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## Motivation

- Different sets of impulse responses can be computed from same underlying VAR or VECM (impulse responses not unique)
- use non-sample information to decide on the "proper" set of impulses for a given model
$\longrightarrow$ impose "structural" restrictions (based on economic theory) to identify the relevant innovations and, thus, impulse responses
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## (Structural) Vector Autoregressions

- K-dimensional stationary, stable VAR(p).

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=A_{1} y_{t-1}+\ldots+A_{p} y_{t-p}+u_{t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- We know that (1) has a Wold MA representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=u_{t}+\Phi_{1} u_{t-1}+\Phi_{2} u_{t-2}+\ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Phi_{s}=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \Phi_{s-j} A_{j} \quad s=1,2, \ldots \text { with } \quad \Phi_{0}=I_{K}
$$

- Choleski decomposition to orthogonalize innovations ( $\Sigma_{u}=P P^{\prime}$ with $P$ lower-triangular matrix - Wold causal ordering). Unless there are "structural" reasons for the ordering of the variables (derived from economic theory) this approach is arbitrary.
- $\longrightarrow$ Use nonsample information to specify unique innovations


## The A-Model

- Find a model with instantaneously uncorrelated residuals; i.e. find matrix A such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A} y_{t}=A_{1}^{*} y_{t-1}+\ldots+A_{p}^{*} y_{t-p}+\epsilon_{t} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- is a structural model, where

$$
A_{j}^{*}:=\mathrm{A} A_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \epsilon_{t}:=\mathrm{A} u_{t} \sim\left(0, \Sigma_{\epsilon}=\mathrm{A} \Sigma_{u} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)
$$

For a proper choice of $\mathrm{A}, \epsilon_{t}$ will have a diagonal covariance matrix.

- MA representation based on $\epsilon_{t}$

$$
y_{t}=\Theta_{0} \epsilon_{t}+\Theta_{1} \epsilon_{t-1}+\Theta_{2} \epsilon_{t-2}+\ldots,
$$

where $\Theta_{j}=\Phi_{j} \mathrm{~A}^{-1}$ and the $\Theta$ are impulse responses to $\epsilon_{t}$ shocks.

## A-Model - Restrictions

- From $\Sigma_{\epsilon}=\mathrm{A} \Sigma_{u} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ and the assumption of a diagonal $\Sigma_{\epsilon}$ we get $K(K-1) / 2$ independent equations (i.e., all $K(K-1) / 2$ ) off-diagonal elements of $A \Sigma_{u} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ are zero)
- To solve uniquely for $K^{2}$ elements of A , we need another set of $K(K+1) / 2$ restrictions
- Normalize diagonal elements of $A$ to unity $\longrightarrow$ additional $K(K-1) / 2$ restrictions from nonsample information


## Restrictions, cont.

- If, for example, Wold causal ordering is possible, then

$$
\mathrm{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
a_{21} & 1 & & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
a_{K 1} & a_{K 2} & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- With A having a unit main diagonal, $K(K-1) / 2$ restrictions for the off-diagonal elements of A ensure just-identified shocks $\epsilon_{t}$ and, hence, just-identified impulse responses


## A-Model - Rewrite restrictions

- Restrictions must not be arbitrary; write them in the form

$$
C_{\mathrm{A}} \operatorname{vec}(\mathrm{~A})=c_{\mathrm{A}}
$$

with selection matrix $C_{\mathrm{A}}=\left(\frac{1}{2} K(K+1) \times K^{2}\right)$ and
a suitable fixed vector $c_{\mathrm{A}}=\left(\frac{1}{2} K(K+1) \times 1\right)$

- The restrictions have to be such that the system of equations

$$
\mathrm{A}^{-1} \Sigma_{\epsilon} \mathrm{A}^{\prime-1}=\Sigma_{u} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{\mathrm{A}} \operatorname{vec}(\mathrm{~A})=c_{\mathrm{A}}
$$

has a unique solution, at least locally (remember: $\epsilon_{t}:=\mathrm{A} u_{t} \sim(0, \Sigma \epsilon=\mathrm{A})$

## The B-Model

- Idea: think of the forecast errors $\left(u_{t}\right)$ as linear functions of the structural errors $\left(\epsilon_{t}\right)$
$\longrightarrow$ Identify structural innovations $\epsilon_{t}$ directly from reduced form residuals $u_{t}$

$$
u_{t}=\mathrm{B} \epsilon_{t} \quad \text { and } \quad \Sigma_{u}=\mathrm{B} \Sigma_{\epsilon} \mathrm{B}^{\prime}
$$

- Normalizing the variances of the structural innovations to one; i.e. assuming $\epsilon_{t} \sim\left(0, I_{K}\right)$, gives

$$
\Sigma_{u}=\mathrm{BB}^{\prime}
$$

- Choose B again by a Choleski decomposition
- Assumed symmetry of the covariance matrix specifies $K(K+1) / 2$ restrictions; we need another $K(K-1) / 2$ restrictions to identify all $K^{2}$ elements of B


## B-Model - Restrictions

- Empirically most relevant: choose B to be lower triangular (in principle, other zero restrictions on B possible)
- Structural because now recursive structure is only chosen if it has theoretical justification
- If only zero restrictions

$$
\mathrm{C}_{B} \operatorname{vec}(\mathrm{~B})=0
$$

- B can be uniquely identified, at least locally


## The AB Model

- Combine both types of restrictions $\longrightarrow$ the AB-model
- Idea: formulate relations (restrictions) for the innovations

$$
\mathrm{A} u_{t}=\mathrm{B} \epsilon_{t} \text { with } \epsilon_{t} \sim\left(0, I_{K}\right)
$$

- From $\epsilon_{t} \sim\left(0, I_{K}\right)$ we get $u_{t}=\mathrm{A}^{-1} \mathrm{~B} \epsilon_{t}$ and, hence
- $\Sigma_{u}=\mathrm{A}^{-1} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{-1^{\prime}}$ with $K^{2}$ elements for each, A and B .
- Restrictions typically normalizations or zero restrictions; written in the form of linear equations:
$v e c(\mathrm{~A})=R_{\mathrm{A}} \gamma_{\mathrm{A}}+r_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\operatorname{vec}(\mathrm{B})=R_{\mathrm{B}} \gamma_{\mathrm{B}}+r_{\mathrm{B}}$
where $R_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{B}}$ are suitable matrices of zeros and ones, $\gamma_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\gamma_{\mathrm{B}}$ are vectors of free parameters, and $r_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $r_{\mathrm{B}}$ vectors of fixed parameters


## Blanchard-Quah (1989)

- Alternative approach: consider accumulated ("long-run") effects of shocks to a system as in Blanchard \& Quah (1989)
- Remember structural impulses of the form

$$
y_{t}=\Theta_{0} \epsilon_{t}+\Theta_{1} \epsilon_{t-1}+\Theta_{2} \epsilon_{t-2}+\ldots
$$

Blanchard \& Quah (1989) derive a total impact matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{\infty}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{i}=\left(I_{K}-A_{1}-\ldots-A_{p}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~A}^{-1} \mathrm{~B} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Identify structural innovations by placing zero restrictions on this $\Xi$ matrix; i.e. assume that some innovations do not have any total long-run effects


## Blanchard-Quah, cont.

- Example: bivariate system $y_{t}=\left(q_{t}, u r_{t}\right)^{\prime}$
- structural innovations represent supply and demand shocks; assume that demand shocks have only transitory effects on $q_{t}$ (accumulated long-run effect of such shocks on $q_{t}$ is zero)
- Place supply shocks first, demand shocks second $\left(\epsilon_{t}=\left(\epsilon_{t}^{s}, \epsilon_{t}^{d}\right)^{\prime}\right)$, then the upper right-hand corner element of equation (4); i.e. the $\Xi$ matrix, is restricted to zero (no restrictions placed on the instantaneous effects of the observable variables)
- This corresponds to AB -model with $\mathrm{A}=I_{K}$ (that is, the B -model) with restriction

$$
(0,0,1,0) \operatorname{vec}\left[\left(I_{K}-A 1-\ldots-A p\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~B}\right]=0
$$

## Cointegration and Vector Error Correction form

- Definition of a cointegrated process $y_{t} \sim C I(d, b)$ with all its K variables being $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d})$
> but there exist linear combinations between the variables $z_{t}=\beta y_{t}$ which are $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{b})$. Most often $\mathrm{Cl}(1,1)$.
- Such a process can be written in Error Correction form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta y_{t}=\underbrace{\alpha \beta^{\prime} y_{t-1}}+\underbrace{\Gamma_{1} \Delta y_{t-1}+\ldots+\Gamma_{p-1} \Delta y_{t-p+1}}+u_{t} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- So far, the VEC model does not explicitly include assumptions from theory.
- We can interpret (5) as the reduced form of a structural VEC model, which incorporates results from theory.


## Structural Vector Error Correction model

- A structural VEC without deterministic terms and exogenous variables has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A} \Delta y_{t}=\Pi^{*} y_{t-1}+\Gamma_{1}^{*} \Delta y_{t-1}+\ldots+\Gamma_{p-1}^{*} \Delta y_{t-p+1}+B \epsilon_{t} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The $(K \times K)$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$ allows incorporating a structure reflecting a theoretical model.
- The structural equation in (6) has the reduced form representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta y_{t}=\Pi y_{t-1}+\Gamma_{1} \Delta y_{t-1}+\ldots+\Gamma_{p-1} \Delta y_{t-p+1}+u_{t} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Pi=\mathrm{A}^{-1} \Pi^{*}, \Gamma_{j}=\mathrm{A}^{-1} \Gamma_{j}^{*}$, and $A_{j}=\mathrm{A}^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{j}^{*}$ and the reduced form disturbances $u_{t}$ are related to the underlying structural shocks $\epsilon_{t}$ by $u_{t}=\mathrm{A}^{-1} B \epsilon_{t}$.

- In order to identify the structural form parameters, we must impose restrictions on the parameter matrices.


## Beveridge Nelson MA representation

- The process given in (7) has the Beveridge Nelson MA representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=\underbrace{\Xi \sum_{i=1}^{t} u_{i}}_{I(1)}+\underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Xi^{*} u_{t-j}}_{I(0)}+y_{0}^{*} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

- I(0) part The $\Xi^{*}$ are absolutely summable so that the infinite sum is well defined. (converges $\rightarrow 0$ for $j \rightarrow \infty$.)
- I(1) part The long run effects of shocks are captured by the common trend term $\Xi \sum_{i=1}^{t} u_{i}$.
- The matrix $\Xi=\beta \perp\left[\alpha_{\perp}^{\prime}\left(I_{K}-\sum \Gamma_{i}\right) \beta_{\perp}\right]^{-1} \alpha_{\perp}^{\prime}$ has rank K-r.
- There are $(K-r)$ common trends, at most $r$ can have transitory effects.


## B-model setup for sVEC

- Focus of interest on the residuals - the B-model setup is typically used.
- Connection of reduced form and structural form errors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=B \epsilon_{t} \quad \epsilon_{t}\left(0, I_{K}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Substituting this equation in the Beveridge Nelson MA representation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi B \sum_{i=1}^{t} \epsilon_{i} \text { for the I(1) part. } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Hence, the long-run effects of the structural innovations are given by $\Xi B$.


## B-model setup for sVEC

- Because $\Sigma_{u}=B B^{\prime}, r k(\Xi B)=K-r$ there can be at most $r$ zero columns in this matrix.
- This means that, $r$ of the structural innovations can have transitory effects and $K-r$ of them must have permanent effects.
- The matrix $\Xi B$ has reduced rank $r k(\Xi B)=K-r$, $\rightarrow$ therefore each column of zeros stands for $K-r$ independent restrictions.
- $\rightarrow$ The $r$ transitory shocks represent $r(K-r)$ independent restrictions.


## Local just-identification

- For local just-identification of the structural innovations in the B-model, a total of $K(K-1) / 2$ restrictions are required.
- We have already $r(K-r)$ restrictions from the cointegration structure of the model.
- We need $\frac{1}{2} K(K-1)-r(K-r)$ further restrictions for just-identification of the structural innovations.
- In fact, $r(r-1) / 2$ additional contemporaneous restrictions are needed to disentangle the transitory shocks
- and $r(K-r)((K-r-1)$ restrictions to identify the permanent shocks. (King et al. (1991), Gonzalo \& Ng (2001)).


## Restrictions

- The restrictions take the form

$$
C_{\Xi B} \operatorname{vec}(\Xi B)=c_{l} \text { or } C_{l} \operatorname{vec}(\Xi B)=c_{l} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{s} \operatorname{vec}(B)=c_{s}
$$

- $C_{l}:=C_{\Xi B}\left(I_{K} \otimes \Xi\right)$ is a matrix of long-run restrictions, that is, $C_{\Xi B}$ is a suitable selection matrix such that $C_{\Xi B} v e c(\Xi B)=c_{l}$.
- $c_{s}$ specifies short-run or instantaneous contraints by restriction elements of $B$ directly.
- $c_{l}$ and $c_{s}$ are vectors of suitable dimensions. In applied work, typically zero vectors.


## Examples for SVAR and SVEC in JMulTi

- JMulTi is an open-source interactive software for univariate and multivariate time series analysis
- The course textbook Lütkepohl (2006) as well as Lütkepohl \& Krätzig (2004) refer to JMulTi
- Downloadable for free at www.jmulti.com
- Datasets from the Lütkepohl's textbooks can be downloaded here: www.jmulti.com/datasets.html


## Example 1: SVAR

- Breitung, Brüggemann, Lütkepohl (2004), used in Lütkepohl (2006)
- Stylized IS-LM model
- Quarterly US data on
- Real GDP
- Three-month interbank interest rate
- Real monetary base
- IS curve: $u_{t} q=-a_{12} u_{t}{ }^{i}+b_{11} \varepsilon_{t}{ }^{\text {IS }}$
- Inverse LM curve $u_{t}{ }^{i}=-a_{21} u_{t}{ }^{q}-a_{23} u_{t}^{m}+b_{22} \varepsilon_{t}{ }^{L M}$
- Money supply rule: $u_{t}{ }^{m}=b_{33} \varepsilon_{t}{ }^{m}$


## VAR settings

Settings for reduced-form VAR with 4 lags, constant and trend q: Output
i: interest rate m : real monetary base


## VAR estimation

## Estimated coefficients of the reduced-form VAR



## SVAR restrictions

Set structural restrictions for the $A$ and the $B$ matrix


## SVAR results

## Estimation results for $A$ and $B$



## SVAR IRA

-Impulse Response Analysis with 95\% Hall bootstrap confidence intervals (2000 bootstrap replications)
-Responses of q (upper row), i (middle row), m (bottom row) to three structural shocks
-IS or spending shock in left column
-LM shock in middle column

- Money supply shock in right column
- IS shock increases output immediately, increases interest rate (with maximum after 8 quarters), decreases real money holdings
-LM shock increases interest rate and decreases output
- Money supply shock decreases output (contrary to economic theory), decreases interest rate and increases real money holding



## Example 2: SVEC

- Lütkepohl (2006)
- US quarterly data
- Output, consumption, investment
- All variables I(1), cointegrating rank=2,
- two transitory shocks, one permanent shock


## VEC settings

## Settings for reduced-form VEC with 1 lags, constant and 2 cointegration relations



## VEC results

## Estimated coefficients of reduced-form VEC



## SVEC restrictions

-Restrictions on B (short-run) and ミB (long-run)

- One permanent shock that can have effects on all three variables
-Two transitory shocks, with the first one allowed to have effects on all three variables, and the second one not to be allowed to affect the second variable ( 0 restriction in B). By that, the two transitory shocks are disentagled



## SVEC results

## Estimated B and $\equiv \mathrm{B}$ matrices



## SVEC IRA

-IRA with $95 \%$ Hall bootstrap confidence intervals (2000 bootstrap replications)
-Responses of output (upper row), consumption (middle row), investment (bottom row) to three structural shocks
-Permanend shock in left column
-Transitory shocks in middle and right column
-Effects of the long-run shock are all negative in the long run. (To see the effect of an impulse which leads to positive long-run effects, just reverse the sign of the impulse responses)
-The transitory shocks indeed fade out quickly. -The single 0 restriction in $B$ can be seen in the right column, second row.


## SVEC FEVD

-Forecast error variance decomposition
-Permanent shock (light blue part) has increasing importance with higher forecast horizon
-The importance of the transitory shocks (green and dark blue) are decresasing with higher forecast horizon


