$.

The proof also provides the far-field behavior. On the one hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\rho}-u_{T W}(\xi) \sim c e^{\alpha_{-} \xi} \quad \text { as } \xi \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { with } \quad \alpha_{-}=\frac{\sqrt{s^{2}+s_{0}^{2}}-s}{2 D}>0, \quad c>0 . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for every $s>s_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{T W}(\xi) \sim c_{s} e^{-\alpha_{+} \xi} \quad \text { as } \xi \rightarrow+\infty, \quad \text { with } \quad \alpha_{+}=\frac{s-\sqrt{s^{2}-s_{0}^{2}}}{2 D}>0, \quad c_{s}>0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for $s=s_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{T W}(\xi) \sim c_{0} \xi e^{-\left(s_{0} / 2 D\right) \xi} \quad \text { as } \xi \rightarrow+\infty, \quad c_{0}>0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.2. Stability of traveling waves for the KPP-Fisher equation. Throughout this section we let $u_{T W}$ be a traveling wave of (1.2) with speed $s>s_{0}$. We write (1.1) in the moving coordinates $t$ and $\xi=x-s t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \rho_{f}-s \partial_{\xi} \rho_{f}-D \partial_{\xi}^{2} \rho_{f}-\rho_{f}\left(\bar{\rho}-\rho_{f}\right)=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and look for solutions that are small perturbations of $\rho_{T W}$. Thus we assume $\rho_{f}=$ $u_{T W}+\rho$ where $\rho \ll 1$, in a sense to be made precise later. The equation for the perturbation $\rho$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \rho-s \partial_{\xi} \rho-D \partial_{\xi}^{2} \rho+\rho\left(2 u_{T W}+\rho-\bar{\rho}\right)=0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that traveling waves of (1.1) are in general unstable to perturbations, c.f. Canosa [4]. In the classical approach to stability, one studies linear stability first by analyzing the spectrum of the linearized operator. In a $L^{p}$-setting with $p \geq 2$, the spectrum of the linearized operator about waves having $s>s_{0}$ extends to the right hand complex plane and always contains 0 as an eigenvalue with eigenfunction $\partial_{\xi} u_{T W}$ (this eigenfunction is the one generated by perturbations equivalent to small translations in the traveling wave). To overcome this problem one introduces norms with appropriate weights, that push the spectrum into the left hand plane and $\partial_{\xi} u_{T W}$ out of the space, thus creating a spectral gap. In the seminal work by Sattinger [11] such analysis is undertaken in $L^{\infty}$ with an exponential weight. We borrow this idea here but, in our setting, it is more convenient to use $L^{2}$ estimates and we show next how this is done for (1.1). In the process we need to control $\|\rho\|_{\infty}$ for all times by an appropriate upper bound. This is achieved by the continuous Sobolev embedding $H^{1} \subset L^{\infty}$. The reason for choosing this approach is two-fold. First, our approach for the kinetic model will be based on the entropy inequality for a quadratic entropy of the linear collision operator $\mathcal{L}$. Second, to our knowledge, the idea of applying integral estimates with a Sobolev embedding argument to prove stability of traveling waves of (1.1) is new.

We define the weight function

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\xi)=e^{\frac{s}{2 D} \xi} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introduce the Hilbert spaces $L_{\xi}^{2}=L^{2}(\mathbb{R}), H_{\xi}^{1}=H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, and $L_{W}^{2}$ of functions of $\xi$ with the respective norms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\rho\|_{\xi}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^{2} d \xi, \quad\|\rho\|_{H_{\xi}^{1}}^{2}=\|\rho\|_{\xi}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{\xi} \rho\right\|_{\xi}^{2}, \quad\|\rho\|_{W}=\|\rho W\|_{\xi} . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Local existence of solutions of (1.11) in $H_{\xi}^{1} \cap L_{W}^{2}$ (which means the weight acts only as $\xi \rightarrow+\infty$ ) follows by a standard contraction argument. Hence, if we can show the decay of the solution in $H_{\xi}^{1} \cap L_{W}^{2}$ as time evolves, global existence follows by a continuation principle.

We assume that $\rho_{f}(0, \xi) \geq 0$, then $\rho_{f}=u_{T W}+\rho \geq 0$ holds as a consequence of the maximum principle. For definiteness, we assume that the traveling wave satisfies $u_{T W}(0)=3 \bar{\rho} / 4$ (which makes it unique by monotonicity), implying

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{T W}(\xi) \geq \frac{3 \bar{\rho}}{4} \quad \text { for } \xi \leq 0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplication of (1.11) with $W$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}(\rho W)-D \partial_{\xi}^{2}(\rho W)+\left(\kappa+2 u_{T W}+\rho\right) \rho W=0 \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\kappa:=\frac{s^{2}}{4 D}-\bar{\rho}>0
$$

by $s>s_{0}$. Testing (1.11) with $\rho$ and (1.15) with $\alpha \rho W$ (for some $\alpha>0$ ) and adding the resulting equations leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\|\rho\|_{\xi}^{2}+\alpha\|\rho\|_{W}^{2}\right)+D\left(\left\|\partial_{\xi} \rho\right\|_{\xi}^{2}+\alpha\left\|\partial_{\xi}(\rho W)\right\|_{\xi}^{2}\right) \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(2 u_{T W}+\rho-\bar{\rho}\right) \rho^{2} d \xi+\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(2 u_{T W}+\rho+\kappa\right)(\rho W)^{2} d \xi=0 . \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The only problematic term is $-\bar{\rho}\|\rho\|_{\xi}^{2}$. In order to control it, we use $W \geq 1$ on $[0,+\infty)$ and the monotonicity of the wave on $(-\infty, 0]$, i.e. (1.14). Using $u_{T W}, u_{T W}+\rho \geq 0,(1.16)$ implies the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\|\rho\|_{\xi}^{2}+\alpha\|\rho\|_{W}^{2}\right)+D\left\|\partial_{\xi} \rho\right\|_{\xi}^{2}+\frac{\alpha \kappa}{2}\|\rho\|_{W}^{2} \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(2 u_{T W}+\rho-\bar{\rho}+\frac{\alpha \kappa}{2} W^{2}\right) \rho^{2} d \xi \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

With the choice $\alpha=3 \bar{\rho} / \kappa$, the integrand in the last term can now be estimated separately for positive and negative $\xi$ :

$$
\left(2 u_{T W}+\rho-\bar{\rho}+\frac{\alpha \kappa}{2} W^{2}\right) \geq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\rho} / 2, & \xi>0 \\
\bar{\rho} / 2-\|\rho\|_{\infty}, & \xi<0
\end{array}\right\} \geq \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2}-\|\rho\|_{\infty}
$$

If we succeed below in proving $\|\rho\|_{\infty} \leq \bar{\rho} / 4$, then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\|\rho\|_{\xi}^{2}+\alpha\|\rho\|_{W}^{2}\right)+D\left\|\partial_{\xi} \rho\right\|_{\xi}^{2} \leq-\min \left\{\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2}, \kappa\right\}\left(\|\rho\|_{\xi}^{2}+\alpha\|\rho\|_{W}^{2}\right) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied, implying exponential decay of the perturbation $\rho$. For proving the required $L^{\infty}$-bound, we shall derive a $H^{1}$-bound and use Sobolev embedding. In terms of $r=\partial_{\xi} \rho$, the derivative with respect to $\xi$ of (1.11) reads

$$
\partial_{t} r-D \partial_{\xi}^{2} r-s \partial_{\xi} r+r\left(2 u_{T W}-\bar{\rho}+2 \rho\right)+2 \rho u_{T W}^{\prime}=0 .
$$

When testing with $r$, the identity $2 r \rho=\partial_{\xi}\left(\rho^{2}\right)$ is used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|r\|_{\xi}^{2}+D\left\|\partial_{\xi} r\right\|_{\xi}^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}} r^{2}\left(2 u_{T W}-\bar{\rho}+2 \rho\right) d \xi=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^{2} u_{T W}^{\prime \prime} d \xi \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the right hand side, we use (1.6) and observe that

$$
u_{T W}^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{s}{D} u_{T W}^{\prime}-\frac{u_{T W}}{D}\left(\bar{\rho}-u_{T W}\right) \leq \frac{s^{2}}{2 D^{2}} u_{T W} \leq \frac{s^{2} \bar{\rho}}{2 D^{2}}
$$

Therefore the right hand side of (1.18) and the other problematic term $-\bar{\rho}\|r\|_{\xi}^{2}$ can be controlled by terms in (1.17). For $\beta=\min \left\{D / \bar{\rho}, D^{2} /\left(2 s^{2}\right)\right\}=D^{2} /\left(2 s^{2}\right)$, the functional

$$
J[\rho]:=\|\rho\|_{\xi}^{2}+\alpha\|\rho\|_{W}^{2}+\beta\left\|\partial_{\xi} \rho\right\|_{\xi}^{2},
$$

is nonincreasing in time as long as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\rho\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\bar{\rho}}{4} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Since, by Sobolev embedding, $\|\rho\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq \min \{1, \beta\} J[\rho]$, (1.19) can be guaranteed for all time under the initial smallness assumption

$$
J[\rho(t=0)] \leq \max \left\{1, \frac{1}{\beta}\right\} \frac{\bar{\rho}^{2}}{16} .
$$

As shown above, this implies (1.17).
Theorem 2. Let $s^{2}>4 D \bar{\rho}$, let $u_{T W}$ be a travelling wave solution (of (1.2), (1.3)) as in Theorem 1, and let $\rho_{f}$ be a solution of (1.10), such that $\rho_{0}(\xi):=\rho_{f}(\xi, 0)-$ $u_{T W}(\xi)$ satisfies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{0}^{2}\left(1+\alpha e^{s \xi / D}\right) d \xi+\frac{D^{2}}{2 s^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{\xi} \rho_{0}^{2} d \xi \leq \frac{\bar{\rho}^{2}}{16} \max \left\{1, \frac{2 s^{2}}{D^{2}}\right\}
$$

with $\alpha=\frac{12 \bar{\rho} D}{s^{2}-4 D \bar{\rho}}$. Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\rho_{f}(t)-u_{T W}\right)^{2}\left(1+\alpha e^{s \xi / D}\right) d \xi \leq e^{-\lambda t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{0}^{2}\left(1+\alpha e^{s \xi / D}\right) d \xi
$$

with $\lambda=\min \left\{\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2}, \frac{s^{2}}{4 D}-\bar{\rho}\right\}$.
Remark 3. (i) The weight in the norm implies that the initial perturbation decays faster than the travelling wave as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, which is known to be necessary for stability. A decay of the perturbation is also required as $\xi \rightarrow-\infty$, which is a weakness of the $L^{2}$-approach.
(ii) Obviously, when $s^{2}=4 D \bar{\rho}$ (or $s=s_{0}$ ), we cannot deduce exponential convergence by this procedure. In fact, in this case the spectrum of the linearized operator in $L_{\xi}^{2} \cap L_{W}^{2}$ extends to the origin (see [11]). A more delicate treatment is needed here, and without further discussion we refer the reader to Kirchgässner [9].
1.3. Formal approximation of kinetic traveling waves. It is instructive to perform the formal limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ before proving existence of traveling waves. We look for traveling waves of (1.5), i.e. solutions of the form $f(t, x, v)=f_{T W}(\xi, v)$ with $\xi=x-$ st and $s>0$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi} f_{T W}=M \rho_{T W}-f_{T W}+\varepsilon^{2} \rho_{T W}\left(M \bar{\rho}-f_{T W}\right), \quad \rho_{T W}:=\rho_{f_{T W}} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the far-field conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T W}(-\infty, v)=\bar{\rho} M(v) \quad \text { and } \quad f_{T W}(+\infty, v)=0 \quad \text { for all } v \in V \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We make the ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T W}(\xi, v)=\rho_{T W}(\xi) M(v)+\varepsilon f_{T W}^{\perp}(\xi, v) \quad \text { with } \quad \int_{V} f_{T W}^{\perp} d v=0 \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of (1.22) and integration in (1.20) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-s \partial_{\xi} \rho_{T W}+\partial_{\xi} \int_{V} v f_{T W}^{\perp} d v=\rho_{T W}\left(\bar{\rho}-\rho_{T W}\right) . \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of (1.22) into (1.20) gives the asymptotic expansion of $f_{T W}^{\perp}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{T W}^{\perp} & =-v M \partial_{\xi} \rho_{T W}+\varepsilon\left[s M \partial_{\xi} \rho_{T W}-v \partial_{\xi} f_{T W}^{\perp}+M \rho_{T W}\left(\bar{\rho}-\rho_{T W}\right)\right]+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
& =-v M \partial_{\xi} \rho_{T W}+\varepsilon\left(v^{2}-D\right) M \partial_{\xi}^{2} \rho_{T W}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \tag{1.24}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last step we have used (1.23). Substitution of (1.24) into (1.23) shows that $\rho_{T W}$ formally solves (1.2) up to $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$-terms.
1.4. Notation and preliminary results. Next we introduce the underlying spaces of our analysis and establish the global existence of the Cauchy problem and a maximum principle as preliminary results.

We define the weighted inner product in the $v$-direction by

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{v}=\int_{V} \frac{f g}{M} d v
$$

and denote the induced Hilbert space and norm by $\left(L_{v}^{2},\|\cdot\|_{v}\right)$. With respect to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{v}$, the linear collision operator $\mathcal{L} f=M \rho_{f}-f$ is symmetric and negative semidefinite, a consequence of

$$
\langle\mathcal{L} f, g\rangle_{v}=-\langle\mathcal{L} f, \mathcal{L} g\rangle_{v} .
$$

The standard norms and spaces of functions of $\xi$ are denoted by $\left(L_{\xi}^{2},\|\cdot\|_{\xi}\right),\left(H_{\xi}^{k}, \| \cdot\right.$ $\|_{H_{\xi}^{k}}$, and $\left(C_{\xi}^{b},\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$, and with weight (1.12) by $\left(L_{W}^{2},\|\cdot\|_{W}\right)$ (see (??)). The Hilbert space $\left(L_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right),\|\cdot\|_{\xi, v}\right)$ is then naturally defined by the scalar product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{\xi, v}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\langle f, g\rangle_{v} d \xi
$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, the space $H_{\xi}^{k}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)$ of functions whose derivatives up to order $k$ with respect to $\xi$ are in $L_{\xi, v}^{2}$ is equipped with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{H_{\xi}^{k}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}=\left(\|f\|_{\xi, v}^{2}+\cdots+\left\|\partial_{\xi}^{k} f\right\|_{\xi, v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

In a similar way $C_{\xi}^{b}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\|f\|_{\infty, v}=\sup _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}\|f\|_{v}
$$

Finally, we extend the definition of the norm with weight (1.12) to functions on $\mathbb{R} \times V$, leading to the space $\left(L_{W}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right),\|\cdot\|_{W, v}\right)$ with norm

$$
\|f\|_{W, v}=\|f W\|_{\xi, v}
$$

For later reference we note that the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho_{f}\right\|_{\xi} \leq\|f\|_{\xi, v} \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

A global existence and uniqueness result for the kinetic Cauchy problem is not hard to prove. We choose a simple setting, where the initial datum is bounded in terms of the equilibrium distribution.

Theorem 4 (Global existence). Let $0 \leq f_{0}(x, v) \leq \hat{\rho} M(v)$ hold. Then the kinetic equation (1.5) subject to the initial condition $f(t=0)=f_{0}$ has a unique mild solution $f \in C\left([0, \infty) ; L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times V)\right)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq f(t, x, v) \leq \max \{\bar{\rho}, \hat{\rho}\} M(v), \quad \forall(t, x, v) \in[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times V \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The mild formulation of the initial value problem is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
f(t, x, v)= & f_{0}(x-v t / \varepsilon, v)+M(v)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}+\bar{\rho}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \rho_{f}(\tau, x-v \tau / \varepsilon) d \tau \\
& -\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}+\rho_{f}(\tau, x-v \tau / \varepsilon)\right) f(\tau, x-v \tau / \varepsilon, v) d \tau \tag{1.27}
\end{align*}
$$

For $T>0$, we introduce the Banach space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C}_{T}=\left\{f \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times V)\right):\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_{T}}<\infty\right\}, \\
& \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_{T}}=\sup _{(t, x, v) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times V} \frac{|f(t, x, v)|}{M(v)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the property $\left|\rho_{f}(t, x-v t / \varepsilon)\right| \leq\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_{T}}$ for all $(t, x, v) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times V$, it is straightforward to uniquely solve (1.27) in $\mathcal{C}_{T}$ for small enough $T$ by Picard iteration. Global existence will follow from (1.26).

The nonnegativity of $f$ is an obvious consequence of the maximum principle for kinetic equations, after writing (1.5) in the form

$$
\varepsilon^{2} \partial_{t} f+\varepsilon v \partial_{x} f+f\left(1+\varepsilon^{2} \rho_{f}\right)=\rho_{f} M\left(1+\varepsilon^{2} \bar{\rho}\right)
$$

and solving by a fixed point iteration, where $\rho_{f}$ is considered as given and nonnegative. The same argument applies to the function $h(t, x, v)=\max \{\bar{\rho}, \hat{\rho}\} M(v)-$ $f(t, x, v)$, that satisfies

$$
\varepsilon^{2} \partial_{t} h+\varepsilon v \partial_{x} h+h\left(1+\varepsilon^{2} \rho_{f}\right)=\rho_{h} M+\varepsilon^{2} \rho_{f} M(\hat{\rho}-\bar{\rho})_{+}, \quad h(t=0) \geq 0
$$

proving $h \geq 0$ and, thus, (1.26).

## 2. Existence of traveling waves

We prove existence of traveling waves of (1.1) with a given $s \geq s_{0}$ for $\varepsilon \ll 1$. The proof follows the steps of that in [5], stated in the subsequent sections. Essentially, we make the expansion in Section 2.1 rigorous, but first produce a residual term whose zeroth order moment in $v$ vanishes.
2.1. The asymptotic approximation. We start by defining an asymptotic approximation of a traveling wave profile. In view of the computation of Section 1.3 we choose

$$
f_{a s}(\xi, v)=M(v) u_{T W}(\xi)+\varepsilon f^{\perp}\left[u_{T W}\right](\xi, v)
$$

where $u_{T W}$ is a traveling wave of the Fisher equation (i.e. satisfying (1.2), (1.3)), made unique by the requirement

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{T W}(0)=\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling the formal expansion (1.24), we set

$$
f^{\perp}[u]=-v M u^{\prime}+\varepsilon\left(v^{2}-D\right) M u^{\prime \prime} .
$$

Integration shows that $\int_{V} f^{\perp}[u] d v=0$, implying $\rho_{a s}:=\rho_{f_{a s}}=u_{T W}$. Clearly, $f_{a s}$ satisfies (1.21) and the equation (1.20) up to the residual

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{3} h & =\varepsilon(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi} f_{a s}-M \rho_{a s}+f_{a s}-\varepsilon^{2} \rho_{a s}\left(M \bar{\rho}-f_{a s}\right) \\
& =\varepsilon^{3}\left(s v M u_{T W}^{\prime \prime}+(v-\varepsilon s)\left(v^{2}-D\right) M u_{T W}^{\prime \prime \prime}+u_{T W} f^{\perp}\left[u_{T W}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is now not hard to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V} h d v=0, \quad \text { and } \quad\|h\|_{H_{\xi}^{k}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)} \leq C_{k} \quad \text { for any } \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varepsilon$-independent constants $C_{k}$.
2.2. The micro-macro decomposition and the correction term. In terms of the correction $\varepsilon^{2} g=f_{T W}-f_{a s}$, the traveling wave equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi} g=\mathcal{L} g+\varepsilon^{2} B g+\varepsilon^{4} R[g]-\varepsilon h, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B g=\rho_{g}\left(M \bar{\rho}-f_{a s}\right)-\rho_{a s} g, \quad R[g]=-\rho_{g} g
$$

On the right hand side of (2.3), we have collected the linear collision operator, a linear term of $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$, a nonlinear term of $O\left(\varepsilon^{4}\right)$, and the residual. By the properties of $f_{a s}$, a solution $g$ of (2.3) must satisfy the far-field conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
g( \pm \infty, v)=0 \quad \text { for all } v \in V \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the existence of such a $g$, we need some preparation. First, we observe that integration of (2.3) shows that necessarily

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\xi} \int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s) g d v=\varepsilon \rho_{g}\left(\bar{\rho}-2 \rho_{a s}\right)-\varepsilon^{3} \rho_{g}^{2} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now decompose $g$ into a macroscopic term (with separated variables), containing the leading order terms, and a microscopic term of order $\varepsilon$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\xi, v)=\Phi(v) z(\xi)+\varepsilon w(\xi, v) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Phi$ is chosen such that $\mathcal{L} \Phi=-\varepsilon \tau(v-\varepsilon s) \Phi+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ for some constant $\tau$, leading to

$$
\Phi(v)=\left(1+\varepsilon \frac{s}{D+\varepsilon^{2} s^{2}}(v-\varepsilon s)\right) M(v)
$$

where the coefficient $s /\left(D+\varepsilon^{2} s^{2}\right)$ guarantees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s) \Phi d v=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the decomposition of $g$ is unique by requiring

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s)^{2} w d v=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration also shows that $\rho_{\Phi}=1-\varepsilon^{2} \tau s$ and that

$$
D_{1}:=\int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s)^{2} \Phi d v=D \frac{D-\varepsilon^{2} s^{2}}{D+\varepsilon^{2} s^{2}}=D+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

which is positive for $\varepsilon$ small enough. We also observe that, due to $(2.7),(2.5)$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\xi} \int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s) w d v=\rho_{g}\left(\bar{\rho}-2 \rho_{a s}\right)-\varepsilon^{2} \rho_{g}^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem we now solve is obtained by substituting (2.6) into (2.3), thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
(v-\varepsilon s) \Phi z^{\prime}+\varepsilon(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi} w=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} z \mathcal{L} \Phi+\mathcal{L} w+\varepsilon B g+\varepsilon^{3} R(g)-h \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, like $g$, its micro- and macro-components $z$ and $w$ have to satisfy the homogeneous far-field conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
w( \pm \infty, v) \equiv 0, \quad z( \pm \infty)=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next step consists of writing (2.10) as a system of two equations; one containing only derivatives of $z$ and the other containing only derivatives of $w$. This is achieved by applying the right macroscopic and microscopic projections. Applying

$$
\begin{equation*}
P f:=\int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s) f d v \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

to (2.10) we obtain, by (2.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} z^{\prime}+s \rho_{\Phi} z=P \mathcal{L} w+\varepsilon P B g+\varepsilon^{3} P R(g)-P h \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We differentiate (2.13) and use the moment relation (2.9). After multiplying the resulting equation by $D / D_{1}=1+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ and collecting the small linear and nonlinear terms on the right hand side we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
D z^{\prime \prime}+s z^{\prime}+z\left(\bar{\rho}-2 \rho_{a s}\right)=\varepsilon B^{z}\left(z, z^{\prime}, w, \partial_{\xi} w\right)+\varepsilon^{2} R^{z}\left(g, \partial_{\xi} g\right)-\tilde{h} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B^{z}\left(z, z^{\prime}, w, \partial_{\xi} w\right)= \frac{D}{D_{1}}\left[-\rho_{w}\left(\bar{\rho}-2 \rho_{a s}\right)-s \rho_{w}^{\prime}+\partial_{\xi} P B g\right] \\
&+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(1-\frac{D}{D_{1}} \rho_{\Phi}\right)\left(s z^{\prime}+z\left(\bar{\rho}-2 \rho_{a s}\right)\right) \\
& R^{z}\left(g, \partial_{\xi} g\right)=\frac{D}{D_{1}}\left[\rho_{g}^{2}+\varepsilon \partial_{\xi} P R(g)\right], \quad \tilde{h}=-\frac{D}{D_{1}} \partial_{\xi} P h
\end{aligned}
$$

The right hand side of (2.14) is the linearization of the Fisher equation at $\rho_{\text {as }}$.
The microscopic projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi f:=f-\frac{(v-\varepsilon s) \Phi}{D_{1}} P f \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the properties $\Pi(v-\varepsilon s) \Phi=0$ and $\Pi(v-\varepsilon s) w=(v-\varepsilon s) w$, by (2.8). Applying $\Pi$ to (2.10) we get the following equation for $w$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi} w-\mathcal{L} w=\frac{(v-\varepsilon s) \Phi}{D_{1}} \int_{V} v w d v+\varepsilon \Lambda z+\varepsilon \Pi B g+\varepsilon^{3} \Pi R(g)-\Pi h \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Lambda:=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \Pi \mathcal{L} \Phi=s^{2} \frac{v^{2}-D}{D^{2}-\varepsilon^{4} s^{4}} M=O(1)
$$

Since the symmetric operator $\mathcal{L}$ is only negative semidefinite, we introduce a new symmetric operator $\mathcal{M}$, which is strictly negative and coincides with $\mathcal{L}$ on the set of functions $w$ satisfying (2.8) (this idea is borrowed from [3]):

$$
\mathcal{M} w:=\mathcal{L} w-(v-\varepsilon s)^{2} M \int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s)^{2} w d v
$$

Lemma 5. The operator $\mathcal{M}$ is symmetric and negative definite with respect to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{v}$. There exists a constant $\sigma>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\langle\mathcal{M} w, w\rangle_{v} \geq \sigma\|w\|_{v}^{2} \quad \text { for all } w \in L_{v}^{2} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is analogous to that in [5] and we do not repeat it here.
We now replace $\mathcal{L}$ in (2.16) by the operator $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi} w-\mathcal{M} w=\frac{(v-\varepsilon s) \Phi}{D_{1}} \int_{V} v w d v+\varepsilon \Lambda z+\varepsilon \Pi B g+\varepsilon^{3} \Pi R g-\Pi h \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equivalence to the original problem is not obvious:
Lemma 6. The function $g=\Phi z+\varepsilon w$ is a solution of (2.3), (2.4) if and only if $z$ and $w$ solve (2.14), (2.18) subject to (2.11).

Proof. We follow the proofs in [3] and [5]. The problem (2.14), (2.18) (2.11) has been derived from (2.3), (2.4) using the properties (2.9), (2.8) of solutions of the latter. In particular (2.8) is not a necessary condition for existence. Hence we have to check that (2.8) also holds for solutions of (2.14), (2.18), (2.11), without requiring it as a side condition. Using

$$
\int_{V} \Pi f d v=\int_{V} f d v, \quad \int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s) \Pi f d v=0
$$

integration of (2.18) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon \partial_{\xi} \int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s) w d v & =-\left(D+\varepsilon^{2} s^{2}\right) \int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s)^{2} w d v+\varepsilon\left(\rho_{g}\left(\bar{\rho}-2 \rho_{a s}\right)-\varepsilon^{2} \rho_{g}^{2}\right), \\
\varepsilon \partial_{\xi} \int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s)^{2} w d v & =2 \varepsilon s D \int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s)^{2} w d v .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second equation is a linear ODE with constant coefficients for the unknown $\int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s)^{2} w d v$. Since $w( \pm \infty, v)=0$, the only possible solution is

$$
\int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s)^{2} w d v=0
$$

Knowing this and returning to the first differential equation we also recover (2.9).

We now eliminate the first term on the right hand side in (2.18) by substituting (2.13):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi} w-\mathcal{M} w=A\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)+\varepsilon B g+\varepsilon^{3} R g-h, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{(v-\varepsilon s) \Phi}{D_{1}}\left(D_{1} z^{\prime}+s \rho_{\Phi} z\right)+\varepsilon \Lambda z
$$

Thus we have arrived at our final differential problem (2.14), (2.19), subject to (2.11). In the following sections we show solvability via a fix-point argument.
2.3. The Linear Problem. We first analyze the leading order system of (2.14), (2.19), where the given inhomogeneity contains the higher order terms. In particular, we prove the solvability of

$$
\begin{align*}
D z^{\prime \prime}+s z^{\prime}+z\left(\bar{\rho}-2 \rho_{a s}\right)=h_{z}, & \text { with } h_{z} \in H_{\xi}^{1},  \tag{2.20}\\
\varepsilon(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi} w-\mathcal{M} w=A\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)+h_{w}, & \text { with } h_{w} \in H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right) . \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall look for solutions in the same spaces as the inhomogeneities. This replaces the homogeneous far-field conditions, and provides uniqueness for the solution of (2.21). This requirement allows, however, a one-parameter set of solutions of (2.20). This reflects the arbitrary shift in the wave and uniqueness will be guaranteed by posing also an initial condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(0)=z_{0}, \quad z_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For (2.20) we obtain
Lemma 7. Let $h_{z} \in H_{\xi}^{k}, k \geq 0$. Then the problem (2.20), (2.22) with $s \geq s_{0}$ possesses a unique solution $z \in H_{\xi}^{k+2}$, satisfying (with $C>0$ independent from $z_{0}$ and $h_{z}$ )

$$
\|z\|_{H_{\xi}^{k+2}} \leq C\left(\left|z_{0}\right|+\left\|h_{z}\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{k}}\right)
$$

Proof. Since (2.20) is the linearization of (2.1) at its solution $\rho_{a s}$, the derivative $\rho_{a s}^{\prime}$ is a solution of the homogeneous equation. The standard order reduction procedure then allows to rewrite (2.20) as the first order system

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}=\frac{\rho_{a s}^{\prime \prime}}{\rho_{a s}^{\prime}} z+z_{1}, \quad z_{1}^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{s}{D}+\frac{\rho_{a s}^{\prime \prime}}{\rho_{a s}^{\prime}}\right) z_{1}+\frac{h_{z}}{D} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Starting with the second equation, (2.1), $\rho_{a s}^{\prime}<0$, and $0<\rho_{a s}<\bar{\rho}$ imply

$$
-\left(\frac{s}{D}+\frac{\rho_{a s}^{\prime \prime}}{\rho_{a s}^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{\rho_{a s}\left(\bar{\rho}-\rho_{a s}\right)}{D \rho_{a s}^{\prime}}<0
$$

Since, by the asymptotic behavior of $\rho_{a s}$, this coefficient converges to negative values as $\xi \rightarrow \pm \infty$, the stronger statement

$$
-\left(\frac{s}{D}+\frac{\rho_{a s}^{\prime \prime}}{\rho_{a s}^{\prime}}\right) \leq-\gamma<0
$$

holds. By standard ODE methods, a unique decaying solution $z_{1}$ of the second equation in (2.23) exists for decaying $h_{z}$ (using the 'boundary condition' $z_{1}(-\infty)=$ 0 ). It can be estimated by testing the equation with $z_{1}$, giving

$$
\left\|z_{1}\right\|_{\xi} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma D}\left\|h_{z}\right\|_{\xi}
$$

Turning to the first equation in (2.23), we observe that

$$
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_{a s}^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{\rho_{a s}^{\prime}(\xi)}<0, \quad \lim _{\xi \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{\rho_{a s}^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{\rho_{a s}^{\prime}(\xi)}>0
$$

This is the situation covered in Lemma 3.5 of [5], implying the existence of a unique solution satisfying

$$
\|z\|_{\xi} \leq C^{\prime}\left(\left|z_{0}\right|+\left\|z_{1}\right\|_{\xi}\right) \leq C^{\prime}\left(\left|z_{0}\right|+\frac{1}{\gamma D}\left\|h_{z}\right\|_{\xi}\right)
$$

Testing (2.20) with $z$ and with $z^{\prime \prime}$ we obtain estimates for the first and second derivatives, implying $\|z\|_{H_{\xi}^{2}} \leq C\left(\left|z_{0}\right|+\left\|h_{z}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}}\right)$. Finally, the same procedure can be applied to differentiated versions of (2.20), completing the proof.

We remark that the previous proof makes use of the positivity and strict monotonicity of $\rho_{a s}$. The assumption $s \geq s_{0}$ is therefore crucial.

Now $A\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ can be considered as a given inhomogeneity in (2.21), and the following result from [5] can be used:

Proposition 8. Let $\tilde{h}_{w} \in H_{\xi}^{k}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right), k \geq 0$. Then there exists a unique solution $w \in H_{\xi}^{k}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)$ of

$$
\varepsilon(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi} w-\mathcal{M} w=\tilde{h}_{w}
$$

satisfying

$$
\|w\|_{H_{\xi}^{k}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma}\left\|\tilde{h}_{w}\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{k}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}
$$

with $\sigma$ as in Lemma 5.
Sketch of the proof. Uniqueness and the stability estimate are obtained by testing the equation with $w$ and the $k$-th derivative of the equation with $\partial_{\xi}^{k} w$. Existence can be proven in several ways, one of which is the approximation by a discrete velocity system with a finite number of discrete velocities. This reduces the problem to an ODE system. Care has to be taken in order not to destroy the definiteness of $\mathcal{M}$ by the approximation.

The final result on the linear problem can now be easily proven.
Lemma 9. Let $h_{z} \in H_{\xi}^{k}$ and $h_{w} \in H_{\xi}^{l}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)$, then there exists a unique solution $(z, w) \in H_{\xi}^{k+2} \times H_{\xi}^{m}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right), m=\min \{k+1, l\}$, of (2.20), (2.21), (2.22), satisfying $\|z\|_{H_{\xi}^{k+2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)} \leq C\left(\left|z_{0}\right|+\left\|h_{z}\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{k}}\right), \quad\|w\|_{H_{\xi}^{m}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)} \leq C\left(\left|z_{0}\right|+\left\|h_{z}\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{k}}+\left\|h_{w}\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{l}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}\right)$.
Proof. The only thing left to note is the estimate

$$
\left\|A\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{k+1}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)} \leq\|z\|_{H_{\xi}^{k+2}}
$$

whose proof is straightforward by the definition of $A$.
2.4. The Nonlinear Problem. In this section we prove existence and uniqueness of solutions of the nonlinear problem (2.19), (2.14), subject to $z(0)=z_{0}$, in the spaces $H_{\xi}^{3}$ and $H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)$, respectively. After the preparations in the previous sections, the proof is a straightforward contraction argument. We need, however, estimates for the right hand sides of (2.19) and (2.14). In the following, $C$ denotes (possibly different) $\varepsilon$-independent constants.

Lemma 10. (i) The linear terms $B$ and $B^{z}$ satisfy the estimate

$$
\|B(\Phi z+\varepsilon w)\|_{H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}+\left\|B^{z}\left(z, z^{\prime}, w, \partial_{\xi} w\right)\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{1}} \leq C\left(\|z\|_{H_{\xi}^{2}}+\|w\|_{H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}\right) .
$$

(ii) The nonlinearities $R$ and $R^{z}$ are quadratic: Let $g_{1}, g_{2} \in H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| R\left(g_{1}\right)- R\left(g_{2}\right)\left\|_{H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}+\right\| R^{z}\left(g_{1}, \partial_{\xi} g_{1}\right)-R^{z}\left(g_{2}, \partial_{\xi} g_{2}\right) \|_{H_{\xi}^{1}} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}+\left\|g_{2}\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}\right)\left\|g_{1}-g_{2}\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward. All that is needed for (ii) is the one-dimensional Sobolev embedding $H_{\xi}^{1} \subset C_{\xi}^{b}$ and (1.25).

According to the spaces of the solutions and inhomogeneities of the linear problem we define the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(z, w)\|:=\|z\|_{H_{\xi}^{3}}+\varepsilon\|w\|_{H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\|g\|_{H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}$ is bounded from above by $\|(z, w)\|$.
Before stating the existence result for traveling waves we note that in terms of the original unknown $f_{T W}=f_{a s}+\varepsilon^{2} g$, the condition $z(0)=z_{0}$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s)^{2}\left(f_{T W}(0, v)-f_{a s}(0, v)\right) d v=\varepsilon^{2} D_{1} z_{0} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 11. Let the wave speed satisfy $s \geq s_{0}$. For every $z_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for $\varepsilon$ small enough, there exists a solution $f_{T W}$ of (1.20) satisfying (2.25), which is unique in a ball $\left\{f:\left\|f-f_{a s}\right\| \leq \delta\right\}$, where the radius $\delta$ can be chosen independently from $\varepsilon$. It satisfies

$$
\left\|f_{T W}-f_{a s}\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right),
$$

or, more precisely,
$f_{T W}=f_{a s}+\varepsilon^{2} \Phi z+\varepsilon^{3} w=M u_{T W}-\varepsilon v M u_{T W}^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{2}\left(v^{2}-D\right) M u_{T W}^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon^{2} \Phi z+\varepsilon^{3} w$,
where $u_{T W}$ satisfies (1.2), (1.3) with (2.1), and $\|z\|_{H_{\xi}^{3}}$ and $\|w\|_{H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}$ are uniformly bounded as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon$ be small enough. Then as a consequence of Lemma 10 (i), the solvability results for the above linear problem $(2.20),(2.21)$ can be extended to the full linear problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D z^{\prime \prime}+s z^{\prime}+z(\bar{\rho}-2 \rho)=\varepsilon B^{z}\left(z, z^{\prime}, w, \partial_{\xi} w\right)+h_{z}, \\
& \varepsilon(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi} w-\mathcal{M} w=A\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)+\varepsilon B(z, w)+h_{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

with inhomogeneities $h_{z}, h_{w}$ and $z(0)=z_{0}$. Applying the solution operator to the nonlinear problem (2.14), (2.19), we obtain a fixed point problem $(z, w)=\mathcal{G}(z, w)$, where the fix point operator is bounded by

$$
\|\mathcal{G}(z, w)\| \leq C_{0}\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\|(z, w)\|^{2}\right) .
$$

The constant $C_{0}$ bounds the initial condition and the residual terms, and the nonlinear terms are of order $\varepsilon^{2}$. We see that for $\varepsilon$ small enough, $\mathcal{G}$ maps both the ball with radius $2 C_{0}$ and the ball with radius $1 /\left(2 \varepsilon^{2} C_{0}\right)$ into themselves. Also, with the
property of the nonlinearity, the fixed point operator $\mathcal{G}$ is a contraction on a ball with radius of order $O\left(\varepsilon^{-2}\right)$.

We can conclude that for $\varepsilon$ small enough, the fixed point problem has a solution $(z, w)$ with $\|(z, w)\| \leq 2 C_{0}$, which is unique in a ball with an $O\left(\varepsilon^{-2}\right)$-radius. Knowing this and returning to the fixed point problem, the boundedness of $\|w\|_{H_{\xi}^{2}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}$ follows.

We remark that the contraction argument above could also be carried out in $H_{\xi}^{k}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by using Lemma 9 , so the existence result also holds in $H_{\xi}^{k}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 3. Dynamic stability of traveling waves

In this section we prove the local asymptotic stability of traveling waves with speed $s>s_{0}$. For this purpose it is necessary to make the assumption
H1. The set of velocities $V$ is bounded, and we let $v_{\max }:=\sup _{v \in V}|v|$.
As for the macroscopic equation in Section 1.2, we restrict our attention to nonnegative solutions. This can be done by taking nonnegative initial data, since Theorem 4 guarantees the nonnegativity of the solution.

In the traveling wave variable (1.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{2} \partial_{t} f+\varepsilon(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi} f=M \rho_{f}-f+\varepsilon^{2} \rho_{f}(M \bar{\rho}-f) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The traveling wave $f_{T W}(\xi, v)$ constructed in Theorem 11 becomes a stationary solution. We choose $z_{0}$ in (2.22) such that the shift of $\rho_{T W}$ is fixed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{T W}(0)=\frac{3}{4} \bar{\rho} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial datum $G_{0}(v, \xi)$ of the perturbation

$$
G(t, v, \xi)=f(t, v, \xi)-f_{T W}(v, \xi), \quad \rho(t, \xi):=\rho_{G}(t, \xi),
$$

is assumed to satisfy $G_{0}+f_{T W} \geq 0$ guaranteeing $G(t, \cdot, \cdot)+f_{T W} \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and, in particular, $\rho+\rho_{T W} \geq 0$. Then $G$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{2} \partial_{t} G+\varepsilon(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi} G=M \rho-G+\varepsilon^{2}\left(M \rho \bar{\rho}-\left(\rho_{T W}+\rho\right) G-\rho f_{T W}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before proceeding with the energy estimates we apply a micro-macro decomposition to $G$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=M \rho+\varepsilon g, \quad \text { i.e. } \int_{V} g d v=0, \quad \text { implying } \quad\|G\|_{v}^{2}=\rho^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\|g\|_{v}^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With a slight abuse of notation, we denote $W(\xi)=e^{\lambda \xi}$ and multiply (3.3) by $W / \varepsilon^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{t}(G W)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi}(G W)-\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}(v-\varepsilon s) G W \\
& =-\frac{g W}{\varepsilon}+W\left(M \rho \bar{\rho}-\left(\rho_{T W}+\rho\right) G-\rho f_{T W}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The scalar product with $G W$ contains the term

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s) \frac{G^{2} W^{2}}{M} d v d \xi=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s)\left(\rho^{2} M+2 \varepsilon \rho g+\varepsilon^{2} \frac{g^{2}}{M}\right) d v W^{2} d \xi \\
& =-\varepsilon s\|\rho\|_{W}^{2}+2 \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{V} v g d v \rho W^{2} d \xi+\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{V}(v-\varepsilon s) \frac{g^{2}}{M} d v W^{2} d \xi \\
& \leq-\varepsilon s\|\rho\|_{W}^{2}+2 \varepsilon \sqrt{D} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\|g\|_{v} \rho W^{2} d \xi+\varepsilon^{2} v_{\max }\|g\|_{W, v}^{2} \\
& \leq \varepsilon(a-s)\|\rho\|_{W}^{2}+\left(\frac{\varepsilon D}{a}+\varepsilon^{2} v_{\max }\right)\|g\|_{W, v}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with an arbitrary positive constant $a$. In the estimate, assumption H1 has been used as well as the Young inequality and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $\left|\int_{V} v g d v\right| \leq$ $\sqrt{D}\|g\|_{v}$. Using it, the scalar product of (3.5) with $G W$ leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|G\|_{W, v}^{2}+\left(1-\frac{\lambda D}{a}-\varepsilon c\right)\|g\|_{W, v}^{2} \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\lambda(s-a)-\bar{\rho}+\rho+2 \rho_{T W}-\varepsilon^{2} c\right) \rho^{2} W^{2} d \xi \leq 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the constant $c$ contains $L_{\xi}^{\infty}$-bounds for $f_{T W}$. Two versions of (3.6) will be used:
(i) $\lambda=0$ (i.e., $W=1$ ),
(ii) $\lambda$ and $a$ are chosen such that $1-\lambda D / a$ and $\lambda(s-a)-\bar{\rho}$ are positive. This is possible by the assumption $s>s_{0}=2 \sqrt{\bar{\rho} D}$. With $\lambda=s /(2 D)$ (i.e., $W$ is as in Section 1.2) and $a=3 s / 4-\bar{\rho} D / s$, we obtain

$$
1-\frac{\lambda D}{a}=\frac{s^{2}-s_{0}^{2}}{3 s^{2}-s_{0}^{2}}=: \gamma, \quad \lambda(s-a)-\bar{\rho}=\frac{s^{2}-s_{0}^{2}}{8 D}=\frac{\kappa}{2} .
$$

A linear combination, with the second version multiplied by a positive constant $\alpha$, gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\|G\|_{\xi, v}^{2}+\alpha\|G\|_{W, v}^{2}\right)+(1-\varepsilon c)\|g\|_{\xi, v}^{2}+\alpha(\gamma-\varepsilon c)\|g\|_{W, v}^{2} \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^{2}\left(-\bar{\rho}+\rho+2 \rho_{T W}-\varepsilon^{2} c+\frac{\alpha \kappa}{2} W^{2}-\varepsilon^{2} c W^{2}\right) d \xi \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used $\rho+\rho_{T W} \geq 0$ and $\rho_{T W} \geq-\varepsilon^{2} c$. Now we employ (3.2), $\mid \rho_{T W}-$ $u_{T W} \mid=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$, and the monotonicity of $u_{T W}$ :

$$
\frac{\alpha \kappa}{4} W^{2}-\bar{\rho}+\rho+2 \rho_{T W} \geq \begin{cases}\alpha \kappa / 4-\bar{\rho}-\varepsilon^{2} c, & \xi>0 \\ \bar{\rho} / 2-\|\rho\|_{\infty}-\varepsilon^{2} c, & \xi<0\end{cases}
$$

Now the choice $\alpha=6 \bar{\rho} / \kappa$ completes the proof of our main estimate:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\|G\|_{\xi, v}^{2}+\alpha\|G\|_{W, v}^{2}\right)+(1-\varepsilon c)\|g\|_{\xi, v}^{2}+\alpha(\gamma-\varepsilon c)\|g\|_{W, v}^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2}-\|\rho\|_{\infty}-\varepsilon^{2} c\right)\|\rho\|_{\xi}^{2}+\left(\frac{3 \bar{\rho}}{2}-\varepsilon^{2} c\right)\|\rho\|_{W}^{2} \leq 0 \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

This will imply exponential decay of $G$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough as soon as we obtain an appropriate bound for $\|\rho\|_{\infty}$. As in Section 1.2, this will be a consequence of a bound on $\|\rho\|_{H_{\xi}^{1}}$ and of Sobolev imbedding.

We introduce the $\xi$-derivative of $G$ and its micro-macro decomposition:

$$
\partial_{\xi} G(\xi, v, t)=H(\xi, v, t)=r(\xi, t) M(v)+\varepsilon h(\xi, v, t), \quad r=\partial_{\xi} \rho, \quad h=\partial_{\xi} g
$$

implying $\rho r=\partial_{\xi}\left(\rho^{2} / 2\right)$. The equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{t}(H W)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(v-\varepsilon s) \partial_{\xi}(H W)-\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}(v-\varepsilon s) H W+\frac{h W}{\varepsilon} \\
& =W\left(M r \bar{\rho}-\left(\rho_{T W}^{\prime}+r\right) G-\left(\rho_{T W}+\rho\right) H-r f_{T W}-\rho \partial_{\xi} f_{T W}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

for $H$ is treated in the same way as (3.5), leading to an estimate similar to (3.6) with a number of extra terms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|H\|_{W, v}^{2}+\left(1-\frac{\lambda D}{a}-\varepsilon c\right)\|h\|_{W, v}^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\lambda(s-a)-\bar{\rho}+2 \rho+2 \rho_{T W}\right) r^{2} W^{2} d \xi \\
& \leq \int_{R} \rho_{T W} \rho^{2} W^{2} d \xi-\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(r+\rho_{T W}^{\prime}\right)\langle g, h\rangle_{v} W^{2} d \xi \\
& -\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} r\left\langle f_{T W}, h\right\rangle_{v} W^{2} d \xi-\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho\left\langle\partial_{\xi} f_{T W}, h\right\rangle_{v} W^{2} d \xi \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By the boundedness of $\left\|f_{T W}\right\|_{\infty, v}$ and $\left\|\partial_{\xi} f_{T W}\right\|_{\infty, v}$, the terms on the right hand side can be estimated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R} \rho_{T W} \rho^{2} W^{2} d \xi \leq & c\|\rho\|_{W}^{2} \\
-\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(r+\rho_{T W}^{\prime}\right)\langle g, h\rangle_{v} W^{2} d \xi \leq & \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\|g\|_{\infty, v}\left(\|r\|_{W}^{2}+\|h\|_{W, v}^{2}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon^{2} c\left(\|g\|_{W, v}^{2}+\|h\|_{W, v}^{2}\right) \\
-\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} r\left\langle f_{T W}, h\right\rangle_{v} W^{2} d \xi \leq & \varepsilon^{2} c\left(\|r\|_{W}^{2}+\|h\|_{W, v}^{2}\right) \\
-\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho\left\langle\partial_{\xi} f_{T W}, h\right\rangle_{v} W^{2} d \xi \leq & \varepsilon^{2} c\left(\|\rho\|_{W}^{2}+\|h\|_{W, v}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\varepsilon^{2}$ on the right hand sides of the third and fourth estimate is explained by the fact that the scalar products on the left hand sides only see the $O(\varepsilon)$ microscopic component of $f_{T W}$. Collecting these results, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|H\|_{W, v}^{2}+\left(1-\frac{\lambda D}{a}-\varepsilon c-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\|g\|_{\infty, v}\right)\|h\|_{W, v}^{2} \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\lambda(s-a)-\bar{\rho}+2 \rho+2 \rho_{T W}-\varepsilon^{2} c-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\|g\|_{\infty, v}\right) r^{2} W^{2} d \xi \\
& \leq c\|\rho\|_{W}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2} c\|g\|_{W, v}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The next step is again to take the sum of this inequality with $\lambda=0$ and its product with $\alpha=6 \bar{\rho} / \kappa$ for $\lambda=s /(2 D)$ and $a=3 s / 4-\bar{\rho} D / s$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\|H\|_{\xi, v}^{2}+\alpha\|H\|_{W, v}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(1-\varepsilon c-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\|g\|_{\infty, v}\right)\|h\|_{\xi, v}^{2}+\alpha\left(\gamma-\varepsilon c-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\|g\|_{\infty, v}\right)\|h\|_{W, v}^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2}-2\|\rho\|_{\infty}-\varepsilon^{2} c-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\|g\|_{\infty, v}\right)\|r\|_{\xi}^{2}+\left(\frac{3 \bar{\rho}}{2}-\varepsilon^{2} c-\frac{\alpha \varepsilon^{2}}{2}\|g\|_{\infty, v}\right)\|r\|_{W}^{2} \\
& \leq c\left(\|\rho\|_{\xi}^{2}+\alpha\|\rho\|_{W}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon^{2} c\left(\|g\|_{\xi, v}^{2}+\alpha\|g\|_{W, v}^{2}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The final step in the estimation procedure is the combination of (3.7) with (3.10), where the latter is multiplied with a positive constant $\beta$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d J}{d t}+(1-\varepsilon c)\|g\|_{\xi, v}^{2}+\alpha(\gamma-\varepsilon c)\|g\|_{W, v}^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2}-\beta c-\|\rho\|_{\infty}-\varepsilon^{2} c\right)\|\rho\|_{\xi}^{2}+\left(\frac{3 \bar{\rho}}{2}-\beta \alpha c-\varepsilon^{2} c\right)\|\rho\|_{W}^{2} \\
& +\beta\left(1-\varepsilon c-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\|g\|_{\infty, v}\right)\left\|\partial_{\xi} g\right\|_{\xi, v}^{2}+\beta \alpha\left(\gamma-\varepsilon c-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\|g\|_{\infty, v}\right)\left\|\partial_{\xi} g\right\|_{W, v}^{2} \\
& +\beta\left(\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2}-2\|\rho\|_{\infty}-\varepsilon^{2} c-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\|g\|_{\infty, v}\right)\left\|\partial_{\xi} \rho\right\|_{\xi}^{2} \\
& +\beta\left(\frac{3 \bar{\rho}}{2}-\varepsilon^{2} c-\frac{\alpha \varepsilon^{2}}{2}\|g\|_{\infty, v}\right)\left\|\partial_{\xi} \rho\right\|_{W}^{2} \leq 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
J(t):=\|G\|_{\xi, v}^{2}+\alpha\|G\|_{W, v}^{2}+\beta\left\|\partial_{\xi} G\right\|_{\xi, v}^{2}+\beta \alpha\left\|\partial_{\xi} G\right\|_{W, v}^{2}
$$

The value of $\beta$ is chosen small enough such that the constants $\bar{\rho} / 2-\beta c$ and $3 \bar{\rho} / 2-$ $\beta \alpha c$ in the second line of the estimate are positive. By Sobolev imbedding,

$$
\|\rho\|_{\infty}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\|g\|_{\infty, v}^{2} \leq c J
$$

holds. Therefore, if $J(0)$ and $\varepsilon$ are small enough, then all coefficients in (3.11) are positive initially and remain so, since $J(t)$ is decreasing in this case. Actually, a constant $a>0$ exists such that $d J / d t \leq-a J$.

This completes the proof of the main result of this section.
Theorem 12. Let H1 hold and let $f_{T W}$ be the traveling wave from Theorem 11 with speed $s>s_{0}$ made unique by (3.2). Let $f_{0}(v, \xi)$ satisfy $0 \leq f_{0} \leq \hat{\rho} M$ with a positive $\hat{\rho}$, and let

$$
\left\|f_{0}-f_{T W}\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{1}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}+\left\|f_{0}-f_{T W}\right\|_{H_{W}^{1}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}
$$

and $\varepsilon$ be small enough, but independently from each other.
Then the solution of (3.1) with initial datum $f_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f(t)-f_{T W}\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{1}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}^{2}+\left\|f(t)-f_{T W}\right\|_{H_{W}^{1}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq C e^{-a t}\left(\left\|f_{0}-f_{T W}\right\|_{H_{\xi}^{1}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}^{2}+\left\|f_{0}-f_{T W}\right\|_{H_{W}^{1}\left(L_{v}^{2}\right)}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with an exponential decay rate $a>0$.
Corollary 13. Under the assumptions of Theorem 12, the traveling wave satisfies

$$
0 \leq f_{T W}(v, \xi) \leq \bar{\rho} M(v) \quad \forall v \in V, \xi \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Proof. The assumptions of Theorem 12 permit initial data satisfying $0 \leq f_{0} \leq \bar{\rho}$. The conclusion then follows from Theorem 4 and from the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$.
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