

Recurrence relations for powers of q-Fibonacci polynomials

Johann Cigler

Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
A-1090 Wien, Nordbergstraße 15

johann.cigler@univie.ac.at

Abstract

We derive some q -analogs of Euler-Cassini-type identities and of recurrence formulas for powers of Fibonacci polynomials.

1. Introduction

The Fibonacci numbers F_n are defined by the recurrence relation

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \quad (1.1)$$

with initial values $F_0 = 0$ and $F_1 = 1$.

The powers F_n^k , $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, satisfy the recurrence relation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{\binom{j+1}{2}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle F_{n-j}^k = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} F_{n-i}}{\prod_{i=1}^k F_i}$ is a so called fibonomial coefficient.

E.g. the squares of the Fibonacci numbers satisfy the recurrence $F_n^2 - 2F_{n-1}^2 - 2F_{n-2}^2 + F_{n-3}^2 = 0$.

The triangle of Fibonomial coefficients (see A010048 or A055870 in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [7]) begins with

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ & & & & & & 1 & 3 & 6 & 3 & 1 \\ & & & & & & 1 & 5 & 15 & 15 & 5 & 1 \end{array}$$

The Fibonacci polynomials $F_n(x, s)$ are defined by the recurrence relation

$$F_n(x, s) = xF_{n-1}(x, s) + sF_{n-2}(x, s) \quad (1.3)$$

with initial values $F_0(x, s) = 0$ and $F_1(x, s) = 1$.

The first terms of this sequence are $0, 1, x, x^2 + s, x^3 + 2sx, x^4 + 3sx^2 + s^2, \dots$.

The powers $F_n^k(x, s)$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, satisfy the recurrence relation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{\binom{j+1}{2}} s^{\binom{j}{2}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, s) F_{n-j}^k(x, s) = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where the (polynomial-) fibonomial coefficients are defined by

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, s) = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} F_{n-i}(x, s)}{\prod_{i=1}^k F_i(x, s)}. \quad (1.5)$$

E.g. for $k = 2$ we get the recurrence relation

$$F_n(x, s)^2 - (x^2 + s)F_{n-1}(x, s)^2 - s(x^2 + s)F_{n-2}(x, s)^2 + s^3F_{n-3}(x, s)^2 = 0.$$

The simplest proof of these facts depends on the Binet formula

$$F_n(x, s) = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}, \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 + 4s}}{2}, \beta = \frac{x - \sqrt{x^2 + 4s}}{2}. \quad (1.7)$$

From (1.6) it is clear that $F_n(x, s)^k$ is a linear combination of $\alpha^{(k-j)n} \beta^{jn}$, $0 \leq j \leq k$. Let U be the shift operator $Uh(n) = h(n-1)$. The sequences $(\alpha^{(k-j)n} \beta^{jn})_{n \geq 0}$ satisfy the recurrence relation $(1 - \alpha^{k-j} \beta^j U)(\alpha^{(k-j)n} \beta^{jn}) = 0$.

Since the operators $1 - \alpha^{k-j} \beta^j U$ commute we get

$$\left(\prod_{j=0}^n (1 - \alpha^{k-j} \beta^j U) \right) F_n(x, s)^k = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

As has been observed by L. Carlitz [2] we can now apply the q -binomial theorem (cf. e.g. [4])

$$\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - q^j x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k. \quad (1.9)$$

Here $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} (q) = \frac{(1 - q^n)(1 - q^{n-1}) \cdots (1 - q^{n-k+1})}{(1 - q)(1 - q^2) \cdots (1 - q^k)}$ denotes a q -binomial coefficient.

For $q = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ we get $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \alpha^{k^2-nk} \left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle(x, s)$.

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^k (1 - \alpha^{k-j} \beta^j U) &= \prod_{j=0}^k \left(1 - \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^j (\alpha^k U)\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^j \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\binom{j}{2}} \alpha^{j^2-(k+1)j} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle(x, s) \alpha^{kj} U^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^j (\alpha\beta)^{\binom{j}{2}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle(x, s) U^j = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{\binom{j+1}{2}} s^{\binom{j}{2}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle(x, s) U^j. \end{aligned}$$

By applying this operator to $F_n(x, s)^k$ we get (1.4).

2. Recurrence relations for powers of q-Fibonacci polynomials

The (Carlitz-) q -Fibonacci polynomials $f(n, x, s)$ are defined by

$$f(n, x, s) = xf(n-1, x, s) + q^{n-2}sf(n-2, x, s) \quad (2.1)$$

with initial values $f(0, x, s) = 0, f(1, x, s) = 1$ (cf. [3],[5]).

The first values are

$$0, 1, x, x^2 + qs, x^3 + (qs + q^2s)x, x^4 + (qs + q^2s + q^3s)x^2 + q^4s^2, \dots$$

An explicit expression is

$$f(n, x, s) = \sum_{k \leq n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-1-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k^2} x^{n-1-2k} s^k. \quad (2.2)$$

If we change $q \rightarrow \frac{1}{q}$ and then $s \rightarrow q^{n-1}s$ we get

$$f(n-k, x, q^{-k}s) \rightarrow f(n-k, x, q^{1-n}s) \rightarrow f(n, x, s).$$

This implies

Remark 1

Each identity

$$g(x, s, q, f(n, x, s), f(n-1, x, s), f(n-2, x, s), \dots) = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

is equivalent with

$$g(x, q^{n-1}s, q^{-1}, f(n, x, s), f(n-1, x, qs), f(n-2, x, q^2s), \dots) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

A special case is the well-known fact that (2.1) is equivalent with

$$f(n, x, s) = xf(n-1, x, qs) + qsf(n-2, x, q^2s). \quad (2.5)$$

The definition of the q -Fibonacci polynomials can be extended to all integers such that the recurrence (2.1) remains true. We then get (cf. [5])

$$f(-n, x, s) = (-1)^{n-1} q^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \frac{f(n, x, q^{-n}s)}{s^n}. \quad (2.6)$$

The main aim of this paper is the proof of the following q - analog of (1.4) which has been conjectured in [6]:

Theorem 1

Define a q -analog of the fibonomial coefficients by

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} k \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, s, q) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k f(i, x, s)}{\prod_{i=1}^j f(i, x, q^{j-i}s) \prod_{i=1}^{k-j} f(i, x, q^i s)}. \quad (2.7)$$

Then the following recurrence relation holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{\binom{j+1}{2}} s^{\binom{j}{2}} q^{\frac{j(j-1)(2j-1)}{6}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, s, q) f(n-j, x, q^j s)^k = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

By Remark 1 this theorem is equivalent to

Corollary 1

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{\binom{j+1}{2}} s^{\binom{j}{2}} q^{\binom{n-1}{2} - \frac{j(j-1)(2j-1)}{6}} \text{fibo}(k+1, x, s) f(n-j, x, s)^k = 0 \quad (2.9)$$

with

$$\text{fibo}(k+1, x, s) = \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, q^{n-1}s, q^{-1}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k f(i, x, q^{n-i}s)}{\prod_{i=1}^j f(i, x, q^{n-j-i}s) \prod_{i=1}^{k-j} f(i, x, q^{n-i-j}s)}. \quad (2.10)$$

Since there is no q – analogue of the Binet formula we use a q – analog of an extension of the Cassini - Euler identity for the proof of Theorem 1.

Let

$$fac(k, x, s) = \prod_{i=1}^k f(i, x, s) \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$fac(k, x, s, m) = \prod_{i=1}^k f(im, x, s). \quad (2.12)$$

Then the following theorem holds:

Theorem 2

For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\det \left(f(n + mi - lj, x, q^{lj} s)^k \right)_{i,j=0}^k = \prod_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-s)^{\binom{k+1}{2}(n-k\ell) + \binom{k+1}{3}(\ell+m)} q^{\binom{k+1}{2} \binom{n}{2} + \binom{k+1}{3} mn + \binom{k+1}{3} \frac{m(km-2)}{4} - \binom{k+1}{2} \binom{\ell}{2} - \binom{k+1}{3} \frac{\ell(3k+2)}{4}} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} fac(k-j, x, q^{mj+n} s, m) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} fac(k-j, x, q^{lj} s, \ell). \quad (2.13)$$

First we prove the special case $k = 1$:

Lemma 1

For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} f(n, x, s) & f(n - \ell, x, q^\ell s) \\ f(n + m, x, s) & f(n + m - \ell, x, q^\ell s) \end{pmatrix} = (-s)^{n-\ell} q^{\binom{n}{2} - \binom{\ell}{2}} f(\ell, x, s) f(m, x, q^n s). \quad (2.14)$$

Various versions of this lemma are well known (cf. [1] and [5]).

Since $f(n + m, x, s) = xf(n + m - 1, x, s) + q^{n+m-2} sf(n + m - 2, x, s)$ and

$f(n + m - \ell, x, q^\ell s) = xf(n + m - 1 - \ell, x, q^\ell s) + q^{n+m-2} sf(n + m - 2 - \ell, x, q^\ell s)$

we see that

$$g(m) := \det \begin{pmatrix} f(n, x, s) & f(n - \ell, x, q^\ell s) \\ f(n + m, x, s) & f(n + m - \ell, x, q^\ell s) \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies $g(m) = xg(m-1) + q^{m+n-2} sg(m-2)$ and $g(0) = 0$. Therefore $g(m) = cf(m, x, q^n s)$

for some constant c . To compute c we set $m = -n$. This gives

$$g(-n) = f(n, x, s) f(-\ell, x, q^\ell s) = cf(-n, x, q^n s) \text{ or}$$

$$f(n, x, s) (-1)^{\ell-1} q^{\binom{\ell+1}{2} - \ell^2} s^{-\ell} f(\ell, x, s) = cf(-n, x, q^n s) = c(-1)^{n-1} q^{\binom{n+1}{2} - n^2} s^{-n} f(n, x, s)$$

and therefore

$$g(m) = (-s)^{n-\ell} q^{\binom{n}{2} - \binom{\ell}{2}} f(\ell, x, s) f(m, x, q^n s) = (-s)^{n-\ell} q^{\frac{(n-\ell)(\ell+n-1)}{2}} f(\ell, x, s) f(m, x, q^n s).$$

As a special case we get

Corollary 2

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a representation of $f(n-k, x, q^k s)$ as a linear combination of $f(n, x, s)$ and $f(n-1, x, qs)$:

$$f(n-k, x, q^k s) = \frac{1}{v(k)} (f(k-1, x, qs) f(n, x, s) - f(k, x, s) f(n-1, x, qs)) \quad (2.15)$$

with

$$v(k) = (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} s^{k-1}. \quad (2.16)$$

Proof of Theorem 2

Using (2.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \left(f(n+mi-\ell j, x, q^{\ell j} s)^k \right)_{i,j=0}^k \\ &= \det \left(\left(v(\ell j)^{-1} (f(\ell j-1, x, qs) f(n+mi, x, s) - f(\ell j, x, s) f(n+mi-1, x, qs)) \right)^k \right)_{i,j=0}^k \\ &= (-1)^{k\ell \binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{1}{s^{(k\ell-2)\binom{k+1}{2}} q^{\left(\binom{\ell}{2} + \binom{2\ell}{2} + \dots + \binom{k\ell}{2} \right)^k}} \det \left(\left(a_j f(n+mi, x, s) + b_j f(n+mi-1, x, qs) \right)^k \right)_{i,j=0}^k \quad (2.17) \\ &= (-1)^{k\ell \binom{k+1}{2}} s^{-(k\ell-2)\binom{k+1}{2}} q^{\frac{k^2(k+1)\ell(2k\ell+\ell-3)}{12}} \det \left(\left(a_j f(n+mi, x, s) + b_j f(n+mi-1, x, qs) \right)^k \right)_{i,j=0}^k \end{aligned}$$

with $a_j = f(\ell j-1, x, qs)$, $b_j = -f(\ell j, x, s)$.

Since the determinant is multilinear and alternating we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \left(\left(a_j f(n+mi, x, s) + b_j f(n+mi-1, x, qs) \right)^k \right)_{i,j=0}^k \\ &= \det \left(\sum_{h=0}^k \binom{k}{h} \left(a_j f(n+mi, x, s) \right)^h \left(b_j f(n+mi-1, x, qs) \right)^{k-h} \right)_{i,j=0}^k \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \sum_{\pi} \det \left(\left(a_j f(n+mi, x, s) \right)^{\pi(j)} \left(b_j f(n+mi-1, x, qs) \right)^{k-\pi(j)} \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \sum_{\pi} \det \left(\left(a_j f(n+mi, x, s) \right)^{\pi(j)} \left(b_j f(n+mi-1, x, qs) \right)^{k-\pi(j)} \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \sum_{\pi} \prod_{j=0}^k a_j^{\pi(j)} b_j^{k-\pi(j)} \det \left(\left(f(n+mi, x, s) \right)^{\pi(j)} \left(f(n+mi-1, x, qs) \right)^{k-\pi(j)} \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{j=0}^k a_j^{\pi(j)} b_j^{k-\pi(j)} \det \left(\left(f(n+mi, x, s) \right)^j \left(f(n+mi-1, x, qs) \right)^{k-j} \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \det \left(a_i^j b_i^{k-j} \right) \det \left(\left(f(n+mi, x, s) \right)^j \left(f(n+mi-1, x, qs) \right)^{k-j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we need

Lemma 2

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
D(n, m, s, k) &= \det \left(f(n + mi, x, s)^j f(n + mi - 1, x, qs)^{k-j} \right) \\
&= (-1)^{\binom{k+1}{2}n + \binom{k+1}{3}m} s^{\binom{k+1}{2}(n-1) + \binom{k+1}{3}m} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}\binom{n}{2} + \binom{k+1}{3}\binom{m}{2} + nm} \binom{k+1}{4}m^2 \\
&\quad \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} fac(k - j, x, q^{mj+n}s, m).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Proof

Using formula $f(n + mi, x, s) = xf(n + mi - 1, x, qs) + qsf(n + mi - 2, x, q^2s)$ we get as above

$$D(n, m, s, k) = (-s)^{n\binom{k+1}{2}} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}\binom{n+1}{2}} D(0, m, q^n s, k). \tag{2.19}$$

For

$$\begin{aligned}
D(n, m, s, k) &= \\
&\det \left(f(n + mi - 1, x, qs)^{k-j} \left(xf(n + mi - 1, x, qs) + qsf(n + mi - 2, x, q^2s) \right)^j \right) \\
&= \det \left(f(n + mi - 1, x, qs)^{k-j} \left(qsf(n + mi - 2, x, q^2s) \right)^j \right) \\
&= (qs)^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \det \left(f(n + mi - 2, x, q^2s)^j f(n + mi - 1, x, qs)^{k-j} \right) = (-qs)^{\binom{k+1}{2}} D(n - 1, m, qs, k) \\
&= (-s)^{n\binom{k+1}{2}} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}\binom{n+1}{2}} D(0, m, q^n s, k).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we expand $D(0, m, s, k)$ with respect to the first column and get

$$\begin{aligned}
D(0, m, s, k) &= \det \left(f(mi, x, s)^j f(mi - 1, x, qs)^{k-j} \right) \\
&= f(-1, x, qs)^k \det \begin{pmatrix} f(m, x, s)f(m-1, x, qs)^{k-1} & f(m, x, s)^2 f(m-1, x, qs)^{k-2} & \cdots & f(m, x, s)^k \\ f(2m, x, s)f(2m-1, x, qs)^{k-1} & f(2m, x, s)^2 f(2m-1, x, qs)^{k-2} & \cdots & f(2m, x, s)^k \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ f(km, x, s)f(km-1, x, qs)^{k-1} & f(km, x, s)^2 f(km-1, x, qs)^{k-2} & \cdots & f(km, x, s)^k \end{pmatrix} \\
&= f(-1, x, qs)^k f(m, x, s)f(2m, x, s) \cdots f(km, x, s) D(m, m, s, k-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$D(0, m, s, k) = f(-1, x, qs)^k f(m, x, s)f(2m, x, s) \cdots f(km, x, s) D(m, m, s, k-1). \tag{2.20}$$

For $k = 1$ we get from (2.14) that

$$D(n, m, s, 1) = (-1)^n s^{n-1} q^{\binom{n}{2}} f(m, x, q^n s).$$

Therefore Lemma 2 is true for $k = 1$.

The general case follows by using (2.19) and (2.20)

$$\begin{aligned} D(n, m, s, k) &= (-s)^n \binom{k+1}{2} q^{\binom{k+1}{2} \binom{n+1}{2}} (q^n s)^{-k} f(m, x, q^n s) f(2m, x, q^n s) \cdots f(km, x, q^n s) D(m, m, q^n s, k-1) \\ &= (-s)^n \binom{k+1}{2} q^{\binom{k+1}{2} \binom{n+1}{2}} (q^n s)^{-k} f(m, x, q^n s) f(2m, x, q^n s) \cdots f(km, x, q^n s) \\ &= (-1)^{\binom{k}{2} m + \binom{k}{3} m} (q^n s)^{\binom{k}{2} (m-1) + \binom{k}{3} m} q^{\binom{k}{2} \binom{m}{2} + \binom{k}{3} \binom{m}{2} + \binom{k}{4} m^2} \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} \text{fac}(k-j-1, x, q^{mj+m+n} s, m) \\ &= (-1)^{n \binom{k+1}{2} + \binom{k+1}{3} m} s^{(n-1) \binom{k+1}{2} + \binom{k+1}{3} m} q^{\binom{k+1}{2} \binom{n}{2} + nm \binom{k+1}{3} + \binom{k+1}{3} \binom{m}{2} + \binom{k+1}{4} m^2} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \text{fac}(k-j, x, q^{mj+n} s, m). \end{aligned}$$

A special case is

Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned} D(0, \ell, s, k) &= \det \left(a_i^j b_i^{k-j} \right) = \det \left(f(\ell i - 1, x, qs)^j (-f(\ell i, x, s))^{k-j} \right)_{i,j=0}^k \\ &= (-1)^{\binom{k+1}{3} \ell} s^{-\binom{k+1}{2} + \binom{k+1}{3} \ell} q^{\binom{k+1}{3} \binom{\ell}{2} + \binom{k+1}{4} \ell^2} \\ &\quad \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \text{fac}(k-j, x, q^{\ell j} s, \ell). \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

With the use of these lemmas we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\det \left(f(n+mi-\ell j, x, q^{\ell j} s)^k \right)_{i,j=0}^k \\ &= (-1)^{k\ell \binom{k+1}{2}} s^{-(k\ell-2) \binom{k+1}{2}} q^{-\frac{k^2(k+1)\ell(2k\ell+\ell-3)}{12}} \det \left(\left(a_j f(n+mi, x, s) + b_j f(n+mi-1, x, qs) \right)^k \right)_{i,j=0}^k \\ &= (-1)^{k\ell \binom{k+1}{2}} s^{-(k\ell-2) \binom{k+1}{2}} q^{-\frac{k^2(k+1)\ell(2k\ell+\ell-3)}{12}} \prod_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} D(n, m, s, k) D(0, \ell, s, k) \\ &= (-1)^{k\ell \binom{k+1}{2}} s^{-(k\ell-2) \binom{k+1}{2}} q^{-\frac{k^2(k+1)\ell(2k\ell+\ell-3)}{12}} \prod_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-1)^{\binom{k+1}{2} n + \binom{k+1}{3} m} s^{\binom{k+1}{2} (n-1) + \binom{k+1}{3} m} q^{\binom{k+1}{2} \binom{n}{2} + \binom{k+1}{3} \binom{m}{2} + \binom{k+1}{4} m^2} \\ &\quad \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \text{fac}(k-j, x, q^{mj+n} s, m) (-1)^{\binom{k+1}{3} \ell} s^{-\binom{k+1}{2} + \binom{k+1}{3} \ell} q^{\binom{k+1}{3} \binom{\ell}{2} + \binom{k+1}{4} \ell^2} \\ &\quad \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \text{fac}(k-j, x, q^{\ell j} s, \ell) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \prod_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-s)^{\binom{k+1}{2}(n-k\ell) + \binom{k+1}{3}(\ell+m)} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}\binom{n}{2} + \binom{k+1}{3}mn + \binom{k+1}{3}\frac{m(km-2)}{4} - \binom{k+1}{2}\binom{\ell}{2} - \binom{k+1}{3}\frac{\ell(3k+2)}{4}}$$

$$\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \text{fac}(k-j, x, q^{mj+n}s, m) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \text{fac}(k-j, x, q^j s, \ell).$$

Thus Theorem 2 is proved.

We will also need some modifications of these results.

Let

$$d(n, m, s, k, j) = \det \left(f(n + m(i + [i \geq j]), x, s)^j f(n + m(i + [i \geq j]) - 1, x, qs)^{k-j} \right), \quad (2.22)$$

where $[P]$ denotes the Iverson symbol, i.e. $[P] = 1$ if property P is true and $[P] = 0$ else.

Then

$$d(n, m, s, k, 0) = D(n + m, m, s, k). \quad (2.23)$$

From (2.18) we get

$$d(0, m, s, k, 0) = (-1)^{\binom{k+1}{2}m} s^{\binom{k+1}{2}m-k} q^{\frac{km((km+m+k-3))}{4}} \text{fac}(k, x, q^m s, m) d(0, m, q^m s, k-1, 0). \quad (2.24)$$

Furthermore we get in the same way as above for $j > 0$

$$d(0, m, s, k, j) = s^{-k} \frac{\text{fac}(k+1, x, s, m)}{f(jm, x, s)} (-s)^m q^{\binom{k}{2}\binom{m+1}{2}} d(0, m, q^m s, k-1, j-1). \quad (2.25)$$

Proof of Theorem 1

The above argument implies that

$$\det \left(f(n+i-j, x, q^j s)^k \right)_{i,j=0}^{k+1} = 0. \quad (2.26)$$

If we denote by A_j the matrix obtained by crossing out the first row and the j -th column of

$\left(f(n+i-j, x, q^j s)^k \right)_{i,j=0}^{k+1}$, we get

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} f(n-j, x, q^j s)^k (-1)^j \det(A_j) = 0$$

or

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} f(n-j, x, q^j s)^k (-1)^j \frac{\det(A_j)}{\det(A_0)} = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

To compute $\det(A_j)$ we use the same method as in Theorem 1.

We get

$$\det(A_j) = \left(\frac{v(j)}{v(0)v(1)\cdots v(k+1)} \right)^k \det \left((a_h(j, s) f(n+i, x, s) + b_h(j, s) f(n+i-1, x, qs))^k \right)_{i,h=0}^k,$$

where

$a_h(j, s) = f(h-1, x, qs), b_h(j, s) = -f(h, x, s)$ for $h < j$ and
 $a_h(j, s) = f(h, x, qs), b_h(j, s) = -f(h+1, x, s)$ for $h \geq j$.

Therefore

$$\det(a_h(j, s)^i b_h(j, s)^{k-i}) = d(0, 1, s, k, j). \quad (2.28)$$

By (2.24) we have

$$d(0, 1, s, k, 0) = (-1)^{\binom{k+1}{2}} s^{\binom{k}{2}} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \text{fac}(k, x, qs) d(0, 1, qs, k-1, 0).$$

For $j > 0$ we get from (2.25)

$$d(0, 1, s, k, j) = s^{-k} \frac{\text{fac}(k+1, x, s)}{f(j, x, s)} (-s)^{\binom{k}{2}} q^{\binom{k}{2}} d(0, 1, qs, k-1, j-1). \quad (2.29)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{d(0, 1, s, k, j)}{d(0, 1, s, k, 0)} = (-s)^{-k} \frac{\text{fac}(k+1, x, s)}{f(j, x, s) \text{fac}(k, x, qs)} \frac{d(0, 1, qs, k-1, j-1)}{d(0, 1, qs, k-1, 0)}. \quad (2.30)$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(0, 1, s, k, j)}{d(0, 1, s, k, 0)} &= (-s)^{-\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (k-i)} q^{-\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} i(k-i)} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, s, q) \frac{d(0, 1, q^j s, k-j, 0)}{d(0, 1, q^j s, k-j, 0)} \\ &= (-s)^{-kj + \binom{j}{2}} q^{-k \binom{j}{2} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} i^2} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, s, q). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^j \frac{\det(A_j)}{\det(A_0)} &= (-1)^j \left(\frac{v(j)}{v(0)} \right)^k \frac{d(0, 1, s, k, j)}{d(0, 1, s, k, 0)} = (-1)^{j+kj} s^{kj} q^{\binom{j}{2}} (-s)^{-kj + \binom{j}{2}} q^{-k \binom{j}{2} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} i^2} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, s, q) \\ &= (-1)^{\binom{j+1}{2}} s^{\binom{j}{2}} q^{\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} i^2} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, s, q). \end{aligned}$$

Thus Theorem 1 is proved.

If we use the fact that $\det\left(f(n + \ell(i - j), x, q^{j\ell}s)^k\right)_{i,j=0}^{k+1} = 0$, we get in the same way that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} f(n - j\ell, x, q^{j\ell}s)^k (-1)^j \frac{\det(B_j)}{\det(B_0)} = 0,$$

where we denote by B_j the matrix obtained by crossing out the first row and the j -th column of $\left(f(n + \ell(i - j), x, q^{j\ell}s)^k\right)_{i,j=0}^{k+1}$.

Here we have

$$(-1)^j \frac{\det(B_j)}{\det(B_0)} = (-1)^j \left(\frac{v(j\ell)}{v(0)} \right)^k \frac{d(0, \ell, s, k, j)}{d(0, \ell, s, k, 0)} = (-1)^{j+kj\ell} s^{kj\ell} q^{\binom{j\ell}{2}k} \frac{d(0, \ell, s, k, j)}{d(0, \ell, s, k, 0)}.$$

If we define

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} k \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, s, q, \ell) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k f(i\ell, x, s)}{\prod_{i=1}^j f(i\ell, x, q^{(j-i)\ell}s) \prod_{i=1}^{k-j} f(i\ell, x, q^{j\ell}s)} \quad (2.31)$$

we get from (2.24) and (2.25)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(0, \ell, s, k, j)}{d(0, \ell, s, k, 0)} &= \frac{s^{-k} \frac{fac(k+1, x, s, \ell)}{f(j\ell, x, s)} (-s)^{\ell \binom{k}{2}} q^{\binom{k}{2} \binom{\ell+1}{2}} d(0, \ell, q^\ell s, k-1, j-1)}{(-1)^{\binom{k+1}{2}\ell} s^{\binom{k+1}{2}\ell-k} q^{\frac{k\ell((k\ell+\ell+k-3))}{4}} fac(k, x, q^\ell s, \ell) d(0, \ell, q^\ell s, k-1, 0)} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k\ell} q^{-k\binom{\ell}{2}}}{s^{k\ell}} \frac{fac(k+1, x, s, \ell)}{f(j\ell, x, s) fac(k, x, q^\ell s, \ell)} \frac{d(0, \ell, q^\ell s, k-1, j-1)}{d(0, \ell, q^\ell s, k-1, 0)} \\ &= (-1)^{kj\ell - \ell \binom{j}{2}} s^{\ell \binom{j}{2} - kj\ell} q^{-\ell \binom{\ell}{2} \left(kj - \binom{j}{2} \right) - \ell^2 \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} i(k-i)} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, s, q, \ell). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^j \frac{\det(B_j)}{\det(B_0)} &= (-1)^{j+kj\ell} s^{kj\ell} q^{\binom{j\ell}{2}k} (-1)^{kj\ell - \ell \binom{j}{2}} s^{\ell \binom{j}{2} - kj\ell} q^{-\ell \binom{\ell}{2} \left(kj - \binom{j}{2} \right) - \ell^2 \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} i(k-i)} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, s, q, \ell) \\ &= (-1)^{j+\ell \binom{j}{2}} \left(q^{\frac{(4j+1)\ell-3}{6}} s \right)^{\ell \binom{j}{2}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, s, q, \ell). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

Theorem 3

For $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ the following recurrence relation holds:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{j+\ell} \binom{j}{2} \left(q^{\frac{(4j+1)\ell-3}{6}} s \right) \ell \binom{j}{2} \left\langle \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle (x, s, q, \ell) f(n - j\ell, x, q^{j\ell} s)^k = 0. \quad (2.32)$$

For the special case $k = 1$ this reduces to

$$f(n, x, s) - \frac{f(2\ell, x, s)}{f(\ell, x, q^\ell s)} f(n - \ell, x, q^\ell s) + (-1)^\ell q^{\frac{\ell(3\ell-1)}{2}} s^\ell \frac{f(\ell, x, s)}{f(\ell, x, q^\ell s)} f(n - 2\ell, x, q^{2\ell} s) = 0, \quad (2.33)$$

which has already been proved in [6].

References

- [1] G. Andrews, A. Knopfmacher, P. Paule, An infinite family of Engel expansions of Rogers-Ramanujan type, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 25 (2000), 2-11
- [2] L. Carlitz, The characteristic polynomial of a certain matrix of binomial coefficients, *Fibonacci Quarterly* 3 (1965), 81-89
- [3] L. Carlitz, Fibonacci notes 4: q-Fibonacci polynomials, *Fibonacci Quarterly* 13 (1975), 97-102
- [4] J. Cigler, Elementare q-Identitäten, *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, B05a (1981)
- [5] J. Cigler, q-Fibonacci polynomials, *Fibonacci Quarterly* 41 (2003), 31-40
- [6] J. Cigler, Some conjectures about q-Fibonacci polynomials, arXiv:0805.0415
- [7] N.J.A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences