DECOMPOSITIONS OF ALGEBRAS AND POST-ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRA STRUCTURES

DIETRICH BURDE AND VSEVOLOD GUBAREV

ABSTRACT. We introduce post-associative algebra structures and study their relationship to post-Lie algebra structures, Rota-Baxter operators and decompositions of associative algebras and Lie algebras. We show several results on the existence of such structures. In particular we prove that there exists no post-Lie algebra structure on a pair $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{n})$, where \mathfrak{n} is a simple Lie algebra and \mathfrak{g} is a reductive Lie algebra, which is not isomorphic to \mathfrak{n} . We also show that there is no post-associative algebra structure on a pair (A,B) arising from a Rota-Baxter operator of B, where A is a semisimple associative algebra and B is not semisimple. The proofs use results on Rota-Baxter operators and decompositions of algebras.

1. Introduction

Post-Lie algebras and post-Lie algebra structures naturally arise in differential geometry, in the study of geometric structures on Lie groups and crystallographic groups. Existence questions in geometry often can be translated to existence questions for pre- or post-Lie algebra structures. A well-known example is Milnor's question on the existence of left-invariant affine structures on Lie groups. See [4, 5, 6] for a survey of the questions and results obtained on the existence and classification of post-Lie algebra structures.

On the other hand, these structures also arise in many other areas, such as operad theory, homology of partition sets, universal enveloping algebras, Yang-Baxter groups, Rota-Baxter operators and R-matrices [9, 23]. In particular it is well-known [2] that Rota-Baxter operators R of weight 1 on \mathfrak{n} are in bijective correspondence to post-Lie algebra structures on pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$, where \mathfrak{n} is complete. Recall that a Rota-Baxter operator on an algebra A is a linear operator $R: A \to A$ satisfying the identity

$$R(x)R(y) = R(R(x)y + xR(y) + \lambda xy)$$

for all $x, y \in A$ and a scalar λ . Such an operator on a Lie algebra always yields a post-Lie algebra structure. Therefore it is very natural to use Rota–Baxter operators for the existence and classification of post-Lie algebra structures. We have already obtained several results in [6] by using Rota–Baxter operators. In this paper we obtain further results on post-Lie algebra structures and correct the proof of Proposition 3.7 and 3.8 in [6], which relied on a decomposition theorem of Lie algebras, namely Proposition 3.6 in [6], which unfortunately is in error. For details see Remark 4.9. Decompositions of algebras as a sum of two subalgebras arise naturally from Rota–Baxter operators on A. Here we can use strong theorems on decompositions of Lie and associative algebras by Onishchik [21, 22], Bahturin and Kegel [1], Koszul [17] and others. Instead of post-Lie algebras one can also consider post-associative algebras

Date: November 20, 2019.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B30, 17D25.

Key words and phrases. Post-associative algebra structure, Post-Lie algebra structure, Rota-Baxter operator.

[12, 13] and hence also post-associative algebra structures instead of post-Lie algebra structures. Again Rota—Baxter operators on an associative algebra yield post-associative algebra structures. Furthermore, a post-associative structure on a pair of associative algebras induces a post-Lie algebra structure on the pair of Lie algebras given by commutator. We prove several results on the existence of post-associative structures.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce the notion of a post-associative algebra structure and recall the basic definitions concerning post-Lie algebra structures and Rota–Baxter operators. We state the decomposition results for Lie algebras by Onishchik, which we will need later on.

In section 3 we prove that every post-associative algebra structure on a pair of associative algebras (A, B), where B is semisimple, arises from a Rota-Baxter operator on B. We show that, given a post-associative algebra structure on a pair of semisimple associative algebras (A, B), that the algebras are isomorphic provided one of them is simple. Furthermore we show that there are no proper semisimple decompositions of the matrix algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

In section 4 we prove the following result in Theorem 4.1. Suppose that there is a post-Lie algebra structure on a pair of real or complex Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{n})$, where \mathfrak{n} is simple and \mathfrak{g} is reductive. Then \mathfrak{g} is also simple and both \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{n} are isomorphic. This generalizes Theorem 3.1 of [6]. Then we give a new proof of Proposition 3.8 of [6], which is stated here as Corollary 4.8 and holds for arbitrary fields of characteristic zero: let (V,\cdot) be a post-Lie algebra structure on a pair $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{n})$ over a field of characteristic zero, where \mathfrak{g} is semisimple and \mathfrak{n} is complete. Then \mathfrak{n} is semisimple. We also give a new proof of Proposition 3.7 of [6] in Corollary 4.11. Finally we obtain results on post-associative algebra structures using a classical theorem on nilpotent decompositions by Kegel [15].

2. Preliminaries

In 2004, Loday and Ronco [18] introduced the notion of a *dendriform trialgebra*, also named *post-associative algebra* in [12], which generalizes the notion of a dendriform algebra.

Definition 2.1. A vector space V over a field K with three bilinear operations \succ , \prec , \cdot is called a *post-associative algebra*, if the following identities are satisfied for all $x, y, z \in V$:

$$(x \prec y) \prec z = x \prec (y * z),$$

$$(x \succ y) \prec z = x \succ (y \prec z),$$

$$(x * y) \succ z = x \succ (y \succ z),$$

$$x \succ (y \cdot z) = (x \succ y) \cdot z,$$

$$(x \prec y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \succ z),$$

$$(x \cdot y) \prec z = x \cdot (y \prec z),$$

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z).$$

where $x * y := x \prec y + x \succ y + x \cdot y$.

In 2007 Vallette [23] introduced the notion of a *post-Lie algebra* in the context of homology of generalized partition posets.

Definition 2.2. A vector space V over K with two bilinear operations [,] and \cdot is called a *post-Lie algebra*, if the following identities are satisfied for all $x, y, z \in V$:

$$\begin{split} [x,y] &= -[y,x], \\ [x,[y,z]] &= -[y,[z,x]] - [z,[x,y]], \\ [y,x] \cdot z &= (x \cdot y) \cdot z - x \cdot (y \cdot z) - (y \cdot x) \cdot z + y \cdot (x \cdot z), \\ x \cdot [y,z] &= [x \cdot y,z] + [y,x \cdot z]. \end{split}$$

In particular, (V, [,]) is a Lie algebra. We obtain a second Lie bracket on V by

$$\{x,y\} := x \cdot y - y \cdot x + [x,y].$$

In the study of geometric structures on Lie groups the notion of a *post-Lie algebra structure* was defined in 2012 in [4]. Although it arises in a different context than a post-Lie algebra, it is just a reformulation of it.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathfrak{g} = (V, [\,,])$ and $\mathfrak{n} = (V, \{\,,\})$ be two Lie brackets on a vector space V over K. A post-Lie algebra structure on the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$ is a K-bilinear product $x \cdot y$ satisfying the identities

(1)
$$x \cdot y - y \cdot x = [x, y] - \{x, y\},$$

$$[x, y] \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) - y \cdot (x \cdot z),$$

(3)
$$x \cdot \{y, z\} = \{x \cdot y, z\} + \{y, x \cdot z\}$$

for all $x, y, z \in V$.

Analogously we can define the notion of a *post-associative algebra structure* as a reformulation of a post-associative algebra.

Definition 2.4. Let $A = (V, \bullet)$ and $B = (V, \circ)$ be two associative products on a vector space V over K. A post-associative algebra structure on the pair (A, B) is a pair of K-bilinear products $x \succ y$, $x \prec y$ satisfying the identities:

$$(4) x \bullet y - x \circ y = x \succ y + x \prec y,$$

(5)
$$(x \bullet y) \succ z = x \succ (y \succ z),$$

(6)
$$x \prec (y \bullet z) = (x \prec y) \prec z,$$

(7)
$$x \succ (y \circ z) = (x \succ y) \circ z,$$

(8)
$$(x \circ y) \prec z = x \circ (y \prec z),$$

$$(9) (x \prec y) \circ z = x \circ (y \succ z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in V$.

Rewriting $x \circ y = x \cdot y$ and $x \bullet y = x * y$ we see that a post-associative algebra structure corresponds to a post-associative algebra.

We can associate a post-Lie algebra structure to a post-associative structure as follows. Let $\mathfrak{g} = (V, [\,,])$ be the Lie algebra with bracket $[x,y] = x \cdot y - y \cdot x$ and $\mathfrak{n} = (V, \{\,,\})$ be the Lie algebra with bracket $\{x,y\} = x \cdot y - y \cdot x$. Let us write a pair of associative algebras $A = (V, \bullet)$ and $B = (V, \circ)$ by (A, B). Then we have the following result.

Lemma 2.5. Let (V, \succ, \prec) be a post-associative structure on a pair of associative algebras (A, B). Then

$$x \cdot y = x \succ y - y \prec x$$

defines a post-Lie algebra structure on the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward and has been given in [2] in terms of post-Lie algebras and post-associative algebras. Indeed, by (4) we have

$$x \bullet y = x \succ y + x \prec y + x \circ y,$$

 $y \bullet x = y \succ x + y \prec x + y \circ x,$

and the difference yields identity (1). The identities (2) and (3) follow similarly.

Note that the map $D_x : \mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{n}$ defined by

$$D_x(a) = x \succ a - a \prec x$$

is a derivation of \mathfrak{n} for every $x \in \mathfrak{n}$.

We can derive further identities from the above definition, in particular the following one.

Lemma 2.6. Let (V, \succ, \prec) be a post-associative algebra structure on a pair (A, B) of associative algebras. Then we have

$$(10) (x \succ y) \prec z = x \succ (y \prec z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in V$.

Proof. Using the identities (4)-(9) we have

$$(x \bullet y) \bullet z = (x \bullet y) \succ z + (x \bullet y) \prec z + (x \bullet y) \circ z$$
$$= (x \bullet y) \succ z + (x \succ y) \prec z + (x \prec y) \prec z + (x \circ y) \prec z$$
$$+ (x \succ y) \circ z + (x \prec y) \circ z + (x \circ y) \circ z,$$

and similarly,

$$x \bullet (y \bullet z) = x \succ (y \bullet z) + x \prec (y \bullet z) + x \circ (y \bullet z)$$

= $x \prec (y \bullet z) + x \succ (y \succ z) + x \succ (y \prec z) + x \succ (y \circ z)$
+ $x \circ (y \succ z) + x \circ (y \prec z) + x \circ (y \circ z).$

This yields, using the associativity of \bullet and \circ ,

$$0 = (x \bullet y) \bullet z - x \bullet (y \bullet z)$$

$$= (x \bullet y) \succ z + (x \succ y) \prec z + (x \prec y) \prec z + (x \circ y) \prec z + (x \succ y) \circ z$$

$$+ (x \prec y) \circ z + (x \circ y) \circ z - x \prec (y \bullet z) - x \succ (y \succ z) - x \succ (y \prec z)$$

$$- x \succ (y \circ z) - x \circ (y \succ z) - x \circ (y \prec z) - x \circ (y \circ z)$$

$$= (x \succ y) \prec z - x \succ (y \succ z).$$

Note that the identity (10) allows us, together with (5) and (6), to view V as an associative A-bimodule.

Definition 2.7. Let A be an algebra over a K-vector space V and $\lambda \in K$. A linear operator $R: A \to A$ satisfying the identity

(11)
$$R(x)R(y) = R(R(x)y + xR(y) + \lambda xy)$$

for all $x, y \in A$ is called a Rota-Baxter operator on A of weight λ , or just RB-operator.

Two obvious examples are given by R=0 and $R=\lambda$ id, for an arbitrary algebra. These are called the *trivial* RB-operators. Starting with an algebra $A=(V,\cdot)$ together with an RB-operator R of nonzero weight λ we can define a new bilinear product by

(12)
$$x \circ y = R(x) \cdot y + x \cdot R(y) + \lambda x \cdot y.$$

One can show that the new algebra $B = (V, \circ)$ belongs to the same variety of algebras as A, see [3, 11]. In particular, if A is an associative algebra, so is B. Similarly, if $A = \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra, so is $B = \mathfrak{n}$. Note that R and $R + \lambda$ id are homomorphisms from A to B, respectively from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{n} .

We recall Proposition 2.13 in [6], see also Corollary 5.6 in [2].

Proposition 2.8. Let $(n, \{,\})$ be a Lie algebra with an RB-operator of weight 1. Then

$$x \cdot y = \{R(x), y\}$$

defines a post-Lie algebra structure on the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$, where the Lie bracket [x, y] on \mathfrak{g} is defined by (1).

The corresponding result for a post-associative algebra structure has been shown in [8], section 4 in terms of dendriform trialgebras.

Proposition 2.9. Let (B, \circ) be an associative algebra with an RB-operator of weight 1. Then

$$x \succ y = R(x) \circ y$$

$$x \prec y = x \circ R(y)$$

define a post-associative algebra structure on the pair (A, B), where the associative product $x \cdot y$ on A is defined by (4).

Conversely we have shown in Corollary 2.15 of [6] that every post-Lie algebra structure on $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$, where \mathfrak{n} is *complete*, arises by an RB-operator of weight 1 on \mathfrak{n} .

Definition 2.10. A triple (A, A_1, A_2) of algebras is called a *decomposition* of A, if A_1, A_2 are subalgebras of A and $A = A_1 + A_2$ is a vector space sum of A_1 and A_2 . The decomposition is called *proper*, if A_1 and A_2 are proper subalgebras of A. It is called *direct* if $A_1 \cap A_2 = (0)$ and it is called *semisimple* if A, A_1, A_2 are semisimple.

We recall the following theorems by Onishchik [21, 22].

Theorem 2.11. Let L be a compact Lie algebra and L', L'' be two subalgebras of L. Let $L = S \oplus Z$, $L' = S' \oplus Z'$, and $L'' = S'' \oplus Z''$, where Z, Z', Z'' are the centers and S, S', S'' are semisimple ideals. Denote by \widetilde{Z}' and \widetilde{Z}'' the projections of Z' and Z'' on Z. Then we have L = L' + L'' if and only if S = S' + S'' and $Z = \widetilde{Z}' + \widetilde{Z}''$.

Theorem 2.12. Let L be a compact Lie algebra and L', L'' be two subalgebras of L. All proper decompositions (L, L', L'') are given as follows:

L	L'	L''	$L' \cap L''$
$A_{2n-1}, n > 1$	C_n	$A_{2n-2}, A_{2n-2} \oplus T$	$C_{n-1}, C_{n-1} \oplus T$
$D_{n+1}, \ n > 2$	B_n	$A_n, A_n \oplus T$	$A_{n-1}, A_{n-1} \oplus T$
$D_{2n}, n > 1$	B_{2n-1}	$C_n, C_n \oplus T, C_n \oplus A_1$	$C_{n-1}, C_{n-1} \oplus T, C_{n-1} \oplus A_1$
B_3	G_2	$B_2, B_2 \oplus T, D_3$	$A_1, A_1 \oplus T, A_2$
D_4	B_3	$B_2, B_2 \oplus T, B_2 \oplus A_1,$	$A_1, A_1 \oplus T, A_1 \oplus A_1,$
		$D_3, D_3 \oplus T, B_3$	$A_2, A_2 \oplus T, G_2$
D_8	B_7	B_4	B_3

In this table we have listed several decompositions in the same line. For example, the last decomposition with $L = D_4$ is $D_4 = B_3 + B_3$ with intersection $L' \cap L'' \cong G_2$.

Definition 2.13. A subalgebra S of a Lie algebra L is called a *reductive in* L, if S is reductive and, moreover, $\operatorname{ad}(z)$ is semisimple in $\operatorname{End}(L)$ for every z from the center of S.

Denote a decomposition of Lie algebras (L, L', L'') reductive, if L, L', L'' are reductive. We have the following result by Koszul [17].

Theorem 2.14. Let (L, L', L'') be a direct reductive decomposition over a field of characteristic zero, where L' and L'' are subalgebras reductive in L. Then we have $L \cong L' \oplus L''$ and L', L'' are ideals in L.

Note that any subalgebra of a compact Lie algebra L is reductive in L.

3. Semisimple decompositions of associative algebras

Similarly to the case of post-Lie algebra structures we can also ask in which cases all post-associate algebra structures arise from a Rota-Baxter operator. We have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Let (A, B) be a pair of associative algebras over an algebraically closed field K, where B is semisimple. Then every post-associative algebra structure (V, \succ, \prec) on (A, B) arises from an RB-operator R of weight 1 with $x \succ y = R(x) \circ y$ and $x \prec y = x \circ R(y)$.

Proof. By the Artin-Wedderburn theorem [16] we have $B = B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_q$, where $B_i = M_{n_i}(K)$. Let us denote by e_{ij}^a the matrix with entry 1 at position (i, j) and zero otherwise from the summand $M_{n_a}(K)$. Define coefficients $l_{ija,klb}^{stc}$, $r_{ija,klb}^{stc} \in K$ by

$$e_{ij}^a \succ e_{kl}^b = \sum_{s,t,c} r_{ija,klb}^{stc} e_{st}^c,$$
$$e_{ij}^a \prec e_{kl}^b = \sum_{s,t,c} l_{ija,klb}^{stc} e_{st}^c.$$

Rewriting both sides of (8) we obtain

$$(e_{ij}^{a} \circ e_{kl}^{b}) \prec e_{mp}^{c} = \delta_{ab}\delta_{jk} \sum_{s,t,d} l_{ila,mpc}^{std} e_{st}^{d},$$

$$e_{ij}^{a} \circ (e_{kl}^{b} \prec e_{mp}^{c}) = \sum_{s,t,d} l_{klb,mpc}^{std} \delta_{ad}\delta_{js} e_{it}^{d},$$

where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker delta. Comparing both sides we conclude that $l_{klb,mpc}^{jta} = 0$ when $a \neq b$ or $j \neq k$ and $l_{jla,mpc}^{jta} = l_{ula,mpc}^{uta}$ for any $1 \leq j, u \leq n_a$. In the same way by (7) we

obtain $r_{ija,klb}^{stc} = 0$ when $b \neq c$ or $l \neq t$ and $r_{ija,klb}^{slb} = r_{ija,kvb}^{svb}$ for any $1 \leq l, v \leq n_b$. Then (9) yields the equality $l_{ija,klb}^{ima} = r_{klb,mna}^{jna}$ for all $1 \leq i, j, m, n \leq n_a, 1 \leq k, l \leq n_b$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq q$.

Now define a linear map $R: B \to B$ by

$$R(e_{ij}^a) = \sum_{s.k.b} r_{ija,klb}^{slb} e_{sk}^b.$$

The conditions on the coefficients $l_{ija,klb}^{stc}$ and $r_{ija,klb}^{stc}$ obtained above now ensure that we can rewrite the post-associative algebra structure by

$$x \succ y = R(x) \circ y, \ x \prec y = x \circ R(y).$$

The identities (7)–(9) are trivially satisfied, and (4)–(6) yield

$$x \bullet y = R(x) \circ y + x \circ R(y) + x \circ y,$$

$$R(x \bullet y) \circ z = R(x) \circ R(y) \circ z,$$

$$z \circ R(x \bullet y) = z \circ R(x) \circ R(y)$$

for all $x, y, z \in V$. Since B is semisimple, it has zero annihilator. Hence R is an RB-operator on B of weight 1 and we are done.

We have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. Let (V, \succ, \prec) be a post-associative structure on a pair of associative algebras (A, B) over an algebraically closed field K with $A = (M_n(K), \bullet)$ and $B = (V, \circ)$ semisimple. Then $A \cong B$ and we have either $x \succ y = x \prec y = 0$ and $x \circ y = x \bullet y$, or $x \bullet y = x \succ y = x \prec y = -x \circ y$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 the post-associative algebra structure on (A, B) is given by an RB-operator R on B of weight 1. Both $\ker(R)$ and $\ker(R+\mathrm{id})$ are ideals in A. Since A is simple, either $\ker(R) = A$ or $\ker(R+\mathrm{id}) = A$. In the first case we have $x \succ y = x \prec y = 0$ and $x \circ y = x \bullet y$, and in the second case we have $x \bullet y = x \succ y = x \prec y = -x \circ y$, or $\ker(R) = \ker(R+\mathrm{id}) = (0)$. However, the last equalities are impossible, because then R and $R+\mathrm{id}$ were two isomorphisms from A to B, so that $\varphi = (R+\mathrm{id})R^{-1}$ were an automorphism of B with $\varphi(1) = 1 + R^{-1}(1) \neq 1$, a contradiction.

We can obtain a similar result for the case where A is semisimple and B is a simple algebra over \mathbb{C} . This is based on the following decomposition theorem.

Theorem 3.3. There are no proper semisimple decompositions of the matrix algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. let $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that we have a proper semisimple decomposition $A = A_1 + A_2$ over \mathbb{C} . By the Artin-Wedderburn theorem,

$$A_1 = M_{i_1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{i_k}(\mathbb{C}),$$

$$A_2 = M_{i_1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{i_\ell}(\mathbb{C})$$

for some integers i_1, \ldots, i_k and j_1, \ldots, j_l . This induces a decomposition of Lie algebras $A^- = A_1^- + A_2^-$, where the Lie bracket is given by the commutator, so that

$$A^{-} = \mathfrak{gl}_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{sl}_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C},$$

$$A_{1}^{-} = \mathfrak{sl}_{i_{1}}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_{i_{k}}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k},$$

$$A_{2}^{-} = \mathfrak{sl}_{j_{1}}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_{j_{l}}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^{l}.$$

Considering the compact real form for every simple Lie algebra involved we obtain a proper decomposition $B = B_1 + B_2$ of reductive Lie algebras over \mathbb{R} , namely

$$B = \mathfrak{su}(n) \oplus \mathbb{R},$$

$$B_1 = \mathfrak{su}(i_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{su}(i_k) \oplus \mathbb{R}^k,$$

$$B_2 = \mathfrak{su}(j_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{su}(j_l) \oplus \mathbb{R}^l.$$

This is justified by Lemma 1.3 from [22], which says that we have a decomposition $L = L_1 + L_2$ over \mathbb{R} if and only if we have a decomposition $L^{\mathbb{C}} = L_1^{\mathbb{C}} + L_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ for the complexification of the real Lie algebras. By Theorem 2.11, we obtain a semisimple decomposition

$$\mathfrak{su}(n) = \mathfrak{su}(i_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{su}(i_k) + \mathfrak{su}(j_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{su}(j_l).$$

This is a contradiction to Theorem 2.12.

Corollary 3.4. Let (V, \succ, \prec) be a post-associative structure on a pair of associative algebras (A, B) with $A = (V, \bullet)$ semisimple and $B = (M_n(\mathbb{C}), \circ)$. Then $A \cong B$ and we have either $x \succ y = x \prec y = 0$ and $x \circ y = x \bullet y$, or $x \bullet y = x \succ y = x \prec y = -x \circ y$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 the post-associative algebra structure on (A, B) is given by an RB-operator R on B of weight 1. Then we have a decomposition

$$B = \operatorname{im}(R) + \operatorname{im}(R + \operatorname{id}),$$

since x = R(-x) + (R + id)(x) for every $x \in A$. By Theorem 3.3, R is trivial and the claim follows.

Lemma 3.5. Let (A, A_1, A_2) be a direct semisimple decomposition of associative algebras over \mathbb{C} . Then we have $A \cong A_1 \oplus A_2$.

Proof. We consider the compact real forms of the direct decomposition $A^- = A_1^- + A_2^-$ of Lie algebras as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. By Theorem 2.14 we obtain $A^- \cong A_1^- \oplus A_2^-$ over \mathbb{R} and hence $A \cong A_1 \oplus A_2$ over \mathbb{C} .

4. Reductive decompositions of Lie algebras

We can generalize Theorem 3.1 of [6] as follows.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that there is a post-Lie algebra structure on $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$ over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , where \mathfrak{n} is simple and \mathfrak{g} is reductive. Then \mathfrak{g} is also simple and both \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{n} are isomorphic.

Proof. Since \mathfrak{n} is complete there is a bijection between post-Lie algebra structures on $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$ and RB-operators on \mathfrak{n} of weight 1. So let R be an RB-operator of weight 1 on \mathfrak{n} corresponding to the given post-Lie algebra structure on $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$. We have a proper reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{n} = \operatorname{im}(R) + \operatorname{im}(R + \operatorname{id})$. Furthermore $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$ is the sum of three ideals with $\mathfrak{a} = \ker(R)$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \ker(R + \operatorname{id})$. Assume that the field is \mathbb{C} . Consider the decomposition $\mathfrak{n} = \operatorname{im}(R) + \operatorname{im}(R + \operatorname{id})$

over the real numbers, splitting it into semisimple and abelian parts, see Theorem 2.11. We obtain a proper semisimple decomposition

$$\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{s}_1 + \mathfrak{s}_2,$$

where $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the compact real form of \mathfrak{n} and $\mathfrak{s}_1, \mathfrak{s}_2$ are the semisimple parts of $\operatorname{im}(R)$ and $\operatorname{im}(R+\operatorname{id})$ considered over \mathbb{R} respectively. Suppose that \mathfrak{c} is abelian. Then the decomposition $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{s}_2$ is direct, which is impossible by Theorem 2.12. Hence \mathfrak{c} is non-abelian and non-zero, so that $\operatorname{im}(R)$ and $\operatorname{im}(R+\operatorname{id})$ contain a pair of isomorphic simple summands. Suppose that \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are non-abelian. Then $\operatorname{im}(R)$, $\operatorname{im}(R+\operatorname{id})$ and hence $\mathfrak{s}_1, \mathfrak{s}_2$ have at least two simple summands. This is again impossible by Theorem 2.12. On the other hand, suppose that \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are abelian. Then we have $\mathfrak{s}_1 \cong \mathfrak{s}_2$. By Theorem 2.12 this is only possible in the case $D_4 = B_3 + B_3$ over \mathbb{R} . With $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{C}^k$, $\mathfrak{b} = \mathbb{C}^l$ and $\mathfrak{c} = B_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$ we may rewrite the decomposition $\mathfrak{n} = \operatorname{im}(R) + \operatorname{im}(R+\operatorname{id})$ as

$$D_4 = (B_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{l+m}) + (B_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k+m}).$$

We have $28 = \dim(\mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{C}^l \oplus B_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^m)$, so that $k + l + m = \dim(D_4) - \dim(B_3) = 7$. Hence at least one of the summands from the above decomposition contains a subalgebra $B_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^4$. So the centralizer of B_3 in D_4 is at least 4-dimensional. However, according to [20, Table 11] it can be at most 1-dimensional. This gives a contradiction.

Finally, suppose that only one of \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} is abelian. We may suppose that \mathfrak{s}_1 is simple. But then \mathfrak{s}_1 is a proper ideal in \mathfrak{s}_2 , contradicting again Theorem 2.12. Over \mathbb{R} , we can complexify the RB-operator and the decomposition obtaining a proper reductive decomposition of a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} .

Remark 4.2. The above theorem has an easier proof for the exceptional Lie algebras G_2 and E_8 , not using the classification by Onishchik. For G_2 , the statement follows from the description of all its subalgebras [7, 19]. For E_8 , it follows from the information on the centralizers of all its semisimple subalgebras [20, Table 14].

Corollary 4.3. Let L be a real or complex simple Lie algebra of exceptional type, or of type B_n, C_n with $n \geq 3$. Then there is no proper reductive decomposition (L, L', L'').

Proof. This follows as above from Theorem 2.12.

Recall that $Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ denotes the space of 1-cocyles for the Lie algebra cohomology of \mathfrak{g} with a \mathfrak{g} -module M, and $B^1(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ the space of 1-coboundaries.

Lemma 4.4. Let (V, \cdot) be a post-Lie algebra structure on a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$ arising from an RB-operator R of weight 1 on \mathfrak{n} . Let M be a \mathfrak{g} -module. Then

$$x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} m = R(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{n}} m$$

for $x \in V$, $m \in M$ defines a \mathfrak{g} -module structure on M. For a 1-cocycle $d \in Z^1(\mathfrak{n}, M)$ the linear map d_R defined by $d_R(x) = d(R(x))$ is a 1-cocycle in $Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, M)$.

Proof. By (11) we have

$$\begin{split} [x,y] \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} m &= R([x,y]) \cdot_{\mathfrak{n}} m \\ &= \{R(x), R(y)\} \cdot_{\mathfrak{n}} m \\ &= R(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{n}} (R(y) \cdot_{\mathfrak{n}} m) - R(y) \cdot_{\mathfrak{n}} (R(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{n}} m) \\ &= x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} m) - y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} m). \end{split}$$

Hence M is a \mathfrak{g} -module. The map d_R is a 1-cocycle since we have

$$\begin{split} d_R([x,y]) &= d(R([x,y])) \\ &= d(\{R(x), R(y)\}) \\ &= d(R(x)) \cdot_{\mathfrak{n}} R(y) + R(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{n}} d(R(x)) \\ &= d_R(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{q}} y + x \cdot_{\mathfrak{q}} d_R(y). \end{split}$$

In the same way one can also prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. Let (V, \succ, \prec) be a post-associative algebra structure on a pair (A, B) arising from an RB-operator R of weight 1 on B. Let M be a B-bimodule. Then

$$x \cdot_A m = R(x) \cdot_B m,$$

 $m \cdot_A x = m \cdot_B R(x)$

for $x \in V$, $m \in M$ defines an A-bimodule structure on M. For a 1-cocycle $d \in Z^1(B, M)$ the linear map d_R defined by $d_R(x) = d(R(x))$ is a 1-cocycle in $Z^1(A, M)$.

Theorem 4.6. Let (V, \cdot) be a post-Lie algebra structure on a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$ over a field of characteristic zero, arising from an RB-operator of weight 1 on \mathfrak{n} . Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is semisimple. Then \mathfrak{n} is semisimple, too.

Proof. Consider a \mathfrak{n} -module M and a 1-cocycle $d \in Z^1(\mathfrak{n}, M)$. By Lemma 4.4 it follows that $d_R \in Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, M)$, where $d_R(x) = d(R(x))$. Since \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, $d_R \in B^1(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ by the first Whitehead lemma. Hence there exist $b, c \in M$ such that

$$d(R(x)) = bx,$$

$$d(-(R + id)(x)) = cx.$$

Hence d(x) = -(b+c)x and $d \in B^1(\mathfrak{n}, M)$. It follows that \mathfrak{n} is semisimple [14].

In the same way one can also prove the analogous statement for post-associative algebra structures.

Theorem 4.7. Let (V, \succ, \prec) be a post-associative algebra structure on a pair (A, B) over a field of characteristic zero, arising from an RB-operator of weight 1 on B. Suppose that A is semisimple. Then B is semisimple, too.

Since every post-Lie algebra structure on $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$, where \mathfrak{n} is complete arises by an RB-operator of weight 1 on \mathfrak{n} , Theorem 4.6 immediately implies the following corollary, which is Proposition 3.8 in [6].

Corollary 4.8. Let (V, \cdot) be a post-Lie algebra structure on a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$ over a field of characteristic zero, where \mathfrak{g} is semisimple and \mathfrak{n} is complete. Then \mathfrak{n} is semisimple.

Remark 4.9. The proof of Proposition 3.8 in [6] unfortunately is not correct. It used Proposition 3.6 claiming that a Lie algebra L, which is the sum of two complex semisimple subalgebras, is semisimple. However, this is not true as the next example shows. Above we have given a new proof, which also generalizes the result to arbitrary fields of characteristic zero. Below we will also give a new proof of Proposition 3.7 in [6], which relied on Proposition 3.6, too.

Example 4.10. Let $L = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes V(n)$, where $n \geq 2$ and V(n) is the irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, considered as abelian Lie algebra. Then L is a perfect, non-semisimple Lie algebra, which is the vector space sum of two simple Lie algebras as follows. Let (e_1, \dots, e_n) be a basis of V(n) and let $x = e_1 + \dots + e_n \in V(n)$. Then ad(x) is nilpotent with $ad(x)^2 = 0$. Consider the automorphism $\varphi = \exp(ad(x)) = id + ad(x)$ of L. We obtain the decomposition

$$L = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}) + \varphi(\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})).$$

Also in the associative case the sum of two semisimple algebras need not be semisimple. For a classification of associative algebras being a sum of two simple subalgebras see [1].

Given a post-Lie algebra structure (V, \cdot) on a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$, which is defined by an RB-operator R of weight 1 on \mathfrak{n} , we can define a sequence of Lie brackets on V by

$$[x, y]_0 = \{x, y\},$$

$$[x, y]_{i+1} = [R(x), y]_i + [x, R(y)]_i + [x, y]_i,$$

for all $i \geq 0$, see [6]. Then R defines a post-Lie algebra structure on each pair $(\mathfrak{g}_{i+1}, \mathfrak{g}_i)$. We have $[x, y]_1 = [x, y]$, and both R and R + id are Lie algebra homomorphisms from \mathfrak{g}_{i+1} to \mathfrak{g}_i . Hence we obtain a composition of homomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{g}_i \xrightarrow[R+\mathrm{id}]{R} \mathfrak{g}_{i-1} \xrightarrow[R+\mathrm{id}]{R} \cdots \xrightarrow[R+\mathrm{id}]{R} \mathfrak{g}_0.$$

So $\ker(R^i)$ and $\ker((R+\mathrm{id})^i)$ are ideals in \mathfrak{g}_j for all $1 \leq i \leq j$.

We may define the same sequence of algebras in the associative case. Given a post-associative algebra structure (V, \succ, \prec) on (A, B) defined by an RB-operator R of weight 1 on B, denote by A_i the associative algebra on V defined by

$$x \bullet_0 y = x \circ y,$$

$$x \bullet_{i+1} y = R(x) \bullet_i y + x \bullet_i R(y) + x \bullet_i y,$$

for all $i \geq 0$. Then R defines a post-associative algebra structure on each pair (A_{i+1}, A_i) . We have $x \bullet_1 y = x \bullet y$ and we get the similar composition of homomorphisms

$$A_i \xrightarrow[R+\mathrm{id}]{R} A_{i-1} \xrightarrow[R+\mathrm{id}]{R} \cdots \xrightarrow[R+\mathrm{id}]{R} A_0.$$

So $\ker(R^i)$ and $\ker((R+\mathrm{id})^i)$ are ideals in A_i for all $1 \leq i \leq j$.

We can now give a new proof of Proposition 3.7 in [6].

Corollary 4.11. Let (V, \cdot) be a post-Lie algebra structure on a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$ over a field of characteristic zero, defined by an RB-operator of weight 1 on \mathfrak{n} . Assume that \mathfrak{g}_n is semisimple, where $n = \dim(V)$. Then all \mathfrak{g}_i are isomorphic to \mathfrak{n} .

Proof. Since $\ker(R^n)$ and $\ker((R+\mathrm{id}))^n$ are ideals in \mathfrak{g}_n it follows from Proposition 3.4 in [6] that $\mathfrak{g}_n = \ker(R^n) \oplus \ker((R+\mathrm{id}))^n$. Then Corollary 3.5 in [6] implies that

$$\mathfrak{g}_i = \ker(R^n) \dotplus \ker((R + \mathrm{id}))^n,$$

where the algebras $\ker(R^n)$ in \mathfrak{g}_i are isomorphic for all $i \geq 0$. The same holds for $\ker((R+\mathrm{id}))^n$. So, $\ker(R^n)$ and $\ker((R+\mathrm{id}))^n$ are semisimple subalgebras in \mathfrak{g}_i for all i. Since \mathfrak{g}_n is semisimple, \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} is semisimple by Theorem 4.6. Iterating this we see that \mathfrak{g}_i are semisimple for all $i \geq 0$. By Theorem 2.14 we obtain $\mathfrak{g}_i \cong \ker(R^n) \oplus \ker((R+\mathrm{id}))^n \cong \mathfrak{g}_n$. Since $\mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \mathfrak{n}$ we are done. \square Similarly we can prove the corresponding result for post-associative algebra structures. Then we should use Lemma 3.5 over $\mathbb C$ instead of Theorem 2.14.

Corollary 4.12. Let (V, \succ, \prec) be a post-associative algebra structure on a pair (A, B) over \mathbb{C} , defined by an RB-operator of weight 1 on B. Assume that A_n is semisimple, where $n = \dim(V)$. Then all A_i are isomorphic to B.

Given a post-associative algebra structure on a pair (A, B) of semisimple associative algebras, in general we do not know whether A and B have to be isomorphic or not. The same question is open for post-Lie algebra structures. In some cases we have a positive answer. Here is another such case.

Proposition 4.13. Let (V, \succ, \prec) be a post-associative algebra structure on a pair of complex semisimple algebras (A, B). Suppose that either A or B is commutative. Then A and B are isomorphic.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 the post-associative algebra structure on (A, B) is defined by an RB-operator R of weight 1 on B. First suppose that A is commutative. Then it follows that $A \cong B$ by [10]. Secondly, let B be commutative. So it is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of \mathbb{C} . Since R is an RB-operator on $B = \mathbb{C}^n$ with $n = \dim(V)$, we have $\operatorname{Spec}(R) \subset \{0, -1\}$, see [10]. So, $B = \ker(R)^n \dotplus \ker(R + \operatorname{id})^n$. By linearization and dimension reasons, $\ker(R)^n \cong \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\ker(R + \operatorname{id})^n \cong \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$ for some m with $0 \leq m \leq n$. By Lemma 3.5 we obtain

$$A = \ker(R)^n \dotplus \ker(R + \mathrm{id})^n \cong \mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-m} \cong B.$$

Finally we obtain a result on post-associative algebra structures by using a classical result of Kegel [15] on nilpotent decompositions.

Proposition 4.14. Let (V, \succ, \prec) be a post-associative algebra structure on a pair of algebras (A, B) over an algebraically closed field F, where B is semisimple. Then A_i is not nilpotent for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 the post-associative algebra structure on (A, B) arises from an RB-operator R of weight 1 on B. Assume that A_i is nilpotent for some $i \geq 0$. Then

$$A_{i-1} = R(A_i) + (R + \mathrm{id})(A_i)$$

is also nilpotent as a sum of two nilpotent associative subalgebras [15]. Iterating this we obtain that $A_0 = B$ is nilpotent, a contradiction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dietrich Burde is supported by the Austrian Science Foundation FWF, grant P28079 and grant I3248. Vsevolod Gubarev acknowledges support by the Austrian Science Foundation FWF, grant P28079. We are grateful to A. Petukhov for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] Y. A. Bahturin, O. H. Kegel: Sums of simple subalgebras. J. Math. Sci. 93, no. 6 (1999), 830–835.
- [2] C. Bai, L. Guo, X. Ni: Nonabelian generalized Lax pairs, the classical Yang-Baxter equation and post-Lie algebras. Comm. Math. Phys. 297 (2010), no. 2, 553–596.

- [3] C. Bai, O. Bellier, L. Guo, X. Ni: Splitting of operations, Manin products, and Rota-Baxter operators. Int. Math. Res. Notices IMRN 3 (2013), 485–524.
- [4] D. Burde, K. Dekimpe and K. Vercammen: Affine actions on Lie groups and post-Lie algebra structures. Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012), no. 5, 1250–1263.
- [5] D. Burde, K. Dekimpe: Post-Lie algebra structures on pairs of Lie algebras. Journal of Algebra 464 (2016), 226–245.
- [6] D. Burde, V. Gubarev: Rota-Baxter operators and post-Lie algebra structures on semisimple Lie algebras. Communications in Algebra, Vol. 47, Issue 5, 2280–2296 (2019).
- [7] A. Douglas, J. Repka: Subalgebras of the rank two semisimple Lie algebras. Linear Multilinear Algebra 66 (2018), no. 10, 2049–2075.
- [8] K. Ebrahimi-Fard: Loday-type algebras and the Rota-Baxter relation. Lett. Math. Phys. **61** (2002), no. 2, 139–147.
- [9] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, A. Lundervold, I. Mencattini, H. Z. Munthe-Kaas: *Post-Lie algebras and isospectral flows*. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **11** (2015), Paper 093, 16 pp.
- [10] V. Gubarev: Rota-Baxter operators on a sum of fields. To appear in J. Algebra Appl. (2020).
- [11] V. Gubarev, P. Kolesnikov: *Embedding of dendriform algebras into Rota-Baxter algebras*. Cent. Eur. J. Math. **11** (2013), no. 2, 226–245.
- [12] V. Gubarev, P. Kolesnikov: Operads of decorated trees and their duals. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 55 (2014), no. 4, 421–445.
- [13] L. Guo: An introduction to Rota-Baxter algebra. Surveys of Modern Mathematics, Vol. 4 (2012), Somerville: Intern. Press; Beijing: Higher education press, 226 pp.
- [14] G. Hochschild: Semi-simple algebras and generalized derivations. Amer. J. Math. 64 (1942), no. 1, 677–694.
- [15] O. Kegel: Zur Nilpotenz gewisser assoziativer Ringe. Math. Ann. 149 (1963), 258–260.
- [16] A. W. Knapp: Advanced algebra. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2007. xxiv+730 pp.
- [17] J. L. Koszul: Variante dun théorème de H. Ozeki. Osaka J. Math. 15 (1978), 547-551.
- [18] J.-L. Loday, M. Ronco: *Trialgebras and families of polytopes*. Homotopy theory: relations with algebraic geometry, group cohomology, and algebraic K-theory. Comtep. Math. **346** (2004) 369–398.
- [19] E. Mayanskiy: The subalgebras of G_2 . arXiv:1611.04070. 35 p.
- [20] A. N. Minchenko: Semisimple subalgebras of exceptional Lie algebras. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 67 (2006), 225–259.
- [21] A. L. Onishchik: Inclusion relations between transitive compact transformation groups. Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obs. 11 (1962) 199–242.
- [22] A. L. Onishchik: Decompositions of reductive Lie groups. Mat. Sbornik 80 (122) (1969), no. 4, 515–554.
- [23] B. Vallette: Homology of generalized partition posets. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 208 (2007), no. 2, 699–725.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, 1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: dietrich.burde@univie.ac.at

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, 1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: vsevolod.gubarev@univie.ac.at, wsewolod89@gmail.com