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Can I wrong someone in the “state of nature”—as opposed to acting wrongly in regard to them? Or must there be some actual social relation that allows for the possibility of my wrongdoing someone? After specifying the sense of “wronging” I have in mind, I first discuss a “non-natural” case, that of informal agreements, and offer an account of these that explains their providing a context for wrongdoing. I then critically discuss some prominent arguments that have been offered for the possibility of wrongdoing someone in the state of nature.

This is the problem of political owing: do the members of a political society owe each other behavior supportive of the political institutions, including the laws, of that society? It is a version of the classical problem of political obligation—going back to Plato’s Crito—which has received a variety of interpretations. Following the argument of my book A Theory of Political Obligation (2006), I argue that in one particular circumstance, at least, the problem has a positive solution. I then consider whether the literature in the philosophy of law on the existence of a legal system in a given population describes one or more further circumstances in which this is so, and argue that it does not. Most likely there is only one such circumstance.