## Seemingly Unrelated Regressions in Panel Models
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Different regression equations that seem to be unrelated and indivdually satisfy the classical OLS assumption, but are interdependent in the error term.

OLS unbiased and consistent but more efficient estimates by using FGLS to account for interdependence.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y_{1}=X_{1} \beta_{1}+u_{1} \\
y_{2}=X_{2} \beta_{2}+u_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{i}=X_{i} \beta_{i}+u_{i} \\
\vdots \\
y_{m}=X_{m} \beta_{m}+u_{m}
\end{array}
$$

- $y_{i}$ is an $n \times 1$ vector of observations on variable $i$.
- $X_{i}$ is an $n \times k_{i}$ matrix of observations on explanatory variables
- $\beta_{i}$ is a $k_{i} \times 1$ vector of coefficients
- $u_{i}$ is an $n \times 1$ vector of disturbances

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{m}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
X_{1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & x_{2} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & X_{m}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\beta_{1} \\
\beta_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\beta_{m}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
\vdots \\
u_{m}
\end{array}\right]} \\
y=X \beta+u
\end{gathered}
$$

- $y$ is an $n m \times 1$ vector of observations on variable $i$.
- $X$ is an $n \times \sum_{i} k_{i}$ matrix of observations on explanatory variables
- $\beta$ is a $\sum_{i} k_{i} \times 1$ vector of coefficients
- $u$ is an $n m \times 1$ vector of disturbances

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E[u u \prime]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
E\left(u_{1} u_{1} \prime\right) & E\left(u_{1} u_{2} \prime\right) & \ldots & E\left(u_{1} u_{m^{\prime}}\right) \\
E\left(u_{2} u_{1}\right) & E\left(u_{2} u_{2}\right) & \ldots & E\left(u_{2} u_{m^{\prime}}\right) \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ddots & \ldots \\
E\left(u_{m} u_{1}\right) & E\left(u_{m} u_{2} \prime\right) & \ldots & E\left(u_{m} u_{m^{\prime}}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& E[u u \prime]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
V C V_{1} & E\left(u_{1} u_{2} \prime\right) & \ldots & E\left(u_{1} u_{m} \prime\right. \\
E\left(u_{2} u_{1}^{\prime}\right) & V C V_{2} & \ldots & E\left(u_{2} u_{m} \prime\right) \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ddots & \ldots \\
E\left(u_{m} u_{1}^{\prime}\right) & E\left(u_{m} u_{2} \prime\right) & \ldots & V C V_{m}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.E[u u]^{\prime}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\sigma_{11} I_{N} & \sigma_{12} I_{N} & \ldots & \sigma_{1 m} I_{N} \\
\sigma_{21} I_{N} & \sigma_{22} I_{N} & \ldots & \sigma_{2 m} I_{N} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ddots & \ldots \\
\sigma_{m 1} I_{N} & \sigma_{m 2} I_{N} & \ldots & \sigma_{m m} I_{N}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
E\left[u_{i} u_{j^{\prime}}\right]=\Sigma \otimes I_{n}
$$

for a $m \times m$ symmetric matrix with positive entries $\Sigma$.

雷 James G. Beierlein, James W. Dunn, James C. McConnon, Jr. The Demand for Electricity and Natural Gas in the Northeastern United States
The Review of Economics and Statistics, 1981
Simultaneous estimation of the

1. residential gas
2. residential electric
3. commercial gas
4. commercial electric
5. industrial gas
6. industrial electric
demand in logarithmized quantities in the northern US. Both cross-sectional and time dimension for each equation. Estimation as a Two-Way Random Effects SUR model.

The individuals are nine states, the time is yearly from 1967-1977.
Explanatory variables are fuel prices, per capita income and disposable income, value of retail sales and value added by manufacturing.

No qualitative differences between OLS, two-way random effects and two-way random effects SUR, but the latter gives much lower standard errors.

## Notation and assumptions

- set of $M$ equations
$y_{i}=X_{j} \beta_{j}+u_{j}(j=1, \ldots, M)$
$y_{j}$ is $N T \times 1, X_{j}$ is $N T \times k_{j}, \beta_{j}$ is $k_{j} \times 1$
- additive error components
$u_{j}=Z_{\mu} \mu_{j}+Z_{\lambda} \lambda_{j}+\nu_{j}$ two-way random effects with $Z_{\mu}=I_{N} \otimes e_{t}, \quad Z_{\lambda}=e_{N} \otimes I_{T}$
- $\mu_{j}, \lambda_{j}$ and $\nu_{j}$ are random vectors with expectation 0 and a variance-covariance matrix given by

$$
E\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mu_{j} \\
\lambda_{j} \\
\nu_{j}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mu_{l} & \lambda_{l} & \nu_{l}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{\mu_{j l}} I_{N} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{\lambda_{j l}} I_{T} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sigma_{\nu_{j l}} I_{N T}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Combining all M equations

- Combining all M equations yields $y=X \beta+u$
- with $E\left(u u^{\prime}\right)=\Omega=\left[\Omega_{j l}\right]$ and $X=I_{M} \otimes X j$


## rewriting $\Omega_{j l}$

- $\Omega_{j l}=E\left(u_{j} u_{l}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{\mu_{j l}}^{2} A+\sigma_{\lambda_{j l}}^{2} B+\sigma_{\nu_{j l}}^{2} I_{N T}$ with $A=I_{N} \otimes e_{t} e_{t}^{\prime}$ and $B=e_{N} e_{N}^{\prime} \otimes I_{T}$
- this can be rewritten as

$$
\Omega_{j l}=\sigma_{3 j l}^{2} \frac{J_{N T}}{N T}+\sigma_{1 j l}^{2}\left(\frac{A}{T}-\frac{J_{N T}}{N T}\right)+\sigma_{2 j l}^{2}\left(\frac{B}{N}-\frac{J_{N T}}{N T}\right)+\sigma_{\nu_{j l}}^{2} Q
$$

with

- $Q=I_{N T}-\frac{A}{T}-\frac{B}{N}+\frac{J_{N T}}{N T}$ and $J_{N T}=e_{N T} e_{N T}^{\prime}$,
- $\sigma_{3 j l}^{2}=\sigma_{\nu_{j l}}^{2}+N \sigma_{\lambda_{j l}}^{2}+T \sigma_{\mu_{j l}}^{2}$
- $\sigma_{1 j l}^{2}=\sigma_{\nu_{j l}}^{2}+T \sigma_{\mu_{j l}}^{2}$
- $\sigma_{2 j l}^{2}=\sigma_{\nu_{j l}}^{2}+N \sigma_{\lambda_{j l}}^{2}$


## Eigenvalues of $\Omega$

- it can be shown that $(\operatorname{Nerlove}(1971)) \sigma_{1 j l}^{2}, \sigma_{2 j l}^{2}, \sigma_{3 j l}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{\nu_{j l}}^{2}$ form the eigenvalues of $\Omega_{j /}$.
- plugging them in yields the following expression for $\Omega$

$$
\Omega=\Omega_{3} \otimes \frac{J_{N T}}{N T}+\Omega_{1} \otimes\left(\frac{A}{T}-\frac{J_{N T}}{N T}\right)+\Omega_{2} \otimes\left(\frac{B}{N}-\frac{J_{N T}}{N T}\right)+\Omega_{\nu} Q
$$

- where $\Omega_{3}=\left[\sigma_{3 j}^{2}\right], \Omega_{2}=\left[\sigma_{2 j l}^{2}\right], \Omega_{1}=\left[\sigma_{1 j l}^{2}\right]$ and $\Omega_{\nu}=\left[\sigma_{\nu_{j l}}^{2}\right]$


## in search of $\Omega^{-1}$

- the expression for $\Omega$ is of the structure $\Omega=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \Omega_{i} \otimes D_{i}$ where $\Omega_{i}$ are nonsingular matrizes and $D_{i}$ are symmetric, sum up to $I_{n}$ and are mutual orthogonal (i.e. $D_{i} D_{j}=0$ for $i \neq j$ and idempotent.
- it can be shown that for a matrix $\Omega$ of this structure the unique inverse of the following form can be obtained:
$\Omega^{-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \Omega_{i}^{-1} \otimes D_{i}$
- closer inspection of the structure of $\Omega$ as derived above shows that indeed $\Omega$ is of the form: $\Omega=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \Omega_{i} \otimes D_{i}$ with

$$
D_{1}=\left(\frac{A}{T}-\frac{J_{N T}}{N T}\right), D_{2}=\left(\frac{B}{N}-\frac{J_{N T}}{N T}\right), D_{3}=\frac{J_{N T}}{N T} \text { and } D_{4}=Q
$$

## the GLS-Estimator for $\beta$

- therefore $\Omega^{-1}$ is given by a weighted sum of four matrizes $\Omega^{-1}=\Omega_{3}^{-1} \otimes \frac{J_{N T}}{N T}+\Omega_{1}^{-1} \otimes\left(\frac{A}{T}-\frac{J_{N T}}{N T}\right)+\Omega_{2}^{-1} \otimes\left(\frac{B}{N}-\frac{J_{N T}}{N T}\right)+\Omega_{\nu}^{-1} \otimes Q$
- note that $X^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{3}^{-1} \otimes\left(J_{N T} / N T\right)\right) X=0$ as $J_{N T} X_{j}=0$
- as usual the GLS estimator is then given by: $\left.\hat{\beta}_{G L S}=\left(X^{\prime} \Omega^{-1} X\right)^{-1}\right) X^{\prime} \Omega^{-1} Y$
- note also that for $\sigma_{\mu j l}^{2}=\sigma_{\lambda j l}^{2}=0, \Omega_{1}=\Omega_{2}=\Omega_{\nu}$ which results in $\Omega^{-1}=\Omega_{\nu}^{-1} \otimes I_{N T} \Rightarrow$ standard SUR estimator without a two-way random effects error specification.
- but unlike the standard SUR estimator, even when all matrizes $X_{j}$ contain the same information, i.e. $X_{1}=X_{2}=\ldots X_{M}=\hat{X}$ performing GLS on each system seperately is not equivalent to GLS perform on the whole system.
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What estimators of the variance-covariance-matrix are good asymptotically?

Probabilistic limits are with respect to both $N$ and $T$.
Theorem: Let $\hat{\beta}_{\mathrm{FGLS}}$ be a fesible GLS-estimator satisfying
(i) $\operatorname{plim} \hat{\Omega}_{\nu}=\Omega_{\nu}$,
(ii) $\operatorname{plim} \hat{\Omega}_{\mu}=\Omega_{\mu}^{*}$ and
(iii) $\operatorname{plim} \hat{\Omega}_{\lambda}=\Omega_{\lambda}^{*}$,
where $\Omega_{\mu}^{*}$ and $\Omega_{\lambda}^{*}$ are any finite, positive definite matrices. Then $\operatorname{plim} \sqrt{N T}\left(\hat{\beta}_{\mathrm{GLS}}-\hat{\beta}_{\mathrm{FGLS}}\right)=0$.

A sufficient condition for plim $\sqrt{N T}\left(\hat{\beta}_{\mathrm{FGLS}}-\hat{\beta}_{\mathrm{GLS}}\right)=0$ is that
(i) $\operatorname{plim} X^{\prime}\left(\hat{\Omega}^{-1}-\Omega^{-1}\right) X / N T=0$ and
(ii) $\operatorname{plim} X^{\prime}\left(\hat{\Omega}^{-1}-\Omega^{-1}\right) u \sqrt{N T}=0$.

Using Baltagis formula for the inverse of $\Omega$, this can be rewritten as
(i) $\operatorname{plim}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{j l}-\sigma_{1}^{j l}\right) \frac{X_{j}^{\prime}(A / T-J / N T) X_{l}}{N T}+\left(\hat{\sigma}_{2}^{j l}-\sigma_{2}^{j l}\right) \frac{X_{j}^{\prime}(B / N-J / N T) X_{l}}{N T}+$

$$
\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\nu}^{j l}-\sigma_{\nu}^{j l}\right) \frac{X_{j} Q X_{I}}{\sqrt{N T}}=0 \text { for } j, I=1, \ldots, M .
$$

(ii) $\operatorname{plim} \sum_{l} T^{3 / 4}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{j l}-\sigma_{1}^{j l}\right) \frac{X_{j}^{\prime}(A / T-J / N T) u_{l}}{\sqrt{N T^{5 / 2}}}+N^{3 / 4}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{2}^{j l}-\right.$

$$
\left.\sigma_{2}^{j l}\right) \frac{X_{j}^{\prime}(B / N-J / N T) u_{l}}{\sqrt{N^{5 / 2} T}}+\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\nu}^{j l}-\sigma_{\nu}^{j l}\right) \frac{X_{j} Q u_{l}}{\sqrt{N T}}=0 \text { for } j=1, \ldots, M .
$$

By assumption

$$
X_{j}^{\prime} X_{l} / N T
$$

and

$$
X_{j}^{\prime} Q X_{I} / N T
$$

converge, so

$$
X_{j}^{\prime}(A / T-J / N T) X_{I} / N T
$$

and

$$
X_{j}^{\prime}(B / T-J / N T) X_{I} / N T
$$

converge too.
Write our first condition as
(i) $\operatorname{plim}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{j l}-\sigma_{1}^{j l}\right)[]+\left(\hat{\sigma}_{2}^{j \prime}-\sigma_{2}^{j l}\right)[]+\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\nu}^{j \prime}-\sigma_{\nu}^{j l}\right)[]=0$ for $j, I=1, \ldots, M$.

Now $\operatorname{plim} \frac{X_{j}^{\prime}(A / T-J / N T) u_{i}}{\sqrt{N T^{5 / 2}}}=\operatorname{plim} \frac{X_{j}^{\prime}(B / N-J / N T) u_{i}}{\sqrt{N^{5 / 2} T}}=0$.
$X_{j}^{\prime} Q u_{l} / \sqrt{N T}=X_{j}^{\prime} Q v_{l} / \sqrt{N T}$ can be shown to converge in distribution to a random variable by a CLT.

Write our second condition as
(ii) $\operatorname{plim} \sum_{l} T^{3 / 4}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{j l}-\sigma_{1}^{j l}\right) 0+N^{3 / 4}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{2}^{j l}-\sigma_{2}^{j l}\right) 0+\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\nu}^{j l}-\sigma_{\nu}^{j l}\right)[\tilde{]}=0$ for $j=1, \ldots, M$.

We only have to show that
(i) $\operatorname{plim}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{j l}-\sigma_{1}^{j l}\right)[]+\left(\hat{\sigma}_{2}^{j l}-\sigma_{2}^{j l}\right)[]+\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\nu}^{j l}-\sigma_{\nu}^{j l}\right)[]=0$ for $j, I=1, \ldots, M$.
(ii) $\operatorname{plim} \sum_{l} T^{3 / 4}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{j l}-\sigma_{1}^{j l}\right) 0+N^{3 / 4}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{2}^{j l}-\sigma_{2}^{j l}\right) 0+\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\nu}^{j l}-\sigma_{\nu}^{j l}\right)[\tilde{]}=0$ for $j=1, \ldots, M$.

That's all Folks!

