

**Simple proofs of Bressoud's and Schur's polynomial versions of the
Rogers-Ramanujan identities.**

Johann Cigler

Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
A-1090 Wien, Nordbergstraße 15

Johann.Cigler@univie.ac.at

Abstract

We give simple elementary proofs of Bressoud's and Schur's polynomial versions of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities.

1. Bressoud's identity

In [1] George E. Andrews and Kimmo Eriksson gave a simple proof of David Bressoud's ([2]) polynomial version of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities. I want to show that their proof can be further simplified by starting with the identity

$$\sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Ole Warnaar has informed me that this identity has been obtained in [6], Lemma 3.1 as limit case of Rogers' q-Dougall sum. In [6] he already used (1) to prove (a generalization of) Bressoud's identity (11). Christian Krattenthaler has told me that (1) can be considered as limit case of Jackson's q-Dixon summation. It is also a special case of Paule's transformation T1 of [4].

A simple computer proof can be given if we write the left hand side of (1) in the equivalent form

$$f(n, k) = \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \frac{1+q^j}{2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the implementation `qZeil` of the *q*-Zeilberger algorithm gives

$$f(n, k) = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q^{n-k}} f(n-1, k),$$

from which (1) is obvious if we observe that $f(k, k) = 1$.

If you don't trust the computer set $a(n, k, j) = (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} (1+q^j) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$b(n, k, j) = \frac{q^{n-k+2j} (1-q^{k-j})(1-q^{n-j-k})}{(1+q^j)(1-q^{n-k})(1-q^n)} a(n, k, j) \text{ and verify that for } n > k$$

$$a(n, k, j) - \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q^{n-k}} a(n-1, k, j) = b(n, k, j) - b(n, k, j-1).$$

Here I give an elementary proof of (1) which uses only the recurrence relations for the q -binomial coefficients:

To this end let

$$f(n, k) = \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

From the recurrence relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} + q^{n-k+1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

for the q -binomial coefficients we also get

$$\sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} = f(n, k). \quad (4)$$

This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2} + n-k-j+1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j-1 \end{bmatrix} = f(n, k) + q^{n-k+1} \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{3j(j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j-1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The last sum vanishes, because $j \rightarrow -j+1$ defines a sign reversing involution.

The other recurrence relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} = q^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

gives

$$\sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+j+1 \end{bmatrix} = q^{k+1} \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{3j(j+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j+1 \end{bmatrix} + f(n, k) = f(n, k).$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(n, k) &= \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} = \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+j+1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
f(n+1, k) &= \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} = \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ q^{n-k+1} \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} \\
&= f(n, k) + q^{n-k+1} \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ (k-1)-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ (k-1)+j+1 \end{bmatrix} = f(n, k) + q^{n-k+1} f(n, k-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the sequence $(f(n, k))$ satisfies the recurrence relation (3) for the q -binomial coefficients and the corresponding boundary values.

This proves

Theorem 1

The following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+j+1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

From (7) we obtain

$$\sum_k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k^2} = \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(5j-1)}{2}} \sum_{k \geq |j|} q^{(k-j)(k+j)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

The q -Vandermonde formula

$$\begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \sum_j \begin{bmatrix} m \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} q^{(m-j)(k-j)} \tag{9}$$

implies

$$\sum_{k \geq |j|} q^{(k-j)(k+j)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n-2j \end{bmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

Therefore (8) reduces to Bressoud's identity

$$\sum_k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k^2} = \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(5j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n-2j \end{bmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

In the same way we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-3)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} &= q^k \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} \\ + \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-3)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j-1 \end{bmatrix} &= q^k f(n, k) = q^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies as above

$$\sum_k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k^2+k} = \sum_j (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(5j-3)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ n+1-2j \end{bmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

As is well known (cf. e.g. [1]) by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (11) we get the first Rogers-Ramanujan identity

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{q^{k^2}}{(1-q)(1-q^2) \cdots (1-q^k)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{k \geq 1} (1-q^k)} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(5j-1)}{2}}. \quad (13)$$

In the same way from (12) we get the second Rogers-Ramanujan identity

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{q^{k^2+k}}{(1-q)(1-q^2) \cdots (1-q^k)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{k \geq 1} (1-q^k)} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(5j-3)}{2}}. \quad (14)$$

2. Schur's identity

The identity which corresponds to (1) for Schur's polynomial version is

Theorem 2

$$g(n, k) = \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-j+1}{2} \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

This identity has been obtained in [3] by other means.

By using (5) we get

$$g(n+2, k) = q^k \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-3)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-j+3}{2} \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-j+3}{2} \\ k+j \end{bmatrix}.$$

For the first sum we get again by using (5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-3)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n-j+3}{2} \\ k+j \end{matrix} \right] = q^k \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n-j+1}{2} \\ k+j \end{matrix} \right] \\ & + \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-3)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n-j+1}{2} \\ k+j-1 \end{matrix} \right] = q^k g(n, k) + \ell(n, k), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\ell(n, k) = \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-3)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n-j+1}{2} \\ k+j-1 \end{matrix} \right] = 0,$$

because $j \rightarrow -j+1$ induces a sign reversing involution.

Therefore we have

$$\sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-3)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n-j+3}{2} \\ k+j \end{matrix} \right] = q^k g(n, k). \quad (16)$$

The second term in the above formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j-1 \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n-j+3}{2} \\ k+j \end{matrix} \right] = q^k \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j-1 \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n-j+1}{2} \\ k+j \end{matrix} \right] \\ & + \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j-1 \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n-j+1}{2} \\ k+j-1 \end{matrix} \right] = q^k \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n+j+1}{2} \\ k-j \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n-j}{2} \\ k+j-1 \end{matrix} \right] + g(n, k-1). \end{aligned}$$

Let now

$$h(n, k) = \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n+j+1}{2} \\ k-j \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{n-j}{2} \\ k+j-1 \end{matrix} \right].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
h(n, k) &= \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n+j+1}{2} \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-j}{2} \\ k+j-1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= q^k \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-3)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n+j-1}{2} \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-j}{2} \\ k+j-1 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n+j-1}{2} \\ k-j-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-j}{2} \\ k+j-1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= q^k \ell(n-1, k) + g(n-1, k-1) = g(n-1, k-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get the recursion

$$g(n+2, k) = q^{2k} g(n, k) + q^k g(n-1, k-1) + g(n, k-1). \quad (17)$$

It is easy to verify that $g(n, 0) = 1 = \begin{bmatrix} n-0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $g(k, k) = 0 = \begin{bmatrix} k-k \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ for $k \geq 1$ and

$$g(k+1, k) = \begin{bmatrix} k+1-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 2.$$

By this recurrence and the initial values $g(n, k)$ is uniquely determined for all $n \geq k$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} n+2-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} &= q^k \begin{bmatrix} n+1-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n+1-k \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} = q^{2k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} + q^k \begin{bmatrix} n-1-(k-1) \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n-(k-1) \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \\
\text{we see that } g(n, k) &= \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \text{ for all } n \geq k.
\end{aligned}$$

By summing over all k and using the q -Vandermonde formula we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^n q^{k^2} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k^2} \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-j+1}{2} \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{j=-n}^n (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(5j-1)}{2}} \sum_{k \geq |j|} q^{(k-j)(k+j)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-j+1}{2} \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=-n}^n (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(5j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \frac{n+5j}{2} \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives Schur's ([5]) polynomial version of the first Rogers-Ramanujan identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^n q^{k^2} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=-n}^n (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(5j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \frac{n+5j}{2} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

In the same way from (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k^2+k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k^2} \sum_{j=-k}^k (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j-3)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-j+3}{2} \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{j=-n}^n (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(5j-3)}{2}} \sum_{k \geq |j|} q^{(k-j)(k+j)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n+j}{2} \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-j+3}{2} \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=-n}^n (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(5j-3)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ \frac{n-5j+3}{2} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This is Schur's polynomial version of the second Rogers-Ramanujan identity, which is usually written in the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{k^2+k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=-n}^n (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(5j-3)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \frac{n-5j+2}{2} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

References

- [1] George E. Andrews & Kimmo Eriksson, *Integer Partitions*, Cambridge University Press 2004
- [2] David M. Bressoud, Some identities for terminating q-series, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **89** (1981), 211-223
- [3] Johann Cigler, q-Fibonacci polynomials and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *Annals of Combinatorics* **8** (2004), 269-285
1985)
- [4] Peter Paule, On identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **107** (1985), 255-284
- [5] Issai Schur, Ein Beitrag zur additiven Zahlentheorie und zur Theorie der Kettenbrüche, *Ges. Abh.* **2**, 117-136
- [6] S. Ole Warnaar, The generalized Borwein conjecture. I. The Burge transform, in B.C. Berndt, K. Ono (Eds.), *q-Series with Applications to Combinatorics, Number Theory, and Physics*, *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 291, AMS, Providence, RI, 2001, 243-267