# THE ICONIC STRUCTURE OF THE TAM-PARADIGM IN THE YI GROUP

Matthias GERNER

mgerner@cityu.edu.hk

Department of Chinese, Translation and Linguistics

City University of Hong Kong

ABSTRACT. In the isolating languages of East Asia, each TAM (tense, aspect and modality) concept is realised as bound morpheme attached to the verb or at the end of the sentence. None of these TAM notions needs to be specified, that is to say, a verb may be left unmarked for TAM. Conversely, it is possible to find up to three TAM categories stacked after each other, if they are semantically compatible. In languages of the Yi group (Tibeto-Burman/P.R. of China), in which several TAM concepts were investigated in detail (Gerner 2002a, 2002b, 2004, 2007, 2009), the paradigm of TAM particles exhibits a particular strong iconic structure.

I shall represent this iconic structure within Hengeveld and Dik's layered TAM-model (Hengeveld 1989; Dik [Hengeveld] 1997a) which recognizes the organization of the following TAM concepts:

TAM-Layers Individual TAM concepts

**Nuclear predication**  $\pi_1$  (TAM<sub>1</sub>): perfective/imperfective, phasal aspect, ability and internal deontic modality

**Extended predication**  $\pi_2$  (TAM<sub>2</sub>): tense, perfect, quantificational aspect, external deontic modality

**Proposition**  $\pi_3$  (TAM<sub>3</sub>): speaker (epistemic) attitudes, information sources

Clause  $\pi_4$  (TAM<sub>4</sub>): illocutionary particles

The Yi languages allow arrangement of different TAM particles as is illustrated by the following examples and shown by the schema below. The Yi data follow exactly the prediction of Bybee (1985) and Haiman (1985).

### (1) Liangshan Nuosu (Sichuan Province)

t»i55	ko33	ta33,	1u33	a44Çi3	g"33	tsþ33	<b>"</b> "21
				3			
here	LOC:in	COV:put	mushroom	many	CL	3P SG	search

đ"44	ndzo21	èo44éi21.
π <sub>1</sub> :GET	π <sub>2</sub> :EXP	π <sub>3</sub> :POSS
<b>Layer 1</b>	<b>Layer 2</b>	Layer 3

#### (2) Liangshan Nuosu (Sichuan Province)

tso21đo 44	ko33	la33	sa55	044	ma55.
3P PL	LOC		π <sub>1</sub> :EXH <b>Layer 1</b>	π <sub>2</sub> :DP <b>Layer 2</b>	π <sub>3</sub> :FEAR <b>Layer 3</b>

<sup>&#</sup>x27;It is to be feared that they have all come.'

#### (3) Weining Neasu (Guizhou Province)

Ä2	sß33	¢o13	he33	le55.
3P SG	run	$\pi_1$ :SEND Layer 1	π <sub>2</sub> :FUT Laver 2	π <sub>4</sub> :REPLY Laver 4

<sup>&#</sup>x27;[In order to reply you:] He will run up all the paths [he was supposed to run].'

#### (4) Luoping Nase (Yunnan Province)

°″"21	•gÀo21ni3	li†21	k"33	ma21	di55	lo33.
3P SG	3 tomorrow		π <sub>1</sub> :MOD:can <b>Layer 1</b>	NEG	π <sub>3</sub> :NESS <b>Layer 3</b>	π <sub>4</sub> :SUG <b>Layer 4</b>

<sup>&#</sup>x27;[What do you think:] It may not be possible for him to come tomorrow.'

<sup>&#</sup>x27;He might have found mushrooms in this place before.'

## (5) Liangshan Nuosu (Sichuan Province)

a33ma5	i21mo2	go55	ndi55	èo44éi21	da21?
5	1	ache	π <sub>2</sub> :PER	π <sub>3</sub> :POSS	π <sub>4</sub> :ALT
Mama	belly		<b>Layer 2</b>	<b>Layer 3</b>	<b>Layer 4</b>

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Mom's belly might be aching once in a while, right?'

# $Verb < Layer 1(\pi_1) < Layer 2(\pi_2) < Layer 3(\pi_3) < Layer 4(\pi_4)$

#### **References:**

- BYBEE, Joan (1985). Diagrammatic iconicity in stem-inflection relations, in John Haiman (ed.), *Iconicity in syntax*, pp. 11-47. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- DIK, Simon (1997a). *The theory of functional grammar, part 1, The structure of the clause*, edited by Kees Hengeveld. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- GERNER, Matthias (2002a). Predicate compounding in the Yi group: The continuum of grammaticalization. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- GERNER, Matthias (2002b). Perfect in Yi group: Stative and dynamic information management. *Studies in Language* 26(2), 337-368.
- GERNER, Matthias (2004). "Occurrence particles in the Yi group and their interaction with the occurrence type of a situation." *Lingua*, **114**(11), 1331-1366.
- GERNER, Matthias (2007). "The exhaustion particles in the Yi group: A unified approach to *all*, the *completive* and the *superlative*." *Journal of Semantics* **24**(1), 27-72.
- GERNER, Matthias (2009b). "Assessing the modality particles of the Yi group in fuzzy possible-worlds semantics." *Linguistics & Philosophy* **32**(2), 143-184.
- HAIMAN, John (ed.) (1985). Iconicity in syntax. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- HENGEVELD, Kees (1989). Layers and operators in Functional Grammar. *Journal of Linguistcs* **25**, 127-157.